



THE ORIGINS OF THE WAR



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS C F CLAY MANAGES London FETTER LANE, E C Emburch 100 PRINCES STREET



gen ford G P PUTNAMS SCAS

Bombay and Colonia, MACHIFLAN ANI CO. Ltd.

Coronio. J. M. DECT, AND SON, 1 th.

Cokyo. THE MARCZEN KARLSHIRI KAISHA

THE ORIGINS OF THE WAR

LECTURES DELIVERED IN THE MICHAELMAS TERM, 1914

BY

J. HOLLAND ROSE, LITT.D.

Fellow of Christ's College and Reader in Modern History in the University of Cambridge, Corresponding Member of the Massachusetts Historical Society



Cambridge: at the University Press

WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR

The Life of Napoleon I 2 vols 18s Cheap Issue

2 vols in 1 Sixth Edition 6s (Geo Bell and Sons)

William Pitt and National Revival 1 vol Second

Edition 16s (Geo Bell and Sons)

and Co)

Press)

William Pitt and the Great War 1 vol new and

chesp edition 7s 6d (Geo Bell and Sons)

The Development of the European Nations

(1870-1900) Fourth Edition 7: 6d (Constable

The Revolutionary and Napoleonic Era (1789-1815) Sixth Edition 3s 6d (Cambridge University

PREFATORY NOTE DESIRE gratefully to acknowledge the valuable

advice given by the following while the sheets of this volume passed through the Press Sir Adolphus W Ward, Litt D., Misser of Peterhouse J B Burr, FBAA, Regius Professor of Modern History, and J. W. Headlam, M.A., both of King's College Cambridge. My hearty thanks are also due to the following for help in the ardious task of research E. R. Adair, B.A., Peterhouse, P. Vos, B.A., Gonville and Cairs College, E. La M. Stowell, Corpus Chinst College, and Miss Linan Whitehouse, formerly of Cirton College, Cambridge. In

so controversial a subject as this, I wish it to be understood that I take sole responsibility for the statements

J. H. E.

CAMBRIDGE,

in this volume.

December 4, 1914

CONTENTS ANGLO GERMAN RIVALRY (1875-1888)

GERMANY & WORLD POLICY

AND TRANCE (1905-14)

GERMAN PLANS IN SOUTH WEST AFRICA

IV MOROCCO THE BACKER PARTIES

LECTURE

APPENDIX

INDEX

1

71

THE KAISER

r

11

ш

٧	Albace Loreaine	91
VL.	THE EASTERY QUESTION (1908-1913)	116
VII	THE CRISIS OF 1914	134
viii	THE RUPTURE	162

SHIP BUILDING PROGRAMMES OF ENGLAND, GERMANY

PAGE

1

21

45

£Q

189

190

195



LECTURE I

ANGLO GERMAN RIVALRY (1875-1888)

Qui trop embrasse mal etreint (Bismance s favourite motto)

GERMAN writers often assert that the British Empire is the result of the conscious and persistent effort of our people towards the achievement of World Empire We, on our part, believe that Germany has in recent times adopted a World Policy which, almost of necessity, has brought her into conflict with the British race Which of the two peoples has of late heen the more expansive, the more aggressive, is a question which can be finally and decisively answered only by future historians who have at their disposal documents necessarily withheld from the present generation. But it has seemed to me desirable to try to bring together into these lectures as much evidence as is now forthcoming, for the formation of at least a provisional judgment on this great tonic

At some points, notably as regards the final rupture with Germany, the documentary evidence is fuller than has ever been forthcoming on contemporary events, and we may approach the final stage of our inquiry with a feeling of confidence that the main conclusions are not

LECTURE I

2

likely to he reversed, but only more clearly focussed May I also venture to give my experience as to the completeness and trustworthmess of British official papers presented to Parliament? After studies in our archives extending over the best part of twenty years, I can testify to the honest editing of the Papers presented to Parliament. In scarcely any case have important passages here suppressed Rarely do documents leap to light that shame the memory of British Ministers, at any rate ance the time of the Younger Pitt. I remember on one occasion making a remark of this nature to the late Dr Samuel Rawson Gardiner. I said to him that the more thoroughly British foreign policy was examined, the hetter it eame out. He at once replied. "It always "doces," it shaws does."

"does, it always does" I do not propose to discuss here the psychological question whether there is a radical and incurable hostility between the North German and the British nature, or whether a war between their two Empires was inevitable. The former question is too academic for these times the second question is futile. A careful study of all the causes leading to war must, I think, lead to the conclusion that scarcely any war is inevitable, and that the use of that epithet is merely a slipshod way of avoiding an exammation of all the causes leading to the rupture. No war is inevitable, unless human passion, folly and blundering are mevitable, and they are not mevitable unless mankind is a mere puppet show jerked by blind fate. Let us clear our minds of all belogging notions. Let us discuss the evidence, let us seek to understand the characters of the chief actors, and we shall, I believe, come to the condusion that this terrible war could have been avoided

We may leave on one side all the earlier disputes between Great Britain and Germany It matters little now whether Blucher did or did not save us from destruction at Waterloo, as the Kaiser has vauntingly declared, or that the British Press sympathized keenly with Denmark in 1864 when she was overwhelmed by Prosess and Austria or that certain British steamers laden with coal for the River Seine were sunk by Prussian cannon in 1870 All those events belong to a bygone age A new order of things came about in 1871, when tri umphant Germany became an Empire and King William of Prussia became Deutscher Kaiser at the palace of Versailles Very many of our people rejoiced at the unity of Germany and the downfall of Napoleon III No feeling of security was possible while he was in power "Condemned to be brilliant" was the verdict acutely passed on him by a French thinker, and few persons believed it possible that a German Emperor would ever be open to the came charge. The Germans were a quiet, safe, home loving people The French were fickle. ambitious, dangerous Central Europe, the weakness of which had so often tempted the aggression of Bourbon and Hapsburg, was now secured by the ascendancy of the House of Hohenzollern. "That Germany is to stand on "her feet henceforth, and not be dismembered on the "highway, but face all manner of Napoleons and hungry "sponging dogs, with clear steel in her hand, and an "honest purpose in her heart—this seems to me the best "news we or Purope have had for the last forty years or "more" Such was Carlyle's verdict after Königgratz in 1866, and after Sedan it remained his verdict and that of very many Entons

On the other hand, British sympathy with Republican France, when subjected to the crushing terms imposed by the victors in 1871, aroused great irritation in Germany. The tone of Bismarck and the military easte had always heen hostile, and Sir Horace Rumbold testifies to "the "extraordinary ill will towards us" which was then manifested!

The friction between the two great hranches of the Teutonic family hecame acute at the time of the war panic of the year 1875 Early in that year the French Republic gained strength by two important measures That of Feh 25 gave it the heginning of a constitution That of March 28 strengthened the army hy adding a fourth hattalion to every regiment. This was enough for the military party at Berlin They did not complain of those measures They complained of the sharp censures of some of the French and Belman bishops on Bismarck'e anti Papal policy The Chancellor himself conjured up the spectre of a Romanist League against Germany, and uttered these words "If France does not "throw over her papal policy, I will not defer making "war upon her till she is ready, and I know that she will "he ready in two years"

The frank hrutality of this utterance is characteristic both of the man and of the Junker class whence he aprang His words were echoed in all Prussian news papers, and a sharp crisis ensued German writers have since endeavoured to minimise the gravity of the situation, by asserting that the whole affair was a trifle, due to a

Sir H Rumbold Recollections of a Diplomatist 1. 175 ii. 297
 Broghe, La Musion de M de Gontaut-Eiron à Berlin, pp. 186, 182 (Eng edit, Part iii).

Belgium, as we were bound to do by the treaty of 1839

Sir Robert Morier beheved the danger of a German attack on France to be acute, and two conversations which he had with the German Crown Prince at Munich, did not allay his apprehensions. In fact, the Crown Prince admitted that Moltke badly wanted war Hostilities would prohably have followed but for these saving influences-the peace loving character of Kaiser William I and of the Crown Prince Frederick William, the intervention of Russia, and the personal appeals of Queen

Victoria to Kaiser William I

On this last topic we have no definite information except that such appeals were made and had the support of the Crown Princess -- a fact which accounts for Bismarck's spite against that illustrious lady2 Bismarck's letter of Ang 13, 1875, to the Emperor also shows that Queen Victoria had written to the latter stating that it was easy for her to prove that her apprehensions were not exaggerated The Queen, therefore, had good authority for believing in a forthcoming attack by Germany upon France³ As to the attitude of the British Government little is

known But that little is enough Lord Odo Russell. then British ambassador at Berlin, informed his brother. Artbur, that Bismarck manifested great irritation with Pronce Gortschakoff because of the intervention of the

¹ Sir R. Morier's Mems 11, 333-345. " Hanotaux, Contemporary France, III. 242 Bismarck, on cst. II.

^{191-3, 249-253}

Bismark, Some Secret Pages of his History III. 325-7 Prof Oncken in the Combridge Mod Hist vol. III. 181, seeks to minimuse the mordent.

Russian Government on hehalf of France, and that shortly afterwards he complained to Lord Odo Russell "of the "preposterous folly and ignorance of the English and "other Cabinets, who had mistaken stones got up for "speculations on the Bourse for the true policy of the "German Government 'Then will you,' asked Lord Odo, " 'eensure your four amhassadors who have misled us " 'and the other Powers'? ' Bismarck made no reply' Further, M Gavard, charge d affaires at the French Embassy in London, reports that Lord Derby, Foreign Secretary, uttered these words "Such an act of aggression "(se by Germany against France) would arouse in "Europe general indignation, which would nowhere be "stronger than in England Germany herself would "not brave such a manifestation of opinion You may "count on me, you may count on this Government not "failing in its duty I give you in this matter all the "assurances that can be given by the minister of a con-"stitutional sovereign2" Lord Derby went further He instructed Lord Odo Russell energetically to support the peaceful counsels which the Tsar of Russia was then urging at Berlin On May 9, M Gavard met Lord Derhy at the diplomatic circle at the Foreign Office, and pressed him for a further statement of his views, because mere moral considerations had never stopped Prince Bismarck Lord Derhy then explained that be spoke of moral indignation, "which forms those Coalitions under "which the first Emperor [Napoleon] succumbed in spite "of all his genius3 "

¹ Sir M. Grant Duff. Notes from a Diory (1886-8) vol. 1. p. 129 Bismarck's disclaimers (Pefictions and Reminiscences, ii. 188-193) are obviously insincere.

C. Cavard Un Deplomate à Londres pp. 242-3 * Ibid p 216

In the year 1875 the attack, on France desired by the Prussian military party did not take place, mainly owing to the urgent representations of the Tair Alexander II. At Petrograd he saw the French envoy, General Lefd, and repeated his earlier assurances that France must be preserved in a condution of strength. He did more He proceeded to Berlin, and after all the world had been alarmed by Blowitz's revelations made through The Times, he had no difficulty in inducing the Emperor William to discountenance all thoughts of war!

Of set purpose I have avoided details in order to hing out the salient facts. They are as follows. Whatever were Bismarch's plans, it is certain that the military men at Berlin were in earnest in their threats to Pars, It is also certain that Russia and Great Britain most urgently reprohated any such threats. Those Governments made it clear that any unprovoked stack by Germany on France would bring about the most vigorous measures against the sigressor, and that probably all Europe would take up arms to repel the attack. There was no formal alliance between Great Britain and Russia on this question. But they took this course of action because duty and interest alike presenbed it, and all the more because Belgium was threatened

One point more claims attention. The case of 1875 is well known in Germany. All public men, all newspaper editors, are aware that, from 1875 onwards, it has been a maxim of Russian and British policy, that France shall not be suddenly taken at a disadvantage and crushed. In fact, the German Chancellor, during his memorable interview with Sir Edward Goschen at Berlin on July 29.

9

1914, admitted that to be one of the cardinal points of British policy. The conclusion is obvious. We are bound to conclude that the German expressions of surprise at our intervention in this war are due either to unaccountable ignorance or to a films pretence of ignorance.

The affair of 1875 was very important in many ways It enabled France to found her Republic and to recover strencth and it created distrust of Germany. The suddenness with which Russia and Great Britum intervened made Bismarch angry at the time and nerrous for the future. Evidently his Three Emperors. Leacue, formed in the year 1872 did not count for much when Russia a interests were nearly at stake. He longed for a close union with Russia, and, less so, with Great Britain. Now both ententes were uncertain. What wonder that he wrote. "The idea of coalitions gave me mightinares!19

Accordingly, he deferred action of all kinds until he could be sure of his ground. Thus, colonial expanzion was postponed until after the vears 1881-2 Bismarck's views on the colonial queer'on are very remarkable. In 1873 he declared that colonies would be only a cause of weakness, for they could be defended only by powerful fleety, and "Germany's geographical position did not "necessitate her development into a first-class mantime "power Viviv colonies had been offered him, but he "had rejected them and wished only for coaling-stations" acquired by treaty from other nations."

Even down to the year 1%3 Bismarck continued to discountenance the growing agitation for German colonies

Essmarck Felections and Econoscences II. 250-3 Financiance, Life of Lord Granville, IL 337

But early in 1884 he suddealy veered round, greatly to the surpnse of Lord Ampthill (Odo Russell) and the British Government The reasons for this change of front are probably as follows In 1882 a number of merchants and others had founded the German Colonial Society, which soon set on foot a formidable propaganda Now, a General Election for the Reichstag was hkely to occur in the autumn of 1884, the results of which were doubtful, and, as Lord Ampthill remarked, the cry of "Colonies for Germany" might be very prejudicial to the supporters of the Chan cellor Thus, according to Lord Ampthill's belief, it was the nation which led Bismarck to adopt a colonial policy¹ That fact should be remembered

Some such departure was natural For the adoption of a protectionist régime by Germany in 1879 soon led to the result generally accruing from such a policy—viz over production and this in its turn led the over producers to clamour for new markets where they could sell at their own prices. Thus Bismarck was logically bound to take up the colonial policy as a result of his protectionist policy.

On the other hand I believe that he was by no means loth to enter on that path for in 1881 the diplomatic situation favoured Germany to the highest evtent In 1879 she had framed a defensive alliance with Austra which decisively checked Russias forward moves and, in passing we may remember Lord Salisbury a benediction on the Germanic alliance. To all those who care for the peace of Europe and take an interest in the "independence of nations I would evclaim A crowning "mercy has been vouchasted to the world."

¹ Fitzmaurice, Lafe of Lord Granville II. p. 339

Three years later, this defensive league was strength ened by the accession of Italy Thus was formed the Triple Alliance It is well known that the adhesion of Italy resulted from her intense annoyance at the seizure of Tunis by France and that seizure was first suggested hy Bismarck at the Congress of Berlin' Thus, the same event hussed France in North Africa and strengthened Germany in Europe Another event in the year 1882 was favourable to Germany British intervention in Egypt against Arahi Pacha served to embroil as with Turkey The Sultan Abdul Hamid never forgave as for that action, and Germany, profiting by his had temper, soon began that flirtation with "the unspeakable Turk" which led up to grandiose schemes in the Levant

Of those schemes more in the sequel Here I wish to point out the extreme caution of Bismarck. He undertook nothing of moment in the colonial sphere until he was sure of his position in Europe and saw possible nvals committed to a forward policy elsewhere, France and Great Britain in Africa, Russia in Central Asia There can he no doubt that he rejoiced at these colonial adventures, for they led his rivals into spheres remote from Germany Bismarck and his underlings knew a good deal about Russia's policy, for at Berlin on March 24, 1884, he signed a treaty with her and Austria which in effect revived the Dreikaiserbund of 1872 ratified in the following September at Skiernewice) For the present, then, he felt absolutely safe in Europe, and he probably was aware of Russian plaus of expansion towards India In November 1884 his able suhordinate, Bucher, said to Busch "Just keep a sharp look out on

¹ Crispi, Mems 11. 98-109, Blowitz, My Memoirs, p. 165.

"the news from Afghanistan Something will happen "there soon" Bucher was right Russia soon annexed Mery, thereby bringing about sharp tension of feeling in England, which the Duke of Argyll described as Merywisenses.

Therefore, in 1884, the general attuation was peculiarly favourable to Germany She had formed a strong alliance, then the only alliance in Europe The other Powers were engaged in centrifugal efforts Thus Germany could aafely join in the hint for new markets We need notice here only the chief of her enterprises, viz in South Africa There is no doubt that Bismarck and many other

German patriots looked with eager interest at the Boer Republics of South Africa The victory of the Boers at Majnha Hill (Teb 1881) and the tame surrender of the Gladstone Government to their demands, spread a deep impression of the weakness of Great Britain and the power of the Boers Nowhere was that impression so deep as in Germany and the notion of German supremacy in that part of the world rapidly gained strength It was no new programme Even before the Franco-Prussian war of 1870, merchants of Hamburg Bremen and Frankfurt had urged Bismarck to found a colony in a temperate climate, and South Africa was suggested A scientific expedition set out to view the land, and it received a warm welcome from President Burgers of the Transvaal Republic But their report was not so "favourable as to overcome the objections of Prince "Bismarck," who considered that Germany already had, as he phrased it, 'too much hay on the fork "to make any "large scheme of colonization prudent1" In 1875 the Bir Bartle Frere Hose the Transmal Trouble gross p. 258

programme was changed A German resident in Sonth Africa urged on Bismarck the acquisition of Delagoa Bay from Portugal, with a view to sending a steady stream of German immigrants into the Transvaal "to secure the "future domimon over that country, and so to pave the "way for the foundation of a German African Empire "of the future" In that time of doubt and uncertainty Bismarck did not take up the proposal But he kept it before him, with a view to furthering some such scheme when Germany's position in Europe was hetter assured In 1876 the Boers sent a deputation to Berlin to request protection from Germany What passed is not known But it is probable that their resistance to Britain's recent decree of annexation was due, in part at least, to hopes of assistance from Germany Probably the Russo-Turkish war of 1876-7 and the subsequent inction between Russia and Germany postponed action by the latter, at any rate Kruger and a Boer deputation which proceeded to Berlin and other capitals, to protest against the recent annexation by Great Britain, met with no encouragement During that time of tension in Europe, Sir Bartle Frere annexed Walfisch Bay to the British dominous (1878) There can be little doubt that the hay had attracted serious attention from the merchants of Hamhurg and Bremen, and that the loss of that harbour rankled deep

Early in 1883 the procedure of the German merchants was as follows A Bremen merchant, Ludentz, hought from a chief a tract of land at Angra Pequeña, a second rate harhour some 200 miles north of the Orange River, and asked the German Government for protection

¹ Mems of Paul Erüger, p. 145.

Thereupon Bismarck inquired from the British Government whether it would protect Luderitz Our Government was utterly callous as to his safety, but it had to consult the Cape Colony about what was helind him Delays therefore multiplied, and Bismarck hecame annoyed, hecause the General Election was coming on, and his enemies would taunt him with weakness unless he scored a colonial success1 Finally, Lord Granville declined all responsibility, but declared that annexation of that district hy Germany would be an act of encroach ment on Her Majesty's rights At this Bismarck was furious He resented both the long delay and the somewhat cavalier answer His son, Count Herbert Bismarck (then at London), had also been nettled by Lord Granville's question whether Germany was not contemplating an extension inland from Angra Pequeña towards the Transvaal Young Bismarck replied hotly "That is a question of mere curiosity that does not "concern you?" Of course at did concern us very nearly, and his display of temper was more illuminating than the fullest reply

Finally, a settlement was reached. We needed to huy off German opposition to our occupation of Egypt, and we did so, virtually, by giving up Angra Pequeña and nearly all the coast as far north as the Portuguese possessions Bismarck was greatly pleased with the surrender It came just in time to enable him "to bowl over" his enemies in the Reichstaf, and the conclusion of the affair produced a most excellent impression throughout Germany-of course exactly the reverse in Cape

Lowe, Prince Estmarck 11, 241

Bismarck , Some Secret Pages of his History III. 120

15

Colony, which had annexed that coastline, and now had to writness the reversal of its patnotic act³. Thus was laid the foundation of German South West Africa. Thus hegan the friction hetween the British and German Empires in colonial affairs.

Friction was equally acute on the eastern side of South Africa The chief point in dispute was St Lucia Bay, in the north of Zululand. Germany laid her schemes for securing that hav outright (it was before Tongalaud was British) Herr Ludentz tried to repeat there the same device as at Angra Pequeña, viz purchase and then a claim for protection But Germany was too vigorous She had some dealings with envoys of the Boer Republics2, and at the same time she di-cussed with Portugal the purchase of Delagoa Bay This was too much even for the long suffering Gladstone Ministry Fortunately, it hunted up an earlier purchase of that same land from a former chieftain, aud, what was far more important, it sent H.M.S Goshauk to hoist the British flag at St Lucia Bay with an intimation to Berlin that that flag would be kept flying (October 4, 1884)

Even after the annexation of the St Lucia Bay district, a large party of Boers protested against that action and attempted to found there the "New Republic" while the uniquitous Ludentz asserted his claim to 60,000 acres in that neighbourhood. When the "New Republic" got into difficulties, Piet Jouhert, a Minister of the Transvaal, came thither and suggested that its founders should give

¹ Fitzmaurice, IL 353-5.

² Ibid. 309 Burber put down the German failure to Lord Rosebery's sharpness and Coun' H. Bismarck's want of astuteness (Euroarch, Some Serret Pages, III. 144).

^{*} Govt. Blue Book C 4307 p. 13.

their country to the Germans "on the understanding that "the latter would bring pressure to bear on Her Majesty's "Government to allow of this departure from the Con-"vention" The British Commissioner, hearing of this proposal, reported it to the Home Government, which remained firm The British flag therefore continued to fly at that important point, despite the annoyance of the German colonial party at the complaisance of Bismarck on this question1

Here, then, as elsewhere, German merchants were far more pushing than their Government But its policy of "peaceful penetration" towards the Transvanl was so far threatening as to cause an important British move in the autumn of the year 1884 Sir Charles Warren was then despatched to South Africa with a small expeditionary force Strengthened by loyal colonists, it proceeded to Bechuansland, drove out the parties of Boers who were raiding or half settling that land, and annexed the whole territory to the British Crown The results were epochmaking Great Britain secured the highway leading northwards to the Zamhesi, and she also drove a solid wedge of territory between the Boer Republics and German South-West Africa The importance of that auccess will be obvious if you can imagine German territories coterminous with the Transvaul Republic during the Boer War2

Kruger did much to keep open the hopes of the German colonial party On one occasion he spoke as

follows to a party of Germans at Pretona "As a child Govt Blue Book C-4587, pp. 87, 91, 110, 119, Busmarck Some Becret Pages III. p. 144.

For the Bochuana Question at e John Mockenzie by W D Mackenzie, chr. XI-XIV, also his articles in the Contemporary Remember 1884-5

"grows up, it requires bigger cluthes, the old ones will "hurst; and that is our positinn today. We are growing "up, and although we are young, we feel that, if one nation tires to kick us, the other will try to stop it...! I feel "sure that, when the time comes for the Republic in "wear still larger clothes, you will have done much to "bring it shout." The meaning of these words is fairly clear. The Boer Republics hoped in acquire the whole of South Africa; and in that adventure they confidently expected the help of Germany.

In other regions Germany gained enormously. The Cameroons (1895), German East Africa (1886-1890), German New Guines (1884-5), were the three apheres where aha acquired large tracts at the expensa of British firms. Samos and other islands fell to her later, Samoa not fully till 1900. In the prosecution of some of these designs German actions were nt times aignally unacrupulous. The acts of Dr Nachtigal on the Guinea coast and of Dr Peters in East Africa showed with what dexterity 'scientific' expeditions could be used for the purpose of stealing many marches on the British Government and securing many thousands of square miles from native chiefs. As a piece of diplomatic cunning, the revelations of Bucher respecting a German scheme to seize Zanzihar, are almost unique. It failed only hecause the German agent, Rohlfs, bragged about his mission at Cape Town1; and consequently Kirke, our Consul at Zanzihar, was ahle tn take precautionary measures. Even so, however, he was unable to save British interests in the Hinterland, which pnw forms German East Africa.

17

Bismarck; Some Secret Pages. un. 145; Parl. Papers, Africa, No. 1. For Samoa see R. L. Stevenson, A Footsole to History. R. 1.

Another curious episode concerns the Kiel Canal It is not generally remembered that Bismarck was the first seriously to propose the cutting of that canal and the cession of Helipoland by Great Britain This appears from a Memorandum of Lord Granville in the spring of 1884 Count Munster, the German ambassador at London, broached the subject of Heligoland to Lord Granville in the following terms

It was a place of no importance to us to its present state, whereas it would be of immense importance to Germany, to ourselves, and the whole world, if it was made totals good harbour of refuge. This would be an expensive work for us to undertake. We could not be expected to go to such an expense, whereas Germany would be quite ready to undertake it. Prince Bismarck wished to cut a canal into the Baltic, which also would be a great advantage to us as the most powerful nation of the world. But Heligoland, which of course would be always open to our ships, would be a necessary key to such a plan.

Count Munster and it was as good as impounde that Germany and England should ever be at war, bet the cession of Heligoland would strengthen the good feeling of Germany towards this country to an extraordinary decree.

Lord Granville here interected the remark that, doubtless, the surrender of Gibraltar to Spain would strengthen the good feelings of Spain towards us in an extraordinary degree After this damping comment Count Munster was more reserved, and begged Lord Granville not to mention the matter to any of his colleagues.

There, then, the affair ended for the present Bnt,

1 He proposed the canal in 1873 1 at was successfully opposed by Moltke and the military party Burns ck Reflections and Reminiscences (vol. 11. pp. 32-4) The scheme met with more favour in 1885 (ib p 34). On the value of Heligoland to Germany see Count Revention Deutsch. lande autreartice Politik (1888-1913), pp. 44-9

in recent times, William I and Bismarck, not the present Kaiser, originated the notion in the Kiel and North Sea Canal That Bismarck shrunded the scheme with a philanthronic glamour, and, with the same specious professions, sought to wheedle us into the cession in Heligoland, only marks his sense both of the gullibility of the British public and of the good nature of Lord Granville. In this case he somewhat overshot the mark

It is worth noticing that the colomal expansion of Germany occurred at a time when she had no fleet adequate to cope with the British fleet. In truth, the British Government, both that nf Mr Gladstone and that nf Lord Salishury, looked upon that expansion as a natural and commendable development. Mr Gladstone went so far as to utter these words of benediction "If Germany "is th become a colonising Power, all I can say is, God "speed her She becomes our ally and partner in the "execution of the great purposes of Providence for the "advantage of mankind I hall her in entering upon "that course, and glad will I he to find her associating "with us in carrying the light of civilization, and the "blessings that depend upon it, to the more backward "and less significant regions of the world" Mr Joseph Chamberlain, though less benevilent, was equally specific On January 5, 1885, he said-"If foreign nations are "determined to pursue distant colonial enterprises, we "have no right to prevent them", but he added that we would protect our colonies if they were seriously menaced²

¹ See Prince Hohenlohe s Memoirs (Eng edit.) in 311 "Gladitions may remain in office. It will be good for us, bad for England. (Nov. 2, 1884).

¹ Mr Chamberlain's Speeches (1914), z. p. 136

Lord Salisbury also was friendly to Germany, regarding her as a possible check on Russia1 After 1886 she became so to some extent, a fact which probably explains the extreme complaisance of the Salisbury Cabinet to that

of Berlin in 1890 This topic must be dealt with later. Here I have sought to show that the German mercantile class pushed on its Government to a colonial policy that Bismarck

(the incarnation of prudence after 1875) entered reluctantly on that new and doubtful path and that German colonial aims met with no opposition from Great Britain. except where her vital interests were at stake 1 Burmarch Some Socret Pages III 143



LECTURE II

THE KAISER

Principes pro victoria pugnant comites pro principe (Tactius, Germania, ch. 14)

AMONO no people bas the leader and ruler counted for more than among the Germans. With them personal unfinence has prevailed over the dictates of law and of a constitution. Tacitus noticed that peculiarity among the aucient Germans. In the tribal assembly the chief carned his proposal more by his individual influence than by the authority of his office. So also in Beowulf, the chief is the designer of plans, the comrades are merely his followers, led by his forethought, nerved by his example, and rarely, if ever, questioning his decision.

The same is true of recent times The Great Elector and Frederick the Great made Prussau Under the two unwarlike successors of Frederick, the Eingdom declined in strength and, in fact, nearly penshed, until Blücher and Gnessenau arose to lead the Prussians once more to victory The contrast hetween that "King Waverer," Frederick William IV, and the victor of Sedan, William I, is starting, but look at the time surrounding Kaiser William—Bismatck, Moltke, Roon—and the nddle is solved In ordinary times the German is home-loving,

passive Under a great leader he displays the old . Berserkur rage

This dependence of Germans on their leaders may be explained thus Their geographical aitustion was weak, for they had no well-defined natural boundanes. Therefore a vigorous lead had to make up for the lack of natural advantages. Also their laws and institutions were never thoroughly Romanized, Accordingly, until a recent time the Germanic State has been weak, and the idea of law has not dominated life as it has among the Latin

peoples. The Germans have therefore depended more than any people on their great men. On the appearance of an inspinne leader, their doculity is phenomenal In the present age, a leader, who is also ruler, has called forth to ntmost tension all the energies of the German

race He has accomplished this feat, owing to the con ditions of German national life and the charms of his personality His character is more complex and enigmatical than

that of any sovereign of our time, indeed since that of the first Napoleon There are very diverse strains in his nature. Its basis is Hohenrollern, and he seems to have forced to the front this side of his being for he is a man of strong will power, as nearly all the Hohenzollerns have been Occasionally, as in the case of Frederick William II (1786-1797) there have been sovereigns remarkable for love of victous pleasures but in the main the Prussian Kings have worked hard and lived simply They have been energetic Commanders in Chief, not

remarkable for width of view or variety of attainments. Macaulay has thus trenchantly described Frederick William I father of Frederick the Great "The husiness "of life according to him, was to drill, and to be drilled
"The recreations suited to a pronce were to at in a cloud
"of tobacco smoke, to ap Swedish beer, to play back"gammon for three half pence a rubber, to hill wild
"hogs, and to shoot partridges by the thousand." The
Macaulay touch is always too staceato Still, it is true
that the life of the old Hohenzollerns was rough, almost
hoorish

There were, however, two prominent exceptions-Frederick I (1688-1713) and Frederick William IV (1840-61) The latter, the great-uncle of the present Kaiser, was a man of varied attainments, and to him we must pay attention, for it is clear that the Kaiser inherits, in the main, two sets of tendencies The former of these is derived from his grandfather, William I (1861-1888), a man of simple, ngid, and yet not unkindly nature, of the usual Prussian type, while his predecessor, his brother, Frederick William IV, was a man of singularly versatile genius, but utterly deficient in steadfastness of aim In conversation he pleased, in action he disgusted, everybody Quick to speak, overflowing in ideas, roman-tic in his ontlook on life, he was the ornament of every social circle, but the despair of every Cabinet That cosmopolitan statesman, Baron Stockmar, saw him during a royal visit to the British Court in 1842 for the purpose of acting as godfather to His late Majesty, Edward VII In a confidential interview the King exhibited his powers of speech and his restless ambition During an hour he dilated on the precarious position of Belgium He felt certain that, in case of a Franco-Prussian war, France would at once seize the Belgian fortresses Even in time of peace, he said, Belgium

tended to gravitate towards France This was dangerous for Germany, and, as the natural protector of Germany, he suggested that the best course of action for Belgum would be to enter the Germanne Confederation He set forth his views enthusanteally and eloquentity, and seemed somewhat surprised when Stockmar maintained that Belgum was resolved to nphold its independence Stockmar found him a man of sentiment, poetical, in clined to mysticism, a dreamer in politics, and by no means a statesman.

In fact, his lack of statesmanship was always apparent Thus, after instituting a Prussian United Landtag in 1847, he read it an extremely irritating lecture at the opening Session—They were not representatives of the People He derived his kingly authority from God alone, and be would never allow a sheet of paper (i.e. a constitution) to come between "the Lord God in Heaven and his subjects". The same thought led him to reject the crowm of a democratic German Empire founded in 1849. He referred accomfully to the new imperial crown as "the iron letter "by which the descendant of four and twenty sovereigns, with rinde of the "hravest and most loyal army in the world, would be "made the more serf of the Revolution".

This unfortunate King possessed many fatal gifts He frequently wove plans which it was beyond his power to carry out for he let his faculties run hither and thither and never concentrated them on one practicable object. After seeing all his plans miscarry, he, in the year 1857, showed symptoms of lunacy, and the last four years of his life were marked by hopeless madness.

¹ Mems of Baron Stockmar II. pp 78-85

His younger hrother Wilham I was far less imagin ative and sensitive A plain man who never saw far ahead he often made mistakes bit, as he never talked much, no one saw that they were mistakes and he generally had the good sense to retrace his steps before it was too late After his death in 1888 Bismarck went so far as to say of him When anything of importance 'was going on he usually hegan by taking the wrong 'road but in the end he always allowed himself to be "put straight again?"

Now, that is literally true at many points of his career Probably his reign would have ended in disaster but for the engularly ahle guidance of Bismarck and his coadjutors. We must however, add that Kaiser Wilham I had a good eye for character, and when he found a trusty counsellor, he never dismissed him, however trying the times. He supported his Ministers steadfastly, and he himself ran straight towards a well defined goal Distrusting his own abilities, which were elight, he hearkened to good counsel, and therefore the reign of that plan, unassuming solder ended amidst a galaxy of glory

Striking the mean between the two brothers, we should arrive at an interesting compromise—a man restless in habit and romantic of speech, yet also possessing great power of organization, a weaver of daring schemes, yet also patient and persistent in preparing for their execution, an orator, yet also a man of action, a lover of the arts, but pre etumently a soldier Such a man is Kaiser William II

He is, I believe, an example of atavism, that is, his nature recurs to that of the previous generations In few

¹ Burmarck Some Secret Pages ID 176

traits of his character does he resemble his father or mother, except in fondness for literature, art, and music, and those characteristics he shares with his great uncle. As is well known his mother, formerly Princess Royal of Great Britain, was very clever-far too clever for the Prussian Court of her days, and her sharp ironical remarks, no less than her decidedly English ways, often brought her into difficulties Further, the almost democratic views of the father, the Emperor Frederick, were extremely unpopular in Court circles, witness the hrutal remark of Busch, after his death, at his relief of the removal of that 'incubus" Such was the general feeling among the governing classes and the present Kaiser seems to have displayed very little filial affection during the long drawn out agony of that winter and spring of 1888

With his mother he had previously been on strained terms owing to her rather too open expression of progressive views and her fondness for England His annoyance came to a head, early in the year 1888, owing to the ardent love of his eister, Victoria, for Prince Alexander of Battenberg a noble and chivalrous character. heloved by nearly everybody except his uncle, the Tsar of Russia Because that marriage would have offended the Tsar, besides introducing one more ally of England into the Court circle, the present Kaiser and Bismarck bitterly opposed it The Empress Victoria no less firmly advocated it, but, finally, for reasons of State, she and her daughter had to give way Bismarck's Journal shows that it was our Queen, who, during a visit to Berlin. counselled the surrender of the happiness of her grand daughter in order to restore peace in the Imperial family Bumarck, Fome Secret Pages, Bil. 190

at Potsdam Queen Victoria did more she hrought about a reconciliation hetween Prince William and his mother There, don'thless, is the reason for the veneration which he has always felt for the Queen Empress. Her death in 1901 inaugurated a period of greater strain hetween Great Britain and Germany. At this point, again, the atavism of his nature is well marked, and this peculiarity, together with the special reason for gratitude to his grandmother, acted as a check on his anti British feelings. How strong they were may be judged by a traffing incedient. On one occasion his sister, Victoria, talked about being "at bome" in England. At once he flung at her an epithet which is semi officially reported to have been either "goose" or "sheep"?

Opposition to parents and to brothers and aisters is often a trait of very decided natures, and it was therefore traditional in the House of Hobenzollern, which is nothing if not decided and determined. We think of Frederick the Great in his youth, caned, starved, and once all but shot, by his bullying father And the course of the Hobenzollerns has generally been one of sharp nigaged during successive reigns. The revolt of the present Kaiser against the peaceful and progressive tendencies of his father early became evident. He was always a soldier. At the age of eight he exacted a military salute from a somewhat negligent sentinel?, and at the age of 23 his portrait was thus limined by Bismarck. "He "wishes to take the Government into his own hands he is energetic and determined, not at all disposed to put

¹ Bismarck, Some Sectet Pages, 111 184, 183 M. Harden, Monarche and Men, pp. 16 99 ⁹ Maurice Leadet The Emperor William at home (Eng. edit. p. 27)

"up with parliamentary Co regents—a regular Guardsman
"Perhaps he may one day develop into le rocher de bronze
"of which we stand in need" A little later the Chancellor
received from the young prince a curious present—his
portrait with the omnous words written underneath—
"Cave, adaum."

The groundwork of the Katser's character is therefore stifily and aggressively old-Prussian Apart from his artistic leanings, he exhibits a recurrence to the earlier type. His patriotism is intense, almost furious, and therein hes the scoret of his power. He has evoked a storm of patriotic fervour such as the world has not seen for a century past. Against such a man it is childish merely to rail. To insult him is far worse. Our duty should be to try to understand him, to find out the secret of that influence which he has exerted upon his people, to absorb the best elements of German national strength into our more torpid and ill organized society. Firstly, then, let us notice his phenomenal activity,

Firstly, then, let us notice his phenomenal activity. He is one of the hardest workers in that nation of hard workers. By example, as well as by precept, he requires the utmost amount of efficient toul in every grade of life, and the motive everywhere is the same it is for the Fatherland Germany tolerates no drones. The hive awarms with workers, and sport, though it has gained ground of late, does not absorb the large, the dangerously large, share of the nation's energies which it unfortunately does in these islands. In Germany the welfare of the nation comes first, the pleasure of the individual comes second, and neither the Kaiser, nor the public opinion

^{3 &}quot;Take care I am near you." M Harden, p. 96 Bismarck Some Secret Pages, m. 56

which he has trained, would tolerate, in times of grave national crises, the holding of great football matches for the sale of the gate money which they bring in The Kaiser's career has been a constant appeal for national efficiency, and hence the prodigious strength which Germany is now mutting forth

Kaiser William could not have exerted his phenomenal influence, had he not been endowed by nature with considerable personal charm. After the reign of the stiff and severe William I and the concentrated tragedy of the three months' reign of Frederick III, the advent of the young War lord was hailed with enthusiasm. His bearing betokened the guardsman his varied accomplishments dazzled the Court, his words set the blood tingling He resembled Henry V after the cantious Henry IV, as limited by Shakespeare

Ely Cant

We are blessed in the change of Hear him but reason in divinuity, And, all admiring with an inward with, You would desire the King were made a prelate Hear him debate of commonwealth affairs. You would say it hath been all in all his study List his discourse of war, and you shall hear A fearful battle rendered you in music. Turn him to any cause of policy, The Gordan knot of it he will unlosse.

Familiar as his garter

Here is a very favourable account of the Kaiser penned by the late Mr Edward Dicey, just before the State visit to London in the spring of 1911

No one can be in his company for long without feeling the charm of his presence and learning something of the breadth of his mind. He seems to be able to converse on anything and to converse equally well on all subjects, nor is the knowledge he shows superficial He always goes to the root of the question, and it would be unwise for anyone not armed at all points to seek an audience with His Imperial Majesty He talks quite openly, and in a way which gives confidence, and he quickly turns from one subject to another just as the conversation leads him. Courteous and kind, he makes you feel at home at once, and, while his bearing and mien command respect, he in no way demands homaget

This natural and impulsive manner he inherited from his mother, who could rarely resist the temptation of saving a clever thing But there again the Kaiser's eloquence end love of oratory is akin to that of his greatuncle He is one of the ablest impromptu speakers of his Empire Two examples of his art must suffice. In November 1901 at e meeting of the Institution of Neval Architects in Charlottenburg he was present at a lecture followed by e discussion At the end of the discussion. to the utmost surpuse of the audience, he rose from his seat, and, ascending the rostrum, delivered a speech which well summed up the whole of the question in dehate Never losing himself in technicalities, he made the question live, lightening it once with a touch of humour2

The other occasion was even more remarkable. It occurred during a festivity at the University of Berlin. Arndt's patriotic song of 1813.

> "Der Gott der Eisen wachsen ließ. Der wollte keine Knechte"

had raised enthusiasm to a high pitch, and that enthusiasm bore the Kaiser to the rostrum The opening sentences were somewhat forced end nervous; but his

¹ The Empire Review, May, 1911

² L. Elkind, The German Emperor's Speeches, pp. 251-3.

will soon hamshed all nervousness. The full, sonorous voice hegan to fill the great hall and dominate the situation, until at the end the audience spontaneously burst forth into the patriotic song—"Heil dir im Sieger krant."

Kauser Wilhelm possesses the imaginative gifts which add dignity to oratory. His love of Germany's nichly storned past enriched the speech which he delivered in 1902 at Aix la Chapelle, the city of Charlemagne. After dwelling on those historic associations, he launched out on a wider sphere.

So powerful and so great a figure was thes mighty Germanic Prince, that Rome berieff offered him the dignity of the Roman Cessars, and be was chosen to enter upon the brintage of the Imperium Romanum—assuredly a splendid recognition of the Emisency of our German race, then entering on the stage of battery. But to mute the office of the Roman Emperor with the dignity and burdens of a Testone king was a task beyond the power of man. What he, with his mighty personality, was oble to accomplish, fedured to his successors, and in their annetty to gain the Emperod the World, the later Imperial dynastics lost night of the German nation and country?

Would that Kaiser William had learnt that lesson!

There is in his nature a decided vein of romanticisms. It appears in his love of old German hterature—its sages and mythology. As an instance of the Kaiser's skilful handling of Norse mythology for the furtherance of his mantime designs, let me cite part of his speech at the launching of the ironclad, Hamidall, at Kiel in 1892.

We are now called upon to give the chip a name. Its name will be taken from the earliest history of our forefathers in the

2 lbd. p 71

¹ Lamprecht, Der Kasser (Berlin, 1913) pp. 74-6.

north. Thou shalt receive the name of the god to whom was entrusted, as his main function, the duty of defence of that god whose bounden duty it was to protect and keep the golden gates of Walhalla from every base intruder. As the god, when danger was afoot, blew a far-sounding blast on his golden horn and sum moned the gods to battle in the twilight of the gods, so may it be with thee. Glide down into thy element. Be thou ever a faithful warden of the seas. And if ever the day comes when thou art called upon to do hattle, deal destruction and devastation in the ranks of thy enemies1

A ruler whom the gods wish to destroy they endow with eloquence It is a fatal gift, especially in a con tinental potentate In the main, the successful monarchs have been plain, tactful, silent men From the time of Maximilian I to that of Napoleon the Great, and down to William II, rhetone has kindled enthusiasm in the people but it has also alarmed neighbouring Powers Never has it been more fatal than with Kaiser William A careful and sympathetic observer admits that he "becomes intoxicated with his own words?" This is undonbtedly the case and during many years all peace loving Germans trembled when it was rumoured that the Emperor was about to speak or had fired off a political telegram Finally his Chancellor had to insist that both speeches and telegrams should be subjected to some measure of official supervision After that. Europe was much duller during many a long month

His worst enemies admit that he is a very interesting man, and like the great Napoleon, he hides under a pleasing unriace that reserve of strength which, by

¹ Elkind p. 257

As at Dobertz in 1903 (Lamprecht ibid pp. 69-77)

imposing respect and a certain secret fear, doubles the present witchery A sharp nod of the head, a flash of the eye, a ring in the tone of the voice, and you are reminded that under feline charm lies feline hardness

For the stern Hohenzollern nature is there, enriched though it was by the Guelph Coburg strain. Those old Hohenzollern Electors and Kings who thrashed their sons and dragooned their subjects bequeathed to him a nature which no civilian training could wholly modernize Kaiser William's parents bad sought to bend his nature towards industrial and economic studies, and therefore sent him to school nt Cassel, with an instruction that the artistic side of his nature was to he developed. He was to visit museums, factories, and mines1 He would have none of them. There and at the University of Bonn his chief interest was in the army and navy At Bonn his atudent's room was full of photographs of German warships, the description of which he knew by heart Voyages of adventure and discovery were his favounte study, and he longed to visit Egypt* By way of preparation, perhaps, for that visit, he encouraged the fighting spirit among the students M Amédée Pigeon, who knew him well at Bonn, writes of his passion for witnessing the students' sword duels "He would stand "for an bour around the combatants How often have "I seen him pale, nervous, attentive, watching the "play of the duellists He was happy in witnessing "those spectacles where blood flows, where often a bit "of a nose or a cheek is taken off by the sword... and his

² G Hunpeter Kauer Walkelm II (Buelefeld 1839).

Leadet, ch IL, Reventlow, pp 57-65, 100-2, 'Reichsgewalt ist Seegewalt und Seegewalt Reichsgewalt."

"pleasure was redoubled in cluding the police, who are "supposed to discountenance these duels," but who, in "fact, tolerate and wink at them!"

Everyone agrees that he was always extremely self willed Even his tutor, Himpeter, in an almost official panegyric, admits that, while outwardly obedient to University discipline, he went his own way entirely in the mental domain—witness the following His first tutor in matters religious helonged to the progressive school, but he was suddenly replaced by an extremely orthodox tutor. The change made no difference whatever to the pupil's religious beliefs? The incident does not necessarily prove imperviousness at all parts of the

to the pullis sequence sense and sense the constraint more mecessarily prove imperviousness at all parts of the hrain, but it may be taken as symptomatic A man possessed of great will power and personal charm can generally dominate others, and the Kaiser has exercised a uniquely fascinsting and controlling power over the German people As an American writer has said, wherever you touch the German people, you touch the Kaisers Here we may cuts as witness one of the most prolifie and patriotic of the German professors Dr Lamprecht of Leipzig has written the most careful and life like study of the Kaiser that has yet appeared It was founded on personal knowledge, and on information procured from the men about him It contains two companion portraits, one drawn in 1901, the other in or just before 1913 A desire for exactifude. with which there were doubtless mingled considerations of a prudential nature, led Herr Lamprecht to submit the former effort to his illustrious sitter, and it was

Leudet, ch. 21 ° G Hinzpeter pp. 6-7 P Collier, Germany and the Germany p. 106

approved The picture may therefore be regarded as a full length royal portrait of the standard Royal Academy type

Lamprecht lays great stress on the Kaiser's powers of persuasion. He writes "When one listens to Min "issters one is again and again amazed at the extent to "which they merely repeat the Emperor's ideas, and "whoever has seen opponents coming from an interview "with him must have been equally struck by the way in "which they were dominated by the charm of his person-"ality, at all events so long as the immediate effect of "his words lasted".

Professor Lamprecht points to certain defects in the Kaiser's character. He instances his impulsiveness, his absty resolves and his eventshing restlessness. He also remarks on the cunous dualism of the Kaiser's nature, that reason and ambition are pushing him forward to daring enterpiacs, that sentiment and family associations link him with the past. This is undemable. Ancestor worship the Kaiser carnes almost to Chinese lengths. He calls his grandfather's palace in Uniter den Landen. "a sacred spot" He speaks of "the sacred feet" of that Emperor, and asserts that William I, if he had lived long ago, would have been canomized, and pilgrims would hive come to pray to his bones?

As to the Kareer's religion, the professor does not say much, and it is pseudarly difficult now to dilate on that topic without generating irrational heat. It is well, however, to remember that Kuser William I was a pious man, but his piety was coloured by his early associtions and ingrained ideas. It was a compromise hetween tons and ingrained ideas.

¹ Lamprecht, pp. 32-3.

^{*} Ibid. pp. 39-40

Christianity and Prussian militarism Outwardly, he professed the creed of the New Testament, but his guiding spirit was that of the Old Testament-the Prussian army was the chosen people in arms, smiting the Canaanites hip and thigh In one of his last public utterances he said to the present Kaiser "If ever a "Government was visibly directed by Providence, the "German Government has been during these late years" That is the feeling also of the grandson His Christianity has somehow stopped short at the Book of Kings

In hazarding this statement, I am in general agreement with Professor Lamprecht, who asserts that the Kaiser's religion is of a primitive type, and has its roots in ancestorworship There is much of truth in this statement Indeed, a loval subject of the Kaiser has eet on foot an ancestor hunt and has compiled volumes containing descriptions of 2006 of them

As we shall soon see, the Kasser's conception of the future state is that of a kind of Walhalla, where his ancestors occupy the foreground and anxiously watch his exploits Lamprecht admits that at Potsdam the Christian Deity figures as the Lord of Hosts, whose kingdom must be extended as far as the hounds of the vellow races1

Evidently, then, religion and Weltpolitik merge into one another and become almost convertible terms. The close connection between them was clear in the year 1897, when the murder of two German missionaries in Kien-Chao led to the immediate seizure of that important district.

The importance of religion as an instrument of govern-1 Lamprecht p 42.

ment has never been more frankly stated than hy the Kaiser The following words to recruits are an example "He who is not a good Christian is not a good Prussian "soldier, and in no circumstances can he fulfil what is "required of a soldier in the Prussian army" Again Your duty is not easy it demands of you self control "and self denial—the two highest qualities of the Christian, also unlimited ohedience and submission to the will "of your superiors' And again 'As I Emperor and "ruler, devote the whole of my actions and ambitions to "the Fatherland, so you must devote your whole life "to me1" He is excited by martial display and large assemblies, and it is confidently affirmed by Germans assembles, and it is connicately shrined by Germans that too much importance need not he ascribed to his nifter dinner apeeches. In short, his temperament is at times almost neurotic. The symptoms of that nature are perhaps due to a disease in the ears which at one time seemed serious. Some aixteen years ago, Dr Bucheron, n French specialist, wrote concerning this complaint that it could be cured partially but never completely eradicated. In an acute form it caused excessive irritability, which manifested itself in onthreaks of rage, with relapses into gloom Another symptom of the disease was lack of due affection for parents* Whether this furnishes the explanation for the peculiar conduct of the Kaiser in 1888, I will not venture to say Perhaps that unfillal conduct had its roots in an instinctive physical repulsion Both his parents died of cancer

Lamprecht p 43
W von Schierbrand, Germanj the Welding of a World Power (London 1902) p 19

² M. Leudet (Eng edit.) p. 55 Even II napeter (p. 8) says he was accused of heartlessness and obstinant

"tubeo"

٠,٠

Outwardly the Kaiser appears a strong and healthy man, and he seems to have recovered from the ear trouble But there is certainly something wrong with him, as, for instance, his excessive liability to catch cold The question arises whether his ailments, be they mental or physical, do not account for the peculiarities of his conduct. His actions both in private and in public, display an almost febrile restlessness. It is an open secret that he often takes morphia, doubtless in order to procure intervals of calm for himself and his subjects But the restless symptoms recur, and drive him forth to review garrisons, inspect ships, make speeches, and act as a general stimulus to the world Professor Lamprecht asserts that the Kaiser becomes calmer in crises, and that those who know declare that he will show himself at his best in great emergencies 1 That remains to be proved

A restless nature is nearly always self-assertive, and a self-assertive ruler is certain to be an autocrate Louis XIV and Napoleon never uttered more autocrated dicta than the Kaiser Witness these 'One only is "master within the Empire, and I will tolerate no other" "Those who oppose me in my work I will crush '(March 5, 1890) "My course is the right one, and I shall continue "to steer it. (Feb. 1892) In 1893 to the recruits "There is but one law and that is my law 'Finally, under his portrait presented to the Ministry of Public Worship at Bestin he wrote the motion "Sic volo, see

His son takes after him in this respect. Hence the opposition to parents, traditional in the House of

Hohenzollern, is once again acute, and the imperial palace has been the scene of open quarrels, often followed by the departure of the Crown Prince for the sake of health, and, in one instance, by his transference to a distant garrison town. It may, perhaps finally transpure that the crisis of last July ended fatally owing to the interference of that hot headed young prince

From the outset, the autocracy of the Kaiser was seen to be a danger to the peace of the world. His first proclamation to the army ended thus. "You are shout to "take the oath of allegance and ohedience, and on my "part I selemnly vow always to he mindful of the fact what the eyes of my ancestors are looking down upon "me from the other world, and that one day I shall have "to render to them an account both of the glory and "the honour of the Army" (June 15, 1889)

The distrist aroused by the dobut of the young war-

'the distrust aroused by the dbut of the young warlord did not vanish wholly ten days later when he assured
the Reichstag "I am determined to keep peace with
"everyone so far as it lies in my power" He added that
he would not use for aggressive purposes the army, which
had heen strengthened by the Army Bill of Feb 6, 1883
Before long, the Kaiser's policy became more and more
expansive, and his utterances more and more threatening
Here are some of them "Our future hes upon the water",
"I will never rest until I have raised my Navy to a
"position similar to that occupied by my Army", "Ger"man colonial aims can only be gained when Germany
"has become master on the ocean"

The imprudence of these remarks is almost Bernhardilike Or rather, we may put it thus that hoth the Emperor and Bernhardi have carried to excess the rule

from 1890 to 1914

of frank speech long practised with success by Bismarch on the mendacions diplomatic circles of Frankfurt and Vienna The Butish people would not have paid much attention to these atterances but for two important considerations. Already, by the year 1888, Germany had a large colonial Empire, aufficient for her present

needs and her administrative energies. Why, then, should the young Kaiser proclaim his land hunger, still more, his devouring thirst? Again, if he intended to make

both his army and his navy aupreme, such a policy implied the adoption of plans dangerous to France, Russia, and Great Britain Would these Powers allow such a policy to be pushed on to its natural conclusion. For that conclusion was nothing less than supremacy over the rest of the world Themeeforth attention was rivetted on the actions of William II Would he, as he often professed, sum at a peaceful ascendancy, in the realms of science manufacture and commerce? Or would that mercantile power be only the spring board from which Germany would less to world supremacy in the sphere of arma? That has been the ouestion of questions

The personality of a great man is the more interesting because it can rarely he fathomed or because it is impulses result from the clash of opposites the trumph of which can never be accurately gauged On several occasions the Kasser has acted as a friend of peace That fact must never he forgotten But whether it resulted from a fixed resolve, or from the temporary restraint of prudential motives, can at present only he conjectured We do not know whether this war had its origin in his fixed convictions and resolvers, or, on the other hand,

whether his earlier peaceful tendencies were overborne by external pressure at Court There is a third after native—that his own impatience at an admittedly trying situation led him to force a way out at a time which he deemed exceptionally favourable

deemed exceptionally lavourance.

These alternatives we shall consider later. Mean while, we have seen that the Kaiser is a man of stimulating personality and tremendous energy. He has energised the German people to a degree never hefore known in their history. Never before have they undergone sacn fices of man and treasure so appalling, and it is certain that they have made those sacrifices in part, for the Kaiser, who to them embodies the Fatherland.

In this power of calling forth devotion as also in the nddle of his personality he may challenge companson with Napoleon I True, he is a smaller man at nearly every point, except in regard to music and the arts He is not so successful an organiser, so acute a legislator, so profound a strategist, as the Corsican But in several respects he resembles him. In both men we notice a union of imaginative faculties and practical gifts. They could dream dreams of a world wide Empire and also do much to prepare for their realization. To William as to Napoleon there came the call of the Ocean, and both felt the glamour of the Orient Egypt, India, and parts of America exercized a fascination on them, and alliances and fleets, science and engineering, were pressed into their service with feverish haste in order to be able to face the Island Power which stood in their way The vastness of the resources at their command exercized a haneful influence upon minds which were equally despotic and unhending, while the neurotic strain in their natures led them to insist on immediate and unquestioning obedience both in trilling matters and in questions of high policy With Napoleon's sudden masstance that his architect should on the very next day begin the construction of the Carrousel Arch, of which as yet there was no plan, compare the following account of William's frasy precipitation in regard to the conduct of foreign affairs (1890)

The Emperor wants to settle every detail orders the Secretary of State, who has spent half the night at his desk, to submit the latest telegrams and advices to him in the very early morning, and then directs at once how everything must be arranged Such a system leaves no room for the quest consideration which should procede every decision. It is another bad feature that His Majesty to often duals privately with curvoys¹

The mania for control, natural to proud and resiless natures, told adversely both on the Coracan and the Hohenzollern. The wider the domain over which it ranges the more imperious becomes the craving for command, until what hegan with nervous interference in details ends in megalomana fatal to a mighty Empire, for, while the mind of the ruler revolves enterprises on an ever vaster scale, his pedantic interferences reduce counsellors to the level of clerks, thenceforth unable to moderate the impulses of a diffuse and unbrilled ambition

Such a character, moreover, tends to evente and madden a whole people, for men are thrilled not less by great enterprises than by the alluming genus which appeals for their accomplishment. Both Napoleon I and Wilham II had the power of fining all about them with their own favensh energy and of interpreting the half conscious.

desire of the multitude. Each leader p of essent at timeto work for peace wet each led his na ion to the brink of disa, or without fore each the dangers ahead. In truth, both of them possessed gear or enemy than foresight greate driving p wer than coring power. They were good enumeers but possible to Now and amain they were observed by not of pass on that amound fear and distrible so that we may apply to the Kaise the surremark of Talleyrand about Napoleon. "He has never-"had but one dan erous occuping a shain." him— "himself!"

If we test these men by compania their pos ion in the penods of their me and of their decline we hall find suggestive analoge By their thirtie h year took ruled as unquestioned masters ever the grea ex military S ates in the woll and their ne aboure locat to see who her they would not contented. As is well known the Peace of Amiens was, on the part of British Vin., enan experiment. They wished to see whether the First Consul would not be eathfield with the natural fron iere and the development of the great France which has comits had called to being Similaly the world has been was ing to see whether the marn ficent patrimony of the German Empire and its many colonies would suffice f -William II or whe har he would chalenge o har States of wide-spreading lands, no ably the British and Russian Empires and the va. domains of France

There was much to give him pause. The career of Napoleon, ending in run when he chall it rid bo h Res a and Creat Botton at the same time should have prescribed caution. But just a Napoleon in 142 hacked

More de Talleprend to p. 13.

his way through to Moscow, though he had of late been studying the disastrons Russian Campaign of Charles XII of Sweden so too it would seem Kaiser William has in him that overweening pride, that perverse obstinacy, which brooks no advice and scorns all difficulties, even if he has to bridge chasms with the corpses of his devoted followers He, too, has challenged Russia and Great Britain at one and the same time, despite the warnings of his grandfather never to break with Russis, despite the advice of Bismarck not to offend needlessly the Island Power Probably the Kaiser did not see whither

his vague and grandiose schemes were leading him, for he comes of a family which prospered of late not so much by mats genus as by the genus of its counsellors But surely ordinary prudence should have warned him that he was courting defeat in all quarters, at Paris and Petrograd, at London and Tokso His mistakes or those of his Ministers, are more astounding than those of Napoleon For the disaster of 1814 ought to have flashed a danger signal, warning the Imperial watchman of 1914 But now and again there arise rulers on whom experience is thrown away

In them self will is a disease, and their social charms serve but to spread broadcast the contagion of their warlike enthusiasm From them and their paladins half a Continent catches the fatal frenzy, and, under the plea of national honour or national necessity, rushes to its doom

LECTURE III

GERMANY'S WORLD-POLICY

"Das Schichsel Deutschlands is", also, England ' (ROHEBBEH, "Der deutsche Gedanke in der Welt. Preface)

THE tremendous energy recently put forth by the German people may be ascribed to various cau es. The Kaiser has during many years exerted upon them uniquely stimulating force, which has raised to blood heat the political temperature of that people, the result being

the political temperature of that people, the result being that human energies of all kinds are pressed into the service of the State to a degree which elsewhere is unknown. Consequently, the nation is a fighting organism of un-

equalified efficiency, which, almost single-handed, has held at bay three Great Powers This outburst of national energy is also due to the German Universities Duning many years there has prevailed in those bodies an intensely patinotic feeling, which may be traced largely to the treachings of Treitschke.

which has be describingly to the teachings of frederinks. Saron though he was, he, somewhat hie young Körner before him, became an enthusia-tic Prusuan; and his lectures on History at Berhn (1874-1895) helped on the

growth of the new German Chauvinism He idolized Prussia because she embodied the ideal of power Apart 46

from her, Germany was backboneless With her, Germany could become, nay must become, a World Power Strength was the supreme political virtue Weakness the supreme political vice In BL I of Die Politil he defines the

State as-' the public power of offence and defence."

He dismisses at once Hegel's notion of the State as the totality of the people According to Treitschke, the State is something over and above the people "The

'State protects and embraces the life of the people,

"regulating it externally in all directions It demands "ohedience its laws must be Lept, whether willingly or "unwillingly The State says 'It is quite indifferent "'to me what you think about the matter, but you must

" ohey " And again 'The renunciation of its own "power is for the State in the most real sense the sin "against the Holy Ghost"

Treitschke asserted emphatically that Germany ought to expand The triumph of 1870 must not eatisfy her All great States he says, will continue to develop hy an inflexible law of Nature 'He is a fool who believes that

"this process of development can ever cease"

At whose expense must Germany expand? Treitschlo left it in no doubt A new world that of the non European

peoples is coming within the scope of our activities, and the European States must subdue them directly or indirectly England was first in the race for World

Empire, and by force or fraud she seized the best lands: "England while posing as the defender of Liberalism, "egged on the European States against one another,

"Lept Europe in a condition of latent unrest, and mean "while conquered half the world And if she continues

"to be successful in maintaining this condition of unrest

"into her big pocket" Trestschke it will be seen furbishes up the romances

of the pre-scientific chroniclers who tried to prove that Louis XII and XI even the Great Napoleon himself. were the agents provocateurs of England The insatiable islanders set the world in a turmoil in order to colour red new lands hevond the seas Trest, chie and his many

followers, if they were logical would affirm that Germany's annexation of Abace-Lorraine was due to perfidious Albion hecause it kept Germany and France at enmity The Eastern Question would also prove to he a happy hunting ground for mares nests of the same general

description

Nevertheless, his work claims careful attention For he pointed the Germans towards a World Empire He also urged them to develop political strength in order to found that Empire on the ruins of that of Great Britain Some German professors, notably Paulsen, have combatted his teaching but with little success. The spirit of Treitschke has for some few years past dominated the German Universities, and through them the schools of that land Therefore young Germans have grown up to helieve that they must one day fight Great Britain

Further, the population question pashes Germany on For the most part it is inland peoples that have most severely felt the pressure of a growing population Islanders and coast-dwellers can expand over the seas But when inland peoples outgrow their bounds, they must hurst them Tacitus in his Germania noted this tendency among the Tentons of his day, and observed that their young champions frequently swarmed off from the parent hive

After the war of 1870 Germany attained political

Only one European people increases faster, viz the

sciously harking hack to the primitive times when the young Teutonic bloods persuaded the tribal meeting to

41 000,000

49,400,000

56,400 000

66 000 000 (1)

attain These are the dominating facts of German life The population-problem often recurs, and yet it is with difficulty solved because the nation has with difficulty

acted as a whole umon, but, even so, she could not escape the cramping

conditions of her life Nay, they fettered her more and more as her prosperity mereased. Note the following figures of her population

1871

1890

1900

1913

Russian, and the Russians can overflow into Siberia In earlier times our population problem was serious, but our people migrated to new lands across the seas, which

could be had almost for the asking Germany, pressed hy the same problem, has had to put up with the less

desirable lands. Is it surprising that she feels landhunger? Endowed with a keen sense of national pride,

ahe was certain to experience some such feeling, and we, who have expanded partly by force of arms partly hy a

natural overflow of population, shall he foolishly blind if we do not try to understand the enemy's point of view The militant German of today is consciously or nicon

let them lead forth a band of warners to a land of plenty. The my threal Hengust and Horsas, with their long loats gut about with shields foreshedowed Kaiser Wilhelm sending forth his legions his warships his submarines, his Zeppelins. The events of today are a hiddon recurrence to the primewal state. I wewed in regard to its innormost causes the present war is an attempt at a 1 ollswanderung, and the atrocities that might its course may perhaps leasefuled in part at least to a singular harbondin national energy which finding itself cramped forces its way out on the line of least resistance towards the coveted mantime outlets. Solomes on the South I set Anti-erp and O tend on the North West. The longing for World Policy (Hielipolink) is merely a modern expression of an old.

In this sense, our war with Germany is one of people against people. The fact must be freed. It has been asserted that the nar was due to the Kaiser or to a few wicked persons at Berhn That is incorrect At least, it is only half the explanation At bottom the war is n determined and desperate effort of the German people to force its way through to more favourable political conditions. They refuse to see the great majority of their emigrants for ever lost to the latherland They are resolved at all costs to conquer some large part or parts of the world where German colonists can live and ling up families under the Hack white-and red flag They have definitely rejected the Free Trade ideal which looks on the world as potentially a single economic unit They have adopted with ardour the parrouls national ideals set forth by the Kai er and Treitschke. They laugh at Pree Trade theories as good only for college lecture

rooms They also reject the notion of economic spheres of influence, which might possibly have satisfied them if they had not become obsessed by the new gospel of power. But they are obsessed by it, and they intend to become

the great World Power
Early in the reign of the present Kaiser it was clear
that German policy would take a far wider and higher
Charles The relieve of Represent was decread actionated

flight The policy of Bismarck was deemed antiquated The old Chancellor had assight by a carrful system of allhances to secure the position of Germany in Europe. He succeeded He huilt up the Triple Alliance, and France and Great Britain and Russia were politically isolated He had secured many colonies, but not enough for the young Kaiser The colonial movement was to be accelerated and form part of a system of World Policy¹.

The quarrel between the Kaiser and Bismarck in 1890 must have arisen owing to some question of more than

personal import, for the latter at once ordered his secretary, Busch, to sort his papers and send them away for fear that the Kaiser might seize them. He also said that agues had been set to watch him.

The Kaiser did not plunge heedlessly into the new policy, for, indeed, in conduct he is generally more prudent than in speech. In 1800 be framed an agreement

with Great Britain wherehy Germany definitely accured

'The Germans are generally enfar to Bennerk, forgetting that
most of their colonies were acquired by him. Thus, Prince von Bibbo says (Ingered Germany "eg edit pp. 9, 10) "It is certain that
"Bunarick did not forewer the course of this new development of
"Germany" And again "If the course of trents demands that we
"transcend the Innits of Brimmyth's mans, thru we must do no"

² Bismarck, Some Secret Pages, Ill. 309, M Harden, Monarchs and Men, eh III The general explanation is that the Kaiser disliked Bismarck's anti socialist measures pose-sion of the large domains now known as German East Africa and German South West Africa On the other hand we acquired \yassaland and Somaliland, which in reality ought not to have been in dispute And in order to clinch this not very extisfactory bargain we surrendered to terminy the long covered island Heligoland It is well to recall the terms in which Count Munster first proposed the transfer of Heligoland to Germany in the year 1884. He assured our Foreign Minister Lord Granville that the transfer of Helicoland would be deemed a most friendly act and he skilfully represented it as furthering the came of peace (see Lecture I) As at that time the colonial rivalry of the two lands was very keen the British Government waved aside the propo, il But the Laiser in 1800 renewed his offers and they were fevourably received at London, because Lord Salisbury's Government wished to clear up all outstanding dispute. Aon, we may admit that it was an extremely important matter to arrange the partition of Africa without a war Considering the rivers of blood that have flowed for the possession sar, of the Spice Islands in the East Indie, and Cuba and Harti in the West Indies it was a triumph of the cause of peace to arrange a friendly partition of the centre and south of a mighty Continent. The previous decade had bristled with contentious questions, and it was well to get three-fourths of them settled in a friendly manner. as we end avoured to do

Then again, Heligoland was worth far more to Germany than it was to us, and in such a case the annuable course was to barter it awar in naturn for concessions by Germany Further, the island could have been fortified

only at enormous cost, which an eminent authority has placed at £2 000 000 and it was quite certain that Parliament would have refused any such sum for an islet which was then deemed certain to disappear heneath the wares

At the same time, it must be admitted that the transfer was a serious blunder, for it brought within the range of possibility the wast mantime schemes of the Kaiser Thereafter, he pushed on the Kiel Canal, and it is aig inficant that the opening ceremony, on June 18, 1895, hecame what a German white has termed "a magnificant demonstration in favour of peace". The Kaiser himself described the canal as "this new limb for the bleasing "and peace of the nations". But, as he also referred to the equiadrous of ironclads of various Powers there present as "a symhol of peace," the exact nature of the mission to be fulfilled by the canal remained matter for doubt

The year 1895 witnessed a notable extension of the activities of Germany She opposed strenuously the British proposals respecting the Congo Free State, which was then becoming a standing disgrace to circlization, and sharp friction ensued in the Press on this question.

Far more important was the Kauser's action in the Far East Early in the year 1895 China was hopelessly heaten by Japan, and the victorious islanders prepared to retain their chief conquest, vir., the Liso-tung Pennala, with its commending fortress, Port Arthur Russia, hacked up by both France and Germany, vigorously opposed this acquisition, and the Kolnizche Zeitung in an evidently inspreed article, declared that Japan was obviously hent on energling China and cutting her off from commerce with the order world. The three Powers

on April 23 demanded that Japan should withdraw from Port Arthur and the whole of the Pennsula, and Japan exhausted by the war had to over up the chief fruits of her triumph. Ever since she has remembered that Great Britum took no share in that act of coercon But she has remembered the part then played by Germany, and in August 1914 she tosted the sweets of an ironned revenge. In her ultimation to Cermany hidding her hand back the Shantung Pennsula to China she made use of the same hughty terms employed by Germany towards her in 1800.

In the year 1897 Germany took a notable step forward in World Policy by the sensine of Kino-Chao. That act was due to the Kane-himself. It was carried through against the projects of the German Chancellor Prince Hobenlobe and was therefore a breach of the German constitution. As is well known the murder of two German must onance furnished the preject for that high handed action. However, Mr. Sketchler, a minimprospector has stated that he had recently published a metallurineal map of that pennisula which showed at to be nich in miniments. We must therefore conjecture that the motive of the Germans was subterranean rather than celestial.

At that time the break up of the Chinese Empire seemed miniment and Emband in 1998 secured Wei her was as counterpart to Germany! Stee acquisition. The would be partitioning Powers were disappointed, for China displayed an obstinate vitality. After the Boxer Risin. Great Britain did much to check all schemes of the Weitern Powers by concluding the very important.

¹ W ros Cherbrack p. 31

54

agreement of January 30, 1902, with Japan Not only did it proclaim the entry of Japan into the circle of the Great Powers, but it served to check the inroads of the white race upon the yellow race which the Kaiser and others sought to justify by descanting upon "The Yellow Peril " Thenceforth achemes of partition of China fell into the hackground, and so did the Yellow Peril When the whole truth is known, it will prohably he found that the Anglo Japanese alliance gave pause not only to Russia hut also to Germany Her World Policy, so far as concerned the Far East, must have aimed at prizes far vaster than Kiao-Chao, but, as things have turned out it began with Kino Chao, and it ended with Kiao Chao

Herr Rohrhach one of the exponents of German World Policy, especially in the Levant, has observed that that ideal is characterized by vagueness, and that with difficulty it concentrates on any one aim1 Its diffuseness will be apparent in this lecture and the follow ing Indeed, this must be my excuse for making here an abrupt transition from China to South Brazil The Batter country has long attracted the attention of the German colonial party. Its climate, though sub tropical, is not unhealthy, the material resources are immense, and during many years there has been a large influx of German immigrants. Their numbers have been tanously estimated from a million to as low as 350,000. The German immigration does not equal the Italian But the Teuton acorns both the native Portuguese element and the Italians, still more the half castes. He is conscious of auperior vigour, and he feels the power

¹ P Robrbach, Deutschland unter den Weltrölleren n. 65

of the Fatherland hehind him. The German settlements in Brazil are compact their schools are supported from bome, 10,000 German school hooks have of late heen sent out and the teaching of Portuguese is for hidden. The poverty of the Brazilian exchequer his long warranted the hope that the country would come under German control. But American opinion grounded upon the Mouroe Doctrine defies Germany to interfere in any part of South America, and there is in the States a wide spread conviction that if the Kniser succeeds in this war, he will next attack them.

It is difficult for a Briton to form an unhussed judg ment on the Brazilan Question hut of all Germany's colonnal aims (and they are surprisingly wide and diffuse) those which centre in Southern Brazil seem the most reasonable. The land is enormous, the inhabitants are inferior to those whom Germany sends out, and a German Southern Brazil would add to the productivity of the world and to the welfare of mankind. But to this scheme the United States oppose an innunchle opposition Probably they are right, for, with the spectfucle of European arimaments before them, they naturally dread the incoming of German militarism into the New World, the southern part of which including Argentina, would in that case fall to the Teuton

In April, 1897, the journal, Die Grenzbeten, naïvely stated—"The possession of South Africa offiers greater "advantages in every respect than that of Brazil" The assertion may serve to remind us of the clash of German and British interests in that land from 1895 to the present year There was much to recommend South Africa to the Germans Possessing a splendid climate, in which

the white race attains to physical perfection, holding the keys of the Indian and Sonthern Oceans, peopled, also, mainly by Dutch, and dowered by Nature with the richest stores of gold and diamonds in the world, South Africa was for the Pan Germans the new Deutsch land of the South, a home for myrisads of Teutons, a

iand of the South, a nome for myriags of returns, a source of endless wealth, the key to the Orient The dealings of Germany with the South African Republic and the Orange Free State are, of course, not fully known We therefore must fall back on the British Blue Books, which, however, are at points very suggestive In the year 1895 the condution of South Africa was

alarming The discontent of the Outlanders in the South African Republic (Transvaal) was on the increase Deharred from all political rights though their energy and wealth filled the once empty Exchequer, they demanded the franchise and other reforms which would render their position bearable. As is well known, Presi dent Kruger resisted their demands. He also openly proclaimed his reliance on Kaiser William. At an official hanquet given at Pretoris on the Kaiser's hirth day (January 27, 1895) he said, "I shall ever promote "the interests of Germany. The time has come to "kint ties of the closest finendship hetween Germany" and the South African Republic—ties auch as are "natural between father and child."

"natural between father and child1"
These tree were very profitable to hoth parties Germaus and Hollanders acquired the dynamite monopoly, the spirit monopoly, and many others of course for large aums of money, and the Berlin Government showed

large aums of money, and the Berlin Government showed

Fitzpatrick, The Truserood from Within, p. 106, Reventlow
Deutschlands aumodrige Politik pp. 25, 70

57

its gratitude by sending to Kruger decorations galore,

until his quaint farmer figure was a very Christmas tree of geegaws In the autumn of 1895 his right-hand man, Dr Leyds visited Lisbon and Berlin, and he is known to have ordered quantities of arms in Germany Everything seemed to portend a German Protectorate over the Transvaal The Germans and Dutch supported Kruger against the Reform party, which was therefore driven to desperation On December 24, 1895, the German Consul notified to the Kasser that the Outlanders and their British supporters were hatching a plot to overthrow the Government On the 30th the German residents hegged the Kaiser to protect them, and on that day the Consul asked permission to order up from Delagoa Bay a detachment of German sailors and mannes from the warship, Seeadler They would have been sent if the crisis had not passed by very quickly, hefore the Portuguese Government gave permission for their des patch through its territory1 When Dr Jameson's Raid ended in utter failure, the Kaiser promptly sent a telegram of congratulation to Kruger (January 3, 1896) This act was unfriendly to us, but far more unfriendly was the re solve to send German sailors and marines up to Pretoria In case Dr Jameson's Raid had succeeded, we should soon have been face to face with a German contingent at that capital This, perhaps, explains the phrase in the Kaiser's telegram to Kruger, congratulating him, "that you and your people have succeeded by your own energy, without appealing to the aid of friendly Powers, in defeating the armed forces," etc. If we look at the telegram in the light of this fact, it is less provocative

¹ F Rachfahl, Kaiser and Resch (1833-1913) Berlin, 1913 p 144

58

ensue between Great Britain and Germany! Further, when the British Press broke forth into unmeasured protests against the Kaiser's interference in matters which did not concern him, the German Government declared that they were concerned about their important commercial interests in the Transvaal, and that no offence was meant by the Emperor's telegram at the defeat of "a lawless armed band," organized by the Chartered Company Technically, we were in the wrong, and Mr Chamberlain promptly disavowed the raiders On the whole it seems unlikely that the Kaiser then desired war, though he would have accepted war if his forces and ours collided at Pretona, as would have happened if the Jameson Raid had succeeded It must he remembered that the German fleet was not in a condition to face the British fleet, and further, the relations between Paris and Berlin were somewhat strained since the month of November 1895, when the Radical Ministry of M Bonrgeois came to power It was an energetic Ministry "We demand your confidence, not to exist, "but to act "-such were his first words to the Chamber of Deputies He also assured Great Britain that France had only one enemy, of course, Germany2 Thus, at

the renewed bosthity of the French, who by then felt sure of the support of Russia At that penod, apparently, 1 I came to his conclusion before reading the arguments of Reventlow pp 73-5

the time of the Jameson Raid the policy of Berlin was dominated by two considerations, weakness at sea, and

Rachfahl, p 145

Germany and Austria (for Italy was of little account after her colonial disasters) did not feel equal to a war with Great Britain, France and Russia, a combination which was then within the bounds of probability But, undoubtedly, the friction between Britons and Germans first became sente at the time of the Jameson Raid Cristi in his Memoirs states that previous to that event Kaiser William referred jocularly to a pa sing tiff with England, "Bah! it's a lovers' quarrel! But Count Reventlow significantly asserts that the crisis of 1895-6 would not have ended as it did if Germany had been strong at sea? She felt her weakness, and in the year 1897 the Kaiser took steps which portended a great advance. He appointed Count (afterwards Prince) Bulow Secretary of Foreign Affairs, and Admiral von Tirpitz, a man of great energy, Secretary of the Admiralty Both men were actuated by anti British feelings, though Bulow naïvely confesses that it was needful to conceal them until the new fleet was ready In 1893, then, came the first German Navy Law providing for a great increase in warships of all classes, but, to his annovance, the new fleet was not ready by the time of the Boer War2

Before that struggle cursous events happened at Johannesburg, notable the so-called Battch plot of May, 1899 It was probable trumped up by the Kriger Government Three of the alleged conspirators were agen's protocalcurs of that administration A main named Bindry, one of the more reputable of the persons arrested, was privately

¹ Crept, Mew iii p 323 (Eng ed.), "Bah" was erh hebt neckt

² Revention p. 95

² Bulow, Impered Garnery pp. 19-31 (Eng. ed.t.).

LECTURE III

60 told, after the first examination, that his evidence was

very unsatisfactory hecause it did not implicate the Reformers, and Kruger's son, chief of the Secret Service, said to him in private, "Do all you can to prove this "to be a case of conspiracy on the part of the British "Government, as it will strengthen my father's hand.... "I will give you £200, and you shall get a good billet "in the Secret Service" The Transvaal Government thereupon telegraphed both to Pans and Berlin its version of the trial

Now, all this bappened just before the Bloemfontein Gonference, from which the British Government expected

a peaceful and satisfactory settlement of the Transvaal Question It is clear, then, that Krüger placed great hopes in Germany, and be was bitterly disappointed during the war, when that Government did not accord the armed support for which its people clamoured He proceeded to Germany, in the bope, doubtless, of forcing the Kaiser's hand, but the Kaiser, alleging a previous hunting engagement, declined to receive him Rarely has the German Press been so outspoken against their aovereign, and its protests were renewed when, after the war, Generals Botha, Delarey and De Wet also met with no official conntenance The Pan Germans lauded the Generals to the skies; and their Press dubbed Botha the organizer of victory. Delarey the actual victor; and De Wet the Blücher of South Africa. The attitude of the official world at Berlin was, however, quite correct; and the moral of the atuation was pointed by a leader

of the German National Laberals He asked what was the use of all this fuss? Why did not Germans leave Great Britain alone until their navy was stronger? Also the Kolmsche Zestung, an official organ, even went so far as narvely to ask—Why had the Boer Generals come to Germany, of all countries, in order to strup trouble? The events of October, 1914, supply the answer

The Boer War roused to fury the anti British feeling already strong in Germany, or as Professor Mominsen mildly phrased it, "The war accentiated the antagonism, "hut did not produce it' Very noteworthy, too, was the inflience of the struggle on the agitation for a larger Navy The sense of irritation at the insultiy of Germany to cope with the British fleet was skilfully exploited both

to cope with the British fleet was skilfully exploited both by the Kaiser and by the German Navy League In 1900, during that conflict, the naval programme of 1898 was accelerated. Many branches of the Navy League were founded, and every new foundation, every launch of a hattleship, evoked a stirring speech from the Kaiser These orations were not, as a rile, threatening to Great Britain, hit now and again came a sentence, anch as "The trident must pass into our hands" The meaning was clear enough Kaiser William was bent on forcing into a practical channel the foaming flood of Anglorder Had be been merely the garrulous and impression able creature of our come papers, he would have let the Germans froth and foam. Instead of that, he hult

These events did not escape the keen eyes of His late Majesty, Edward VII He knew full well the perils of those years He must have discerned the danger ahead if the Boer War were prolonged The Pan Germans strove might and man to lengthen out that war The Deutsche Zeitung went so far as to say, "Every work

a larger navy

"of civilization [in South Africa] built with English "money must be destroyed The land must be devastated in such a way that only the Boer farmer can live in it." The aim of all that devastation was, so far as we can judge, to prolong the Boer War until the year 1904 when the new German navy would be ready But that unhappy struggle ended in 1902, partly owing to the success of the British arms, partly owing to the generous terms offered by the victors. The policy of conciliation had the approval of King Edward, conciliation towards the Boers helped hoth to end that war and thereafter to weld South Africa into an almost united whole

Further, we probably owe to him the friendly understandings with other Powers which ended the period of what was pompously termed "splendid isolation". The danger of that makeshift policy having been sufficiently obvious during the Boer War, it was desurable to come to an understanding with some Power or Powers.

With whom should it be? With Germany? That was a possibility On dynastic and racial grounds there was much to recommend an Anglo German alliance Or should it he with our old enemy, France? King Edward clearly believed that an Anglo-French Entente was more feasible Whatever the motives that prompted the choice, King Edward advocated a rapprochement towards France, and, as is well known he dud very much to further it The reasons for not making the experiment at Berlin doubtless were that the Kaiser displayed increasing eageness in regard to World Policy, and parliamentary considerations led him throughout the years 1859-1904 to rely more and more upon the agranan party, the party of the Junkers, which was funously

anti British The questions directly at issue between the two countries were less serious than those which divided England from France but the trend of German politics rendered it more difficult to come to an understanding with our Teutonic kinsmen than with our affable and democratic neighbours across the Channel Efforts were made both in the British and German Press to cultivate friendher relations, but they fulled and largely owing to the growth in Germany of the Pan German movement. To this we must now advert

The Pan Germans aim at some form of union of all peoples speaking German or certain of its dialects. It is not a new notion Generations of students had enthusiastically intoned the famous line at the end of Arndt's national song of 1813,

Das ganz Deutschland soll es sein And for a limef space in 1848-9 it seemed that a greater

Germany might come to being. The miscarriage of democratic Imperialism in that land is one of the greatest misfortunes of the Nineteenth Century, for the federation then contemplated would have harmonized the claims of national unity with those of the sovereignty of the people. Further, the German race, when fitly organized, could then have shared in the new lands heyond the seas which were then easily obtainable. In that case the British Empire might not have been quite so large, but probably we should not have head this war, which, on its colonial side, is the deliherate attempt of the Kniser and his people to seize lands appropriated by earlier competitors in the race for Empire. As Bern hard says "All which other nations attained in centures of natural development—political union, colonial

"possessions, naval power, international trade—was de-"nied to our nation until quite recently!"

The grievance was a real one, and therein lay the strength of the Pan German movement The clubs which adopted the colours of the old Empire-black, red, gold-sought to band together all their kindred in some kind of organism The first sentence of the manifesto is as follows "The Pan German Federation has for "object the revival of German national sentiment all "over the earth the preservation of German thought, "deals and customs in Europe and across the ocean, "and the welding into a compact whole of Germans "everywhere" Obviously, the erax of the whole question lies in the last clause, for nobody could possibly object to the preservant of German thought and ideals. But what is meant by "the welding into a compact whole "of the Germans everywhere"? It must mean the making of the Germans everywhere"? It must mean the inclusion in a Greater Germany of the 12 000,000 Germans in the Austrian Empire, and the million or so of Germans in the Baltic Provinces of Russia But does it include the Dutch, the Flemings, and the Scandinavian peoples? Many enthusiastic Teutons assert that all those peoples are branches of the great stock Thus, the geographical manual of Herr Daniel declares that the natural limits of Germany are the River Narova, in Esthonia, on the North East, the Baltic on the North, the North Sea on the North West, on the West the hills separating the Rhine and Seine basins, and on the South and South East the Bernese Alps and the Carpathians Up to the month of August, 1914, there were a few prominent citizens of Antwerp who desired to see the fulfilment of the Pan

Bernhards, The Next War, ch 4

port The Pan German movement suffers from the defect

which has always clogged the German polity namely, in

definiteness No definition of Pan Germanism has appeared which brought it within the region of practical politics,

except as the result of a termic war. For the German people is not a compact entity It spreads, octopus like,

from the Alpine Tyrolese and Styrian valleys to the mouth of the River Ems and from the hanks of the middle Moselle to the Gulf of Finland Therefore, the welding of these outlying portions into the main body implies the hreak up of the Austrian Empire, the annexation of Luxemburg and nearly half of Switzerland, as well as the acquisition of the hest part of Russia's all too ecanty seaboard With the exception, perhaps, of the Swiss part of the menu, which might come as dessert after the main repast, all these questions are, or may he, at

stake in the present war An All German Empire would

involve as terrible a political upheaval as the formation of a Pan Slav Empire to which it is a Teutonic retort But there is even more than this behind the Pan German Movement For practical purposes it has adopted the programme of Weltpolitik This again suffered from the defect of haziness. So far as I know, the Kaiser, who coined the phrase, has never defined it He took refuge in vague statements like this (July 3. 1900). "The wave heat knocks powerfully at our gates

"and calls us as a great nation to maintain our place "in our world-in other words, to pursue world policy "The ocean is indispensable for Germany's greatness, "but the ocean also reminds us that neither on it nor

"across it in the distance can any great decision be again "arrived at without Germany and the German Emperor" He uttered these words during the Boer War. They are open to two explanations. Either the Kaiser may not have meant as much as he said, that is, in Distacli's historic phrase 'he was carried away by the exuberance "of his own verbosity". Or else he meant that Germany was going to interfere in every great occurrence all over the world And those who noted the Kaiser's skill as a speaker and his feverish activities were bound to take this explanation. Of the same order were these utterances. The tindent must pass into our hands", and "Our future lies upon the water" They can be interpreted only as a definite and defiant challenge to Great Britain and in earlier and more heedless times they would have led straight to war Fortunately, the Islanders did not lose their temper, but merely redoubled their precautions So did Russia, so did France, so did the United States so did Japan A single pronouncement of that kind might be discounted as due to a desire to expedite a New Navy Bill But those dicta, when repeated could not be that explained From Washington to Pans, from London to Tokio the question arose, "Where "will the mailed fist fall next?"

During several years the Pan German movement aroused much indicule, and Britons especially refused to take it senously. We were wrong These notions, which seem to us fantastic and unstatesmallike, made which seem to us indistant and unstatesmanner, made a deep impression in German's and German Austria. They touched the romantic atrain, which is strong in the Teuton, and also appealed to his sense of national pride, which had been enormously inflated by the uninterrupted triumphs of the years 1864-1871 The Pan German ideal supplied the young nation with two requisites for action—a theory attractive to superficial thinkers and a fighting creed for the masses It hecame the dominant ideal of the German race, and those who held to the cautions nationalism of Bismarick were deemed fossilized survivals of an age which would soon he eclipsed by triumphs greater than Sedan

We must therefore dismuss from our minds the thought that we are at war merely with a Government which has blinded its subjects. That is inconsistent with the facts of the situation. It is also not a struggle with a dominant military caste, which may be overthrown after a few defeats. We are at war with a practically united nation. The energy with which wave after wave of old men and boys of the German reserve or Landsturm awept on to almost certain overthrow near Ypres ought to open our eyes to the fact that we are facing a nation in arms, a nation which is resolved at all costs to conquer For the prize of triumph is a World-Empire, whereas defeat will imply that their population problem will be solved by the most horrible of all methods, depopulation

LECTURE IV

MOROCCO THE BAGDAD RAILWAY

Is the previous lecture it was apparent that many strands went to make up the imposing cable of Germany's World Policy We then glanced at two of them.—South Africa and Branl — But two others are equally important —Morocco and the Backda Railway

The European Powers have often endeavoured to

secure a footing in Morocco Great Britain and Spain were first in the field and up to the year 1890 their interests in Morocco were supreme. But after that time France manifested designs of far reaching scope. They comprised all the land from Cape Bon to the Straits of Gibraltar, from Tangier to the Gulf of Guinea North West Africa was to form a solid block of French territory, broken only by a few British enclaves at the Gambia and the Lower Niger With the conclusion of the Franco-Russian alliance in 1894 and the end of the Algerian nsing in 1900 these vast plans gained in consistency, and with the twentieth century Morocco became one of the danger points of the political horizon. At first the chief friction was between Great Britain, France, and Spain Their interests outweighed those of Germany, and at that time France looked upon us as her worst

69

competitor in commerce, while Spain clung jealously to the long cherished hope of conquest of the Moors Her interests centred in Tangier and Tetuan , those of France

in the North East and East and centre, for ohviously, she could not allow anarchy to prevail among the Moors of the East, lest Algeria should once more revolt. The interests of Great Britain were in the main commercial, but we could not see unmoved the acquisition of the coast facing Gihraltar by a great maritime Power, and

the critical points were Tangier, Cents, and possible conling stations on the Atlantic coast For Germany the most desirable points were good harbours on the south part of the Atlantic coast of Morocco The best were Mogador and Agadir, though the latter is a very indifferent port, which never could shelter large cruisers The aims of the four Powers were not hopelessly

opposed, but the tension hetween them became keen early in the twentieth century During the South African War France pushed ahead fast in Morocco, the propelling force at Pans being a very masterful personality. Deleassé represented the ardent national spirit of young France, the France which rejoiced in the Russian allianco and beheved itself strong enough to carry the tricolour into new lands. True, the Fashoda evpenment had failed, owing to the lack of the expected support from Pussia Throughout the year 1898 and during the Boer War the French Press was extremely hitter against us, hat Delcassé remained unmaved by the storm of

words He, the political heir of Gambetta, saw in Lagland a potential ally, in Germany the only enemy? ¹ R. Pinon France et Allemagne pp. 97-119, Reventlow, Deutschlands ausrafrige Politik pp. 126-8.

Foiled in the hope of pushing a belt of French infinence across the Soudan and even to the Red Sea, France turned to Morocco Her opportunity came dning the South African War, and in December 1900 she "squared" Italy by agreeing that the Government of Rome should have a free hand in Tripoli if that of Pans worked its will in Morocco This compact explains why Italy lent hut a feeble support to her ally, Germany, in the Moroccan dispute. Delcassé next approached the Court of Madrid In the years 1901-2 he sought to frame a secret bargain wherehy Spain should acquire North and North Central Morocco and France the remainder But Great Britain. bearing of this clandestine "deal," managed to arouse Spanish centiment against an affair none too flattering to the national pride. The Ministry resigned and its specessors broke off the offer. Delcassé then turned to Great Britain a Power which evidently must be satisfied before the tricolour could wave at Fer. Now, there were many topics in dispute between us and France. We had not settled the West African houndary disputes,

or those relating to the Newfoundland fishenes, or to Madagascar and the New Hehndes. Above all, France had never forgiven us for occupying Egypt in 1882, though she herself had then refused to share in the dancers and hardens of the Egyptian enterprise. To settle all these ontstanding disputes seemed impossible. Yet, owing to the tact of Edward VII, and the skill of Lord Lansdowne and Delcassé, it was accomplished in April, 1904 by a senes of agreements which paved the way towards an Anglo-French Entente The chief points which concern us here are these. France recognised our position in

Ecypt, while we admitted that France had predominant claims and interests in Morocco, especially in assuring order France declared that she would not alter the political status of that land, and we gave a similar promise about Ecypt. But the first secret article attached to the Convention specified that both France and Great Britain might find themselves. "constrained by force of "circumstances to modify this policy in respect to Egypt" or Morocco." By this questionable device both Governments left themselves a loophole for escaping from the public promise. In the third socret article the Spanish sphere of influence was roughly defined as the Mediterranean area of Morocco." The Franco-Spanish agreement, foreshadowed by this secret article, came about in October, 1904, when Spain gained a versionary claim to

that area.

The outstanding fact in the Anglo-French Entente is that the Powers earnestly desired to end their differences. Where there was the will, a way was found. To Deleasas belongs the credit of terminating the fends between the two lands arising out of the Fashods affair and the Boet War, and to the Deputies, e.g. Deschard, who reproached him with abandoning his one claims in Egypt, he retorted that the British occupation of the Nile valley was an accomplished fact, and that France would find Morocco of a hundred times greater value than Egypt, especially because the Moors would prove to be excellent troops for colonial service. We may note in passing that there had previously been proposals of an Anglo-German-Japanese

¹ E. D. Meel, Mororo en Diolomory, ch. x., Tarden, Grestions diplomologies de 1904, p. 313, R. Pinon, France et Allemagne (1970– 1913), (Appendix), for documents. entente, but it fell through, chiefly because Germany refused to take a course of action which might in the inture tie her hands with regard to naval programmes and Weltpoluik1 She further preferred to approach Russia probably with a view to joint aggressive action in the Far East. The results of her encouragement to the Court of Petrograd will soon appear

Meanwhile France, Great Britain, and Spain were gaining over Morocco the control of the purse The Sultan of Morocco was extravagant and careless, therefore always in debt. The state of Moroccan finances was reflected in the prayer which is always affixed to any official reference to that Exchequer—"May God fill it" With oriental exuherance, the same prayer is added at any mention of the name of the Chancellor of the Exchequer 'May God keep him full?" The half only of the prayer was answered, for the Chancellor was always full, but his Exchequer was always empty

France, Great Britain, and Spain undertook to play the part of a maleficent Providence As might be expected, these dealings of France, Great Britain, and Spain with Morocco caused annoyance at Berlin At first, it is true, that Government showed surprising calm respecting the Anglo-French agreement, and Count Bulow declared in the Reichstag on April 12, 1904, that, on the whole, in the herenxing on apiss 26, 2000, tons, to the control of Germany welcomed a good understanding hetween those two Powers as consolidating the peace of the world, that the chief question was as to Morocco, and German interests in that land were solely economic. This was reassuring enough, and the Franco-Spanish agreement

¹ Reventiow, pp. 229-235. ² Tardieg Questions diplomatiques de 1904, p. 58

73

also aroused no protest, probably hecause its secret articles were not then known But in the spring of the year 1905 there came a change which is highly significant, for it shows how completely German policy depended on outward circumstances In a word it was Realpolitik A change in the Balance of Power had come about

owing to two chief events Germany had completed her naval programme, planned in 1898 and increased during the Boer War And Russia, early in March, had sustained that terrible defeat at Mukden at the hands of the Japanese For the present, then, Russia, and therefore the Franco Russian Alliance, could be neglected Ger many at once saw her chance On March 12 it was officially announced that Kaiser William, in the course of a Mediterranean cruise, would visit Tangiers, and tha announcement was made in an emphatic manner When Herr Behel, the Socialist leader, twitted the Chancellor with the hard and almost threatening tone of his references to Morocco, he replied "I must remind him that the "language and attitude of diplomatists and politicians is "regulated according to circumstances The moment "that I judge favourable for the setting forth of German "interests, I choose it according to my own opinion" Two days later (March 31) the Kaiser suddenly landed at Tangiers, and declared that he visited the Sultan of Morocco as an independent sovereign, in whose lands all Powers were to hold the same footing and enjoy the same commercial rights This was to ignore the French claim to exercise a certain measure of administrative control in Morocco, especially in the parts hordering

Tarcheu La France et les Albances p. 205, Reveutlow, pp. 228-233
 Tarcheu, La France et les Albances, pp. 207-9

Algeria—a claim which Great Britein and Spain had recognised and approved

Now, Germany had certainly grounds for annoyance! But the question arises—Why did she veil thet annoyance and take no action until March 1905? The answer is clear. Her action was based on the fact that Russia, and therefore France, were now weak. While the Franco Russian Allience retained its original strength, Germany said not a word about Morocco. She bided her time, and, so soon as the opportunity came, she shot her holt. The Germon historian Rachfahl admits this. He says: "Because under the surfece of the Morocco after lurked "the deepest and most difficult problems of power (Machi. "problems) it was to he foreseen that its course would "prove to he a trad of eteriept of the first order?"

That is quite true The importence of the Morocco question does not lie in the details. It is easy to wander about among them end miss the eignificance of the whole affeir Germen writers and newepaper editors at once declared it to be a trail of strength helween Germeny and Austine on the one side, and Great Britain and France on the other Italy and Russia stood outside the ring The question therefore was whether the Anglo-French Entente would prove to be solid, or would go to precess at the first shock. Germany intended to show that she was not going to be pushed out of world politica, or, in the words of the Kaiser (July 3, 1900). "The ocean "reminds us that neither on it nor across it in the distance "can any great decision be again arrived at without "Germany and the Germans Emperor".

E. D Morel, Morocco in Diplomacy chs. XI-XIII.
 P Rachfahl, Kouer und Reich (Perlin. 1913) p. 233

Germany was not about to be pushed out from Morocco Her interests there were purely commercial, as her Chancellor admitted, and those interests were guaranteed Moreover, at that time her trade with Morocco (though fast increasing) could not compare in volume with that of Great Britain or France If, therefore, she chose to consider Morocco as of vital importance to her, it must have been for wider reasons, which were not far to seek Firstly, the statesmen of Berlin hoped to shatter the Angle French compact at the very point which had clinched it, viz Morocco But, secondly, the German navy hadly needed coaling stations Between the North Sea and Togoland and the Cameroons was a very long space which she wished to halve hy some intermediate station In Morocco-say at Mogador or Agadir-such a station could be found And if France, England, and Spain really intended to partition Morocco, Germany had some right to expect compensation in one of those towns. That was seen from the outset Therefore, not only was the Morocco Question a Machifrage for the purpose of testing the Anglo-French Entente, but also of procuring a much needed coaling station. Here one must admit the fatality of Germany Coming last into the field of World Policy, she could not acquire a coaling station without alarming everybody France, Great Britain, Spain, and shove all the Umted States were annoyed, for Mogador or Agadır, would be half way to South Brazil, and South Brazil is under the shield of the Monroe Doctrine

The intervention of the Kaiser in Morocco lost nothing by the language of his ambassadors It was well known at Paris, and therefore at Berlin, that France was not ready for war, that alone, without the help of Russia, she was sure to succumb M Rouvier, President of the Council of Ministers, admitted as much in the Chamber of Deputies during the humiliating dehate of April 19, 1905, which may be termed the analogue of the dehate of mid July hefore the present crisis A special envoy of the German Government, Prince Henckel von Donnersmarck. came to Pans and spoke as a Jupiter tonans He said that it was now clear that the Anglo French Entente had been framed for the solution and humiliation of Germany. Was the recent Moroccan policy that of France or of her Foreign Minister? The policy of that Minister was aimed at the Germans, who would not wait until it was completed It was also the policy of England to destroy tha fleet of every rival, or better still, to prevent its con struction But could the British fleet help Franca? That fleet might bombard German towns and destroy German commerce None tha less, the milhards which Germany would wring from France would rehuld both towns and merchantmen Let Franca think hetter of it Give up the Minister who had made the trouble, and adopt towards Germany a loyal and open policy, such as would guarantee the peace of the world1

This remarkable pronouncement disclosed the real motives of the Court of Berlin. They were intended, not so much to promote the attainment of German aims in Morocco, as to give a brutal demonstration of the worth-lessness of the Anglo French Entente when contrasted with the might of Germany. The purpose was to separate Great Britain and France, not to secure commercial conversions.

A Substance of a conversation prested by le Gaulois (June, 1905)

The upshot was that France decided to ascrifice Delcassé There is no doubt that he had pushed shead too far and too fast His resignation, which took place on June 12, 1905, was desirable, but that it should take place at the imperious dictation of Germany was a Pyrrhic triumph for the victor It enraged everyone France ground her teeth and thought more than ever of revenge Great Britain, no less than France, felt the blow dealt at Pans, and Russia knew full well that Muscovite defeats in Manchura accounted for the whole affair. For the time the Realpolitik of Berlin succeeded, but only at the coet of exasperating three Great Powers, and such a euccess is really defeat All three Powers began to take precautions for the future, and Europe became more than ever an armed camp France had been alarmed by Germany's threats, and in the latter part of 1905 voted the eum of £60,000,000 to make good the defects in her army organisation, including more than a million for etrategic railwaye1 The retort of Germany was charp and highly significant. In 1906 ehe commenced, among other things, the construction of a system of atrategic railways from the Rhme, about Cologne, towards the Belgian frontier Those railways, running through a rather aparsely inhabited country, aroused auspicion at the time Only in this year has their terrible motive been fully revealed

That Germany's chief aim throughout was to separate France from Great Britain and from Russia appeared clearly enough during the Algesiras Conference (Jan -March 1906) But ahe failed Her efforts were marked by too much of Teutonic vigour, so much so that on one

¹ Tardieu, on cal n. 229

occasion (March 17, 1906) they alienated the sympathy even of Mr Roosevelt Though plied by the Kaiser with three personal telegrams, the President of the United States replied that he found the German propositions unacceptable They were so to all the Powers, and finally, on March 26, Germany had to give way and accept the compromise proposed by the French plen-potentiaries The policy of Bedin had in turn gone counter to that of Italy, Russia, the United States, and even of Austria This diplomatic defeat clearly resulted from excess of confidence or excess of zeal Oscar Wilde once said that nothing aucceeds like excess That may be trus in up-to date literature, donbtless, it is true for the modern theatre, but it is not true in the diplomatic aphere There the advice of Talleyrand to a beginner is always applicable "Et surtout pas trop de sèle"

The most important result of the Algesiras Conference remains to be noticed-the Anglo Russian Entente That understanding between the former deadly rivals would have appeared either miraculous or monstrous to men of the time of Beaconsfield But it is now fairly clear that Russia took seriously to heart the lessons of the Japanese War and saw the folly of that aggressive policy which had earned the distrust of all her neighbours For the time she was smenable to reason, and Germany was not That was the ontstanding lesson of the Conference of Algesiras British and Russian diplomatists there discovered ground for common action Therefore that happened which always will happen when a Great Power tries to give the law to the others They drew nearer together for mntual support This has ever been the outcome of Weltpolitik-that of Philip II of Spain, of

Lous XIV, of Napoleon, of Wilhelm II The last-named has succeeded, firstly, in making the British lion lie down by the side of the Russian bear, and, secondly, in rousing them to joint action It is his chief diplomatic achievement

Some years earlier, viz in 1900-1, a British writer, evidently a diplomat, had maintained in the pages of the Fortnightly Review that we ought to come to terms with Russia But at that time it seemed a mere dream Then came the Dogger Bank incident, when we were on the hrink of war with Russia But Morocco and Algesiras ended all that After the close of the Japanese War, the Tsar let it ha known that he desired friendly relations with Great Britain, and he received Sir Charles Hardings in a markedly cordial manner at St Petersburg1 Algesiras having furthered the entente, Sir Edward Grey admitted on May 24, 1906, that, though there was no definite accord between Great Britain and Russia, yet they wera more and more inclined to discuss amicably all the questions at issue between them. In March, 1907, a Russian Squadron received a hearty welcome at our naval ports, and in the month of August following the two Powers came to an agreement respecting Persia,
Afghanistan, and Thihet² It is impossible here to enter into details, save that Central Asian questions have since that time ceased to trouble us as they did in former periods For a time tranquillity in Central Asia seemed to he dearly hought at the cost of our concurrence in Russia's Persian policy, but that is now seen to he a side issue compared with the graver questions at stake ın Europe

¹ The Times, October 23, 1965.

³ Tardieu, 282-6

In 1909 there appeared for a time a prospect of hetter relations with Germany, and the improvement was almost certainly due in the personal intervention of H M Edward VII Dunng a State visit to Berlin he discussed important matters, thus probably helping on the France-German agreement on the Moroccan Question which was signed at Berlin on Feb. 9, 1909. France thereby recognised the integrity in Morocca while Germann admitted that France should maintain order in the interior. The agreement indiviously was vague, and it soon fell through nwing to the onthreak of disturbances in that land

Accordingly, in the early summer of 1911, France sent an expedition to Fez, whereupon Spain occupied points on the West coast allotted to her by the secret Franco-Spanish treaty of 1904 Germany, seeing her commercial interests threatened, made protests and when nothing came of them, sent the corvette Panther to Agadır (July 1911) ın order "to help and protect German "subjects and clients in those regions" Much could be said in favour of some such step, for as matters then stood, German interests were certain to suffer unless she made a stand against French and Spanish expansion in Morocco But the Pan Germans aggravated the crisis by demanding the annexation of all S W Morocco, and no less a person than the Secretary of State, Kiderlen Wächter, declared privately that the German flag would never be hauled down at Agadir, and that he would not hear of any exchange of it for French Congo districts?

Rachfahl, p. 310 Reveatlow, p. 309, Pinon, 185
 See article in the Fortasphily Review, XCI (new series, 462) founded, in part, on revelations made on oath by Herr Class, President of the

81

British Ministers protested against the action of Germany and it was made clear that her occupation of Agadır and its coast was an unfriendly act respecting which Great Britain must be consulted. The silence of Germany respecting this declaration led to a shall remon strance from Mr Lloyd George and the whole affair trended dangerously near to war. The secrets of that time have not been disclosed and we cannot expect to fathom the motives of the Laiser with any approach to certainty but it is generally believed that he desired to evert war. The anonymous author of that curious book. The Secrets of the German War Ounce asserts that the German war party intended by the despatch of the Punther to provoke a quarrel with Great Britain or France also that peace was maintained only by the personal interposition of the Kamer who sent him a secret agent of the Government with the atmost haste and secrecy to Aradir His mixton was to warn the captain of the Pantier that in no circumstances was be to begin hostilities with the French and British vessels in that port. The statement is made without proof and is on several grounds suspicious. Nevertheless, if not true to fact it is true to character. The Karer appears

to have desired peace

It is, however doubtful whether his parific leanings
were due to a persevent conviction or whether he de-red
to defer a rupture until a more favourable juncture. Was

Pan Cerman League Reven on (p. 334) asserts that Kalerlen-Walter always kohel to an ear-hange between CH M ervor and doubtes on the French Dougo such as Lauly was arranged. But this seems a lame excuse for the final compranues which the Pan Germans dots oil. he waiting for a time when the Kiel Canal would he widened so as to admit the German Dreadnoughts then in course of construction? And was he dismayed at the prospect of the huge financial crash which hankers and merchants confidently prophessed as the immediate result of war? On both grounds it was highly desirable to avert hostilities. Then, too, in the Bosnian Crass of 1908-9 (see Lecture VI) he had inflicted a rebuil on the Powers of the Triple Entente, and after strengthening his control over the Taribash Empire, he might hope before long to find in the re-organised Turkish army on effective ally against Russia in Caucasia, and England in Egypt

For these reasons—naval, diplomatic, and financial—it is highly probable that the Kasier's resolve not to provok a ruptime in 1911 was based on pridential considerations. As events have actually abown, the Triple Entente was stronger in 1914 that in 1911. But that could not have been foreseen. According to all appearances in 1911, the Kasier might well deem that the Triple Alliance would he stronger, and the Triple Entente weaker, in the near future, and this result would have come about but for that unexpected event in the autumn of 1911—Italy's attack upon Turkey, which will be considered in due course.

It is now time to turn to the Bagdad Railway Question, which closely concerns the future of Asia Minor, Mesopotamia and Egypt The scheme crystallized in 1893 at the time of the Kaiser's visit to the Holy Land. Out-

¹ For the final settlement of the Moroccan Question see E. D. Morel, op. cit. pp. 304-323, also the cessions of the Prench Congo territory to Germany.

wardly he appeared as a crusader, championing the interests of Christian pilgrims to Jerusalem, for whom

he gained concessions from the Sultan But he also procured from the Sultan a verbal promise for the construction of the Bagdad Railway This happened in

the year succeeding the Armenian and Macedonian massacres At the time of those outhreaks of calculating fanaticism strong remonstrances were made to the Sublime Porte by the Western Powers They were fruitless For many years past Germany had supported

Turkey, in pursuance of the policy of Prussia traditional sinca the days of Frederick tha Great, and in 1897 Kaiser William emphasized tha closeness of the political tia connecting the German and Ottoman Empires2 Consequently poets and idealists in Western Europe raged in vain against the atrocities perpetrated by "Abdul Hamid the Damned ' The power hehind his throna was the Kaiser, who found his reward for the great hetrayal of 1897 in the hargain for the Bagdad Railway In 1902 the Porta issued a firman authorising that enterprise The Kaiser, during his visit to these shores in November,

1902, probably sought to interest our Government in his scheme True, Mr Balfour denied that we were asked to participate in it, and scolded the Speciator for crediting that story But early in 1903 General von der Goltz delivered to the Königsherg Geographical Society a lecture in which he stated that the German Bagdad Syndicate had secured a concession for extending

83

¹ Elkind The German Emperor's Speeches (pp. 62-4 318-322) "Not splendour not power not glory not honour no earthly blessing is it that we seek here we puse we pray we strive alone after the sole, the highest blessing the salvation of our reals."

1 Sir H Pumbold, Fast Recollections of a Deplomatist, p. 296

that British mails for Iudia would soon go via Vienna, Constantinople, Bagdad, and Koweit It was evident that British trade in the Persian Gulf, especially at Basra, would largely he diverted to this railway, especially if as was contemplated, it was counceted with European lines by a tunnel under the Bosphorus In this case, there would be through communication from Ostend or Antwerp to the Persian Gulf, with serious results to our shipping interests But the promoters of the German Bagdad scheme showed clearly enough that political and military issues of great moment were at stake This appeared in a work, Die Bagdadbahn, puhlished in 1902 by Dr P Rohrbach, whose travels in Mesopotamia, originating in theological motives had of late led him to take a decidedly militant tone He stated frankly that it was not worth while

spending a pfennig for a weak Turkey, but for a strong Turkey it might be worth while to spend many million marks He pointed out how the Bagdad Railway would enable the Suhlime Porte to bring up its Anatolian troops quickly to the Bosphorus, whereas in the Russo-Turkish War of 1876-7 seven mouths were wasted by the troops from Mesopotamia hefore arrival at the front. The new lines would double the military strength of the Ottoman Empire Further, the prosperity of Mesopotamia and Asia Minor would revive, stimulated as it would be by the immigration of numbers of Germans Thus, both in a financial and military sense Turkey would soon

¹ See the Specialor for November 8, 1902 April 4, 1903, also June 8, 1909, and Ameleenth Century and After, June, 1909

he able to resist her redoubtable enemy, Rnssia Rohr hach also affirmed that agreements had been almost secured both with France and with Great Britain (this, too, in 1902)

The revival of irrigation in Mesopotamia was already planned by Sir William Wilcocks hat the arrival of the Bagdad Railway might have helped the development of that now desolate region Nevertheless, in view of the unfriendly conduct of Germany in other quarters, the Bagdad scheme had to be scrutinised closely Her amhassador at Constantinople Baron Marschall von Bieherstein, was openly hostile to Great Britain, and, if we had helped on the Bagdad scheme, we should at once have been represented as the enemies of Russia On the other hand the British opposition to the Bagdad echeme was finally declared by a German writer in the Naneteenth Century and After [June, 1909] to he dna to Russian instigation Clearly, the only way with eo intricate and compromising a scheme was to let it alone, and allow the Germans to make the line if they could get the money for it They failed to carry through the original scheme so far as concerned the Persian Gulf To this extension the British Government could not assent for it would have enabled Turkey and Germany to send troops quickly to the confines of Persia, and a further extension of the line would threaten India The design of Germany and Austria to control the Balkan Peninsula and Asia Minor appeared clearly in the years 1908-10 In 1908 Austria annexed Bosnia, and though for a time in that year the Young Turk Movement over threw German influence at Constantinople, yet the intrigues of Baron von Marschall brought about a complete revival of Teutonic ascendancy in April 1909 Ever since that time the Young Turks have been the creatures of Berlin All the more reason, then, had we for opposing the German scheme of "pacific penetration" to the Persian Gulf, where British merchants had long hefore

hult up an extremely valuable trade1 Moreover, the terminus Koweit, was the city of an independent Sheikh whom we had more than once supported against the coercion of Ahdul Hamid In 1911 Sir Edward Grey

demanded that, if a railway were made to the Gulf, it must be a purely commercial undertaking. Herein be followed the lines laid down by Lord Lansdowne, who stated that we could never allow another Power to obtain there a strong paval position ' which might be used on "the flank of our communications with India"

Such an assertion was all the more needed because of a recent compact between Russia and Germany.

In November, 1910, the Tast visited the Kaiser at Potsdam and they conferred together on matters of State Their meeting caused no little surprise in view of the rebuff which the Kaiser had dealt to the Tear in the winter of 1908-9 over the Bosnian Question It now seemed that the Tsar had accepted defeat and was willing to follow the lead of Germany The meeting of the two Emperors therefore caused great concern at London and Paris, for it might hetoken the break np of the Triple Entente, lately severely strained by the death of H M Edward VII The German account of the deliberations of the two Emperors is as follows Russia agreed not to oppose the scheme, and even to link up that railway with her Persian lines, also to recognize Germany as an equal

¹ D Fraser The Short Cut to India (1909), chs. 19-25

87

in matters commercial in that country. The Court of Berlin, on its side, recognized that Russia had special political and strategic interests in Northern Persia, as well as rights to construct railways, roads, and telegraphs. Thus, Germany said in effect, 'Help me to build the "Bagdad Railway through to the Persian Gulf, and I

"will hand over to you North Persia and as much of "that land as you want But this was not all The Russian and German Governments also gave mutual assurances that each would enter into no engagement immeal to the other!

The Potsdam Convention was a triumph for the diplomacy of Germany She had set back Russia's interests at the time of the Bosnan criss; and she pushed on the Bagdad Railway until it promised to become a manacs to Russian Caucasia. Then she turned round and said, "Now that I have beaten you, will you not "make a bargain! Let us virtually partition Persia "hetween us, shutting out the British, and, while we are about it, let us have a friendly understanding all "round I will not attack you in any quarter, if you "will not attack me". The method is rather crude, as German diplomacy has been since Bismarck's day It succeeded in 1910. But it seems prohable that the Potsdam compact marks the last success of this policy of blows and bluff.

For the time there were searchings of heart at London and Pans Was the Triple Entente of any avail if Russia could thus clasp the hand of our declared rusal? And were there any secret clauses? Such were the questions

¹ Rachfahl, pp. 331-2, Nuneteenth Century and After, June, 1914, pp. 1323-6

that agitated the political world1 Ohviously, the year 1911 was one of great anxiety for French and British statesmen, and the facts just passed in review explain why the war party at Berlin so vehemently clamoured for hostilities with France and Great Britain at the time of the Agadır affair

Their confidence found expression in several ways Germany had recently gained from the Sultan a concession respecting the port of Alexandretta which made it for all practical purposes a German port She also secured permission to huild an important branch line to Damascus and past the east of the Dead Sea and the Sinsitic Peninsula to Mecca It comes almost within striking distance of the Suez Canal Represented as a semi-philanthropic enterprise, designed to lessen the hardships of pilgrims proceeding to Mecca, it was always intended to menaca Egypt This was stated by Dr Rohrbach in a later edition of his book (1911)

England can be attacked and mortally wounded by land from Europe only in one place—Egypt The loss of Egypt would mean for England not only the end of her dominion over the Snez Canal, and of her connections with India and the Far East, but would and on our connections with indua and the Far East, but would be probably entail the loss of her possessions in Central and East Africa. The conquest of Egypt by a Mohammedan Power, like Turkey, would also imperil England a hold over her 60,000,000 Mohammedan subjects in India, beades prejudicing her relations with Afghanistan and Peria. Turkey, however, can herer dream of recovering Egypt until abe in mixtress of a developed railway system in Asia Minor and Syria, and until, through the progress of the Anatolian Railway to Bagdad, she is in a poution to withstand an attack by England upon Mesopotamia. . . Egypt is a prize which

¹ See an article in La Revue des Questions diplomatiques (Jan.-June, 1911) which reproaches Russia with her Persian policy, which "a émaculé la Triple Entente,"

for Turkey would be well worth the risk of taking sides with Germany in a war with England The policy of protecting Turkey which is now pursued by Germany, has no object but the deare to effect an insurance against the danger of a war with England

The Bagdad Mecca scheme aimed at the revival of the Moslem Power1, and that Power, when strengthened hy German money, and drilled by German officers, was to play a great part in an eventual conflict with Russia or Great Britain The curious tactics of the Goeben and the Breslau, and the coercion recently employed by Germany at Constantinople, explain the drift of evente in the Near East The Kaiser and his Ministere supported euccessively the Sultan and the Young Turks against the impulse for reform because they eaw in the Ottoman Empire an effective ally against Russia and a means of dealing a deadly blow at a vital part of the British Empire

It may he asked—How could the Kasser make the mistake of hoping to dominate Egypt without previously having gained the mastery at eea? Does not Bonaparte's adventure of 1798 stand as a warning against such an attempt? Not wholly, I think For the Corsican committed two hlunders, firstly, of not securing the definite support of Turkey before he sought to over throw the Mamelukes, secondly, of disregarding British mantime power at a time when sea power counted for

¹ It proved very profitable to the promoters and burdensome to Ottoman finance see D Fraser op est chs II-V XVIII, L Fraser, articles in Astional Review April May, 1911, Mons A Géraud, in articles in Noneleenth Century and After, May, June 1914, shows the weakness of the Anglo French opposition to the scheme On the Homs Bagdad railway scheme, is youred by England and France, which utterly failed, see The Imperial and Amatic Quarterly (July, 1912)

far more than it does in the present case Bonaparte'a exploit was therefore doomed to failure, if we and the Turks attacked him Now, however, in favourable circumstances, the Kaser and the Turks might attack Egypt with a fair chance of success. For he and they

reckoned on having almost continuous communications hy land between Berlin and the Smaitic Peninsula If Balkan affairs had gone as he desired, Austria would have controlled the line to Constantinople, and have poured troops speedily into Syna, thence menacing the Suez Canal There only could the Sea Power have opposed any effective resistance, and it is doubtful whether warships cooped up in a canal can long oppose with success an attack of a large army provided with pontoons We shall do well not to underrate the danger at the canal, though it is far less formidable than was designed at Berlin, Vienna, and Constantinople For, as we shall see in Lecture VI, neither Italy nor the little peoples of the Balkans maintained the passive rôle which the Kaiser desired They auccessively attacked Turkey, thereby enfeebling her and preventing that through railway communication with Syria which was needed for the full realization of the dreams of the modern Alexander the Great

LECTURE V

ALSACE LORRAINE

La conquête et l'annexion par la force de l'Alsace et de la Lorraine sont le principal obstacle à la paix et la vroit cause des armaments gigantesques

(La Ligue internationale de la Paix Geneva, 1884)

Iv nearly all wars there are motives deeper and more fundamental than those which appear on the

surface The latter may be the occasion of the rupture, but they need not be the fundamental cause. It is so in the present instance. The murder of the Archduke Francis Ferdinand was merely the spirk that ignited

Francis Ferdinand was merely the spits that ignited wast stores of combustible insternal which had long been accumulating. They may be classed in three general groups. The first was due to the clash of British and German national interests, especially in matters colonial and naval. This we have already surveyed. But that

friction might have continued indefinitely, had not flames hurst forth in the south east of Europe. The flames spread swiftly to France (and incidentally to Belgium) hecuse France was on the same electrical circuit as Russia. When we inquire why the French Republic is connected with the Tsardom, we find the cause in the deep-lying batted and fear of Germany which has prevailed at Pans since the year 1870 Those feelings centre in the Alsace Lorraine Question, which, as by an electric thrill, set the West in a blaze so soon as fire broke forth in the East

In September, 1870, during the Franco German War, when the first feelers with regard to peace were put forth hy the young French Republic to the victors, Bismarck declared that Germany must have Strassburg and Metz To German diplomatists he wrote, "So long "as France possesses Strassburg and Metz, her strategical "position is stronger offensively than ours is defensely "In Germany's possession, Strassburg and Metz "assume a defensive aspect In more than twenty "wars with France we have never been the aggressors "We have nothing to demand from her except our "own security From Germany no disturbance of "the peace of Europe need be feared." These words constituted a pledge that the possession of Alsace and part of Lorrame would be a guarantee for the peace of the world From the historical standpoint Bismarck was right With the exception of Metz and its district, Alsace Lorraine belonged to Germany by right of ancient possession It was partly by force, partly by fraud, that Louis XIV acquired Strassburg and neighbouring towns Further, the German plea was tenable on military grounds Under the two Napoleons France had recklessly disturbed the peace of Europe, and we are suffering now from the final results of the Napoleonic policy The recollections of the times of the two Emperors still haunt the hram of Germany and indispose her to any

weakening of her Western frontier
Love, Prince Biemarck, L 568, Sir R. Morier Memoris, it 220-0

But what of the sentiments of the Alsatians and Lorrainers? Did they inchne towards France or Germany? tion, Alsace-Lorraine had been enthusiastically French. That great event sent a thrill through those once German provinces and united them with la patrie witness the declaration of the deputies of Lorraine at that memorable sitting of the National Assembly on August 4, 1789 After Dauphine, Brittany, and other provinces had renonnced their local privileges, the men of Lorraine stood up and declared that their province though the yonngest of all, desired to join intimately the life of "this glorious family" Alsace-Lorraine sealed those sentiments with their blood in the Revolutionary and Napoleonic Wars, when Kellermann, Kleher, Ney, Rapp, and many others added lustre to the French arms.

the annexation of the French speaking districts of Lorraine about Metz might be undesirable. His secretary, Busch, reports him as saying, "If they (the French) gave us "a miliard more, we might perhaps let them have Metz. "We would then take 800,000,000 francs and huild "ourselves a fortress a few miles further hisk. I do "not like so many Frenchmen being in our house against "their will. It is suit the same with Belfort. It is all

Thenceforth those provinces were French to the core Early in 1871 Bismarck had an uneasy feeling that

"French there too The military men, however, will not "be willing to let Metz shp, and perhaps they are right!" It is well known that Bismarck and Moltke differed sharply on this subject Moltke kept saying that the

Banch, Bismorch in the Franco-German War, IL 341, Journals of Count von Elimential, pp. 315-8 (Pag. edit.).

94

acquisition of Metz meant a difference of 100,000 men in a campaign, and this estimate convinced the Emperor William¹ Probably the Germans had from the outset resolved to have Alsace Lorraine, for they imposed German institutions immediately after military occupa-

tion, a step which they did not take in districts further West At the first mention of the terms of peace the 35 deputies of the doomed lands made a atrong protest to the French Chambers, then at Bordeaux;
"Alsace and Lorraine refuse to be alienated With one "voice, the citizens at their firesides, the soldiers under

"arms, the former hy voting, the latter hy fighting,
"proclaim to Germany and to the world at large, the
"immutable will of Alsace and Lorraine to remain "French France can neither consent to nor sign the cession of Lorraine and Alsace without imperilling

"the continuity of her national existence, and, with "her own hands dealing a death-hlow to her unity" That was the opinion of nearly all Alsatians and Lorrainers But Germany held them in her grip except the maiden fortress of Belfort Further, Bismarck was ordered

made a supreme appeal to prevent the annexation of Belfort Failing even at that point to hreak the will of the iron Chancellor, he broke forth into the following "Well then Let it be as you will, Count! "These negotiations are nothing hut a sham Make "war, then Ravage our provinces, hurn our houses, "alaughter the moffensive inhabitants complete your

hy his sovereign not to relax his terms M Thiers, however,

¹ Blowitz, My Memoire p. 181

³ J. Simon, The Government of M. Thiers (Eng. trans.) 1, 129, 130, H. Welachinger, La Protestation de l'Alexce Lorraine (Paris. 1914).

"work We will fight you until our last hreath We
"may he defeated, but at least we shall not he dis
'honoured' Even Bismark was moved He retured
to consult, first Violtle and then his sovereign, and the
verdict was that France should retain Belfort, provided
that the Germans should enter Paris in triumph. The
proud city underwent that humilation with quiet disdain
hecause she saved Belfort

At the last moment it seemed that Bismarck would hreak off the negotiations On Fehruary 25 he spoke with extreme harshness to the French pleupotentianes and accused them of spinning out the conferences. The cause of his anger was obvious. The British Government was about to make representations concerning the enormous indemnity claimed by Germany from France That sum had heen fixed at aix milhards (£240,000,000). But on February 23 the Emperor William consented to redoce at to five milhards (£200,000,000). Whether this reduction was doe to the generosity of the old Emperor or to a knowledge that Great Britain was about to take diplomatic action, is open to question Certainly, here was one cause of the extreme anger of Bismarck and the German Headquarters against us.

But there were other causes Some of our mannfacturers had secretly supplied munitions of war to the French, a fact which the German Staff ascertained and forthwith proclaimed to the four winds Secondly, a portion of the British Press indulged in unseemly distribes against the Germans for their harshness in the conduct of the war and in the demand for Alsace-Lorraine.

J Simon, The Government of M Thiers (Eng transl.), r. 137
 Sir R. Moner. Memoria, n. 165, 246.

The German newspapers savagely retorted, and thus there began that ceaseless war of words which must be pronounced an indirect but important cause of this war When journalists of all lands learn the urgent

need of self restraint in times of general excitement, the cause of peace will take a long stride forward Bismarck also inveighed against the British Government for asking permission to send a gunboat up the River Seine in order to remove English refugees He

peremptorily refused, saying that we desired merely to find out whether the Germans had laid mines in the river helow Rouen, so that French warships might follow the gunhoat Referring to our real motive (surely, hy no means discreditable) he burst out, "What swine! They means discreditable) he burst out. What swine! Itely
are full of vextation and envy because we have fought
"great hattles here and won them. They cannot hear
"to think that shahp hithe Pressus should prosper so
"They have always done their utmost to injure us
"The Crown Princess herself is an incarnation of this

"way of thinking She is full of her own great con-" descension in marrying into our country?"

The terms imposed by Germany upon France seemed designed to crush her to the earth Great therefore was the joy at London and the annoyance at Berlin, when, under the fostering care of Thiers, France paid

off the enormous war indemnity by the spring of 1873 Thus the Germans had violated the maxim of Frederick the Great, "Never maltreat an enemy hy halves" They had deeply wounded France by tearing from her two provinces that formed an integral part of her life Yet they had not wholly crushed her, and since 1875 they 1 Birmarck Some Servet Pages, v 500

have had no chance of doing so except by an unparalleled effort. That has heen a dominant factor of the European situation. Just as the Eastern Question hrought Russia and Austria into sharp rivalry, so Alsace-Lorraine kept up an irreconclable fend between France and Germany, and by degrees the two Germanic Empires ranged them selves together while France and Russia hecame close allies

This arrangement lay in the natural order of things So far hack as 1856 Bismarch had discerned that truth, which became clear after the crisis of 1875. But the Franco-Russian alhance came about slowly owing to his cautious and skilful policy. To this we must hriefly advert, for it is not too much to say that his dealings with the Great Powers were prompted by a resolve to escape, if possible, from the consequences of the annexa tion of Alsace Lorraine. Foreseeing that France would seek to reconquer those provinces, he sought to keep her isolated.

His first effort was the Three Emperors' Leagus (Drenkuserbund) of 1872 When that compact virtually lapsed in the crisis of 1875, he looked about for an alternative scheme. The crisis in the Eastern Question in 1876-8 gave him his chance. He supported Austrian claims against those of Russia, and thus in 1878 found his reward in the Austro-German alliance. But he did not desire to offend Russia. Both William I and he desired merely to teach Russia a sharp lesson, and, when she had learnt it, in isolation, they would welcome her hack. This policy of alternate cudgelling and cajoling led to

² Busch, Our Chancellor, 1, 320

what have been termed the Reinsurance Treaties with Russia-a topic too complex for treatment here1"

Far more important and interesting is the skilful lead which Bismarck gave to France into the colonial adventures of the eighties. He sketched their first outlines at the time of the Berlin Congress of 1878 His ingenuity at that time would have made Machiavelli hail him as a master in this craft While opposing the oncoming tide of Slavonic "barbarism," he found means to turn the energies of Great Britain, France, and Italy towards Africa Oppert, correspondent of the Times at Berlin, states that Bismarck gave the following advice Derini, states that Hismarch gave the following advice to Beaconsfield—Do not quarrel with Russia Let her take Constantinople, while you take Egypt—France will not prove mexorable Beades, one might give her Syrna or Tunis! At that time, then, he cared not a jot for Turkey He was even desirous of starting a partition of the Ottoman Empire, provided that the German Empire thereby gained immunity from a similar proceeding -witness his graphic declaration, that the whole Eastern Question was not worth the bones of a single Pomeranian grenadier

His foresight was justified France in 1880 began to cast jealous eyes upon Tunis, which Italy had marked out for herself, and when the etatesmen of Rome plied M de Freycinet at Paris with anxious questions, they could gain from him no more assuring reply than that "for the present, France had no intention of occupying "Tunus, but that the future was in the hands of God "

See J W Headlam, Bismarck, pp. 442, 443.
 Ibid. H. 92, Blowitz, My Memours, p. 165, Crispi, Memours. vol. 11. pp. 98.

A little later, when Rome became more restive, Freycinet gave up his predestinarian argument and said plainly, "Why will you persist in thinking of Tunis? Why "not turn your attention to Tnpoh?" Bismarck's procedure is worth noting Great Britain is directed towards Expip France towards Tunis and she, in order to 'square' Italy, waves her on to Tnpoh The Chancellor contrived the scheme hat the statesmen of Paris, London, and finally of Rome concurred in it.

By this gigantic "deal" in North Africa Bismarck diverted political activity away from Europe to the Dark Continent What was more, he set hy the ears not only French and Britons but French and Italians During twenty two years (1882-1904) we were on strained terms with France respecting Egypt Further, the Sultan Abdul Hamid never forgave us for our intervention m Egypt, and the Pan Islam movement which that crafty potentate so skalfully nursed was largely the ontcome of our presence in that land True, we went to Egypt in 1882 as the mandatories of Europe to secure order, but we went with the ostensible blessings and secret curses of the Balaam of Berhn As for the fend between France and Italy respecting Tunis, it survived to the year 1911, when Italy acquired Tripoli Until then, she could not feel cordually towards the French. who had played her that shabby trick over Tunis in 1881.

During many years the energies of France obeyed the centifugal impulse which Bismarck had shiffully imparted Some of her ministers, notably M Ferry, suffered from colony fever France seemed for the time to have forgotten Alsace-Lorrame amidst these distant

¹ Crisps, Mema 12 pp. 97-104.

quests But at the end of the year 1885 a reaction set in. Tens of thousands of French youths had perished of malaria amidst the awamps of Tonquin or the forests of Madagascar, and M Clemenceau and other patriots asked indignantly what had France to show for this waste of life and treasure-Great Britain offended, China hostile, and Germany cynically complacent He pointed his attacks by assertions, culled from the German Press, that the French were the inferiors of the Germans, and that the Republic was much in the debt of the Teutonic Empire for helping on her colonial enterprises The elections of 1885 sent up a large number of royalist and Bonapartist deputies It was clear that the Republic would fall if it persevered in plunging ioto tropical swamps, and it came very near to penshing at the time of the Boulanger crisis Le brav' général, who caracolled ahout Paris on his black charger, was in reality a poor creature? He hecame a danger to the Republic chiefly because he championed a national policy For this he was abused by the German Press, a fact which gained him the heart of France He rode on the crest of public opinion because he hade Frenchmen think of Alsace-Lorraine and prepare for revenge The first definite sign of a rapprochement between France and Russia helongs to this year, the year when Russia first renewed her Reinsurance Treaty with Germany At the request of the Court of Petrograd the French Republic undertook to send thither 500,000 Lehel rifles, on the express atipulation that they should never he used against Trance2

Sir T Barclay, Anglo French Reminiscences (1876-1906), p. 96.
 Count Revention, Deutschlands aussedringe Politik (1888-1913), p. 6

The thought of revenge was tept alive in France hy events in the conquered provinces, and to these we must now turn The North Germans, for all their vigour and manliness, have not the arts that conciliate the vanquished That was seen clearly by a German Liberal, named Rasch, who in 1876 sought to discover the real state of affairs in the Reichsland (Alsace Lorraine) He found it absolutely different from what the German newspapers represented Having had their orders, they described the revival of old German ways, and the popular rejoicings at events such as the atarting of a steamboat service, or the opening of the new University buildings at Strassburg This latter event was recounted in moving terms But Rasch found that less than one fourth of the students were natives of the province, and thosa chiefly theological students who had to study there in order to obtain cures in that Reichsland The population had dwindled, no fewer than 100,000 having emigrated to France Metz had sunk from 50 000 to 33,000 mhabitants This was not surprising, for freedom of the Press was a thing of the past, and the French language was proscribed In fact, the Germans were hated in the Reichsland1

Bismarck had bidden the Alsatians and Lorrainers consider themselves an independent Republic In reality the Reichsland resembled a satrapy of Xerxes rather than Athens Our diplomatist, Sir Rohert Morier, during a visit to Strasshurg in 1872, had an interview with the ex mayor, a chemist named Klein, who had not heen hostile to the German occupation Klein went

¹ E. Rasch Die Preussen in Eleus Lothringen (1876), chr. II.-v E. Hinzelin, L Aleace sous le Josep (1914), ch. 11

the Chancellor opposed an adamantine opposition, because "Prussia had an immense experience of the "results produced by wearing the Prussian uniform "Get the King s coat on to s man's hack and let him "wear it for three years, and you have made not only "a good soldier but a good citizen of him 'Yes' (was "Klein's reply), 'hnt you must get the coat on first, "and that is what you will not succeed in doing"" Bismarck, however, was mexorable, and the results wers that vast numbers of Alsacians, who might have become reconciled if Germany had treated them with forbearance, became permanently embittered Soma 12,000 of them fied to France and joined the French

army rather than don the Prussian uniform The men of Berlin were deaf to all appeals. They adopted a drastic system and then forced it through at all costs This spirit has been the curse of Berlin ever since the days of Frederick William I hut never has it wrought more far reaching ill than when applied by the Iron Chancellor to Alsace Lorraine The improve ments in the legal system and in the railways of the Reichsland counted for nothing when accompanied hy this premature rule of the drill sergeant1

The Alsatians were virtually an unfree community, held down by the sword They retorted by tahooing the Prussian officials, and extended this ostracism even to the new station masters, so that one of them, failing to find an Alsatian gul who would marry him, had to institute a search for a wife in Berlin Fifty wealthy

¹ Ser R. Morier, Mente, R. 264-6, 273-4.

manufacturers left Muhlhausen for France There and throughout Alsace the people were German in type and generally spoke German but their hearts were in France Rasch deemed it essential that Germany should know the truth which was this her ways were odious to her new subjects and she must mend those ways if a reconciliation was to be effected Alas! The Prussian official is not open to conviction, and though a few changes were made at a later time eg a certain measure of constitutional government, yet they produced little or no effect, because there was no change in the spirit of the administration! The Statthalter, Prince Hohenlohe, in February, 1887, made an nimest open bribs to the people that they should have full constitutional rights if they ceased to protest against the German connection and entirely accepted it. Then and then only would the Empire relax its pohev?

If we look deeper, that is into the thoughts of Bis march, what do we find? In April of that year he confessed to Busch that he waked he could adopt the methods of Charlemagne and tronsplant all the Alsatians and Lorramers to Posen, and all the Poles of Posen into Alsace? In 1912 a German author, Frymann, in a hook termed Wenn ich der Kaiser wur's stated the same thought equilly crudely. We acquired Alsace-Lorrame "Decause the land is necessary to us in a military sense." The inhabitants were threwn in The constitution of "Al acc-Lorrame should be abolished and its administra "tion be placed under a Unister with dictational powers"

¹ M Leroy L Abore Lorentee (Paris, 1914), ths. 1-m.
² Meros of Prince Hobestoke m. 361

Bumarch Some Secret Pages III. 16"

There is the reason why Prussia has never won the Alsahans She was not the alma mater, but rather the harsh step-mother

The friction came near to producing war in 1887, when the German police on the frontier brutally mail treated a French agent, named Schnabele The Tsar, Alexander III, sent to Berlin a remonstrance and Willham I arranged matters reasonably But the incident proved that the endeavours of Essmarel to divert the thought and energy of France to Africa had signally failed. Accordingly Germany had to act up to his motto—Toujours en vedette.

This appeared in the first Proclamation to the Army

issued by Wilham II, which sent a shiver of apprehension through Europe Its effect was not lessened by a later declaration respecting disser-Lorraine. There having heen suggestions in peace-circles as to the nentralising of those provinces the late Emperior Frederick was mentioned as favouring such a scheme. The young Kaiser emphatically denied it, and at Frankfurt-on-the-Oder when unreiling a statue to Prince Frederick Charles, he rittered these words "We would rather sacrifice our eighteen army corps and our 42 000,000 "inhabitants on the field of hattle than surreider a "single stone of what my father and Prince Frederick." Charles gained." That was the official version of the Kaiser's words, but if we may credit Bismarch, they were far stronger and more melodramatic. For Bismarck criticals are supported in the silence of detash." It soon

Elkind, The German Emperor's Speeches p. 1"

Burnarck Some Sweet Pages, III. 202.

appeared that the young Kasser intended to put in force a more rigorous régime in the conquered provinces French writers agree that the state of affairs under him was worse than under William I, and that the increase of rigori has produced little more than an increase of hatred towards Germany The merchant classes of Alsace Lorraine may outwardly appear resigned to the new state of things, but at heart they detest it. The I,550,000 natives long to be free from the Empire. Only the 300,000 German immigrants are loyal to it.¹ The recrudescence of the Alsace Lorraine Question

under William II would, perhaps, not have led to war if he had continued the Bismarckian policy of complaisance towards Russia But in 1890 he resolved on drawing closer the bonds with Vienna and loosening those with Petrograd His reasons for this important step were probably as follows He knew, from a secret report of a German political agent, that the Russians were deficient both in regard to arms and the railway facilities needful for mobilization of their huge array The chances, therefore, were that Russia would in no case be able to attack Germany before the year 18952, and by that time the Kiel Canal would be open, and thereby double the efficiency of the German fleet these reasons Wilham II recked little of Russia chose to adhere closely to Austria, gave up all thoughts of a Russian connection, and dismissed Bismarck. is one explanation of the hreach between them

² Hinzelin, E. L Alexce sous le Jong (1914) ch. 12 J Clarette, Quarante dus après (1911), A Hallsys, En fisinant (1911), Betham Edwards, Under the German Ban (1914)

M. Harden, Monarche and Men, p. 143

cellor's anti Socialist tendencies Perhaps all these causes were operative In any case, Germany and Russia drifted apart in 1890, and, on the accession of the present Tsar in 1894, there was an end of the personal motives which had for so long Lept Russia aloof from the French Republic The Franco Russian alliance soon came about, and it was patent to all the world in June, 1895, when the French and Russian fleets atcamed together into Kiel harbour-

to grace the opening of the Kiel Canal It was their way of emphasizing the significance of that pacific undertaking Thus, the completion of Kaiser William's first naval programme councides with the hardening of the national resistance to his designs both on the east and west of the German Empire It is no exaggeration to

say that the cautions policy of Bismarck would somehow have prevented a Franco Russian alliance The Kaiser's restless and ambitious plans, set forth in flamboyant speeches, helped on that alliance The isolation of Germany, which her publicists ascribe to French, Russian, or British jealousy, was in all prohability due mainly to the reckless policy of Wilhem himself Napoleon I always declared the alliances against him to be the outcome of British gold. It is ever the same story The would be conquerors of the world will not understand, until too late, that the world must insure itself against them hy alliances There was another alternative, that the Kaiser should win the affections of the Alsatians and Lorrainers He has tried to do so by methods anccessful in North Germany. He has dazzled them by parades He bas re-bult in Loraine a castle which recalls the splendours of old Germany But be bas not won the bearts of that people. Sinve as be might, sometimes by menace, sometimes by cajolery, be could not escape from the consequence of the blunder of 1871 The voning generation of Alsatians proved to be more Gallophile than that which lived through the war of 1870 Consequently, German policy was beld as in a vice. The more the Kasser fumed and threatened, the closer became the union between France and Russia. The harder he pressed upon the conquered provinces, the more they turned towards Pans. There were but two ways of escape from the deadlock, conclusion or war. There was much to be said for the former alterna

tive, as will now appear

Alsatians longed for a war of revenge Many of them realised the impossibility of such a scheme, and they also saw that, even if it succeeded, the holding of those provinces against a ho-tile Germany would impose crushing burdens npon France and perpetual unrest upon Europe¹ Moreover, the teachings both of ethnology and history warmed them against any such enterprise The term Alsatia, once applied to a norman's land in London, reminds us that Alsatia was in olden times a debateable land between Gaul and Teuton In point of fact, the Alsatians are almost entirely German by race, and the tres of commerce counceted her with the Teutons rather than the Gauls, for rivers connect peoples while mountains divide them. Consequently many influences

1 See "La Station" for un Alsacies-Lorsia (Genera, 1887), Set T Bardly, pp. 312-4.

It is a mistake to suppose that all Frenchmen and

told against a complete absorption of Alsace into France1 Therefore they pleaded for the neutralisation of the annexed provinces Arguments in favour of that solution were well set forth at the International Peace Congress held at Geneva in 1884 Several Frenchmen protested against that solution on the ground that the provinces wanted union with France Others, however, notably M Demolins, advocated the middle course He pointed out that during 1000 years those districts had formed a dehatable land between the French and German peoples, neither of which could hold them permanently Therefore, was it not better to pronounce the struggle a draw? fore, was it not better to pronounce the struggle a draw?

A recent book by Herr Mass of Lepung, Die Vereningten

Staaten Europes, had urged the neutralisation of the
provinces, all the fortresses being dismantled, "for the

"strength of a nation consists in the ascendancy of

"light, science and law" Ardenly endorsing these proposals, Demolins appealed to the Germans to give up their militarism, alike cramping to themselves and menseing to their neighbours Frenchmen, on their side, must abandon all thought of a war of revenge, and he satisfied to see Alsace Lorraine independent and neutral This solution, however, by no means satisfied an Alsatian delegate, Waag of Colmar, who spoke passionately for union with France as the cherished desire of all Alsatians Their civilization was Roman. not Germanic2 The final vote of the Conference showed a perplexing halance between the cosmopolitan and the national solutions Twelve of the delegates voted for nentralising Alsace Lorraine, six opposed it, aix abstained

¹ See, too, M Leroy, ch. z. ⁸ So E. Hinzelm, p. 153.

from voting, and one resigned Nothing could better indicate the difficulty of the question. The only topic on which there was an approach to manimity was in regard to the preliminary step, that Alsace Lorraine must be allowed to express freely by a mass vote their desires for their future.

Any such proposal was vetoed by the German Goveru-ment, and the outlook, as we have seen, became more gloomy under William II Then the spurit of Trettschle began to prevail in Germany In 1871 the professor bad raged at the lenent terms accorded to France, and the burden of his professoral message was that Germany, now strong in herself, must expand by force of arms; "War is the mightiest and most efficient moulder of "nations Only in war does a nation become a nation, " and the expansion of existing States proceeds in most "cases by way of conquest" As for the notion of seeking the consent of the annexed people, be ridicules it "States do not anse out of the people's sovereignty, "but they are created against the will of the people" Doubtless, be deduced this principle from the war of 1866, which created the North German Confederation despite the opposition of the Prussian Parliament But, with the perfervidum ingenium Prussorum, he expanded that single instance into a universal truth Monstrous! you will say True, but the youth of Germany believe it Hence the soul of Germany became hardened against the appeals of pity that came from the Reichsland. And when the Pan German idea came to reinforce pro fessorial fallacies, all hope of a compromise respecting Alsace-Lorraine vanished.

¹ Trestochke, Die Politik, Bk. L. § 4.

Yet, if the Pan Germans had been logical, they would have allowed some discussion on the subject of Metz That city was thoroughly French, as were all the villages around, so too was Thionville For this reason, Bismarck, as we have seen, secretly disapproved the aunexation of Metz and its environs Further, on historical grounds Germany had no right to Metz, for though that city had heen connected with the Holy Roman Empire, yet the link was very slight1 Besides, language was an the link was very sight! Besides, language was an unsuperable harrier. There is, I believe, no example in history of a French speaking people giving up their mother tongue and taking to German, though instances to the contrary might be cited. Consequently, the Germaniung of Metz was hopeless. On the occasion of State visits numbers of people could be drafted in to cheer the Emperor. hut the cheers of these hired claqueurs were openly ridiculed

Accordingly, some Germans came to see the desirability of exchanging Metz for some French colony, an exchange which might have eased the tension The colonis! party in Germany would have scored a success, and France would no longer have fumed at seeing Frenchspeaking people at her very doors dragooned by Germans Further, she would have been free from that military menace, the great hastion of Metz thrust forth into the levels of Lorraine In every respect the crux of the Franco German problem is at Metz The Kaiser, however, and the leaders of German opinion scouted all thought of an exchange which would restore that city

Dom Calmet, Hist. ecclémentique et evrile de la Lorraine, IL. p. 1296. H Maringer Force au Droit, pp 65-63
Mems of Prince Hohenlohe, ii p 350 (Engl. edit.)

to France This appears even in a little hook, England and Germany, published in 1912 It consists of a number of articles urging friendher relations hetween the two countries Sir Thomas Barclay whose labours helped on the Anglo French Entente pointed out that that measure was not hostile to Germany but that our friendship to France caused us to take a lively interest in the Alsace Lorraine Question which held Germany and France apart and he suggested that the statesmen of Berlin should approach those of Paris with a view to finding some modus cuends The response from the German contributors was disappointing Baron von Pechmann, a Munich banker, reprobated eny discussion of the Treaty of Frankfurt of 1871, which assigned Alsece-Lorraine to the Fatherland Ignoring the fact that Metz etood in a very different relation from Strassburg to the German Empire, he asserted that the possession of the whole of the annexed districts was an absolute necessity to Germany "Anyone who questions that right "is guilty of a wrong to Germany, a wrong that hurts "us in a very sensitive spot, one which not only calls "in question our rights but the most sacred memories "in our history and everywhere in the world the inalien "able and inviolable quality of our national honour" These are the words, not of a Prussian hureancrat, hut of a South German banker, and they are uttered in rejection of a friendly anggestion, that Germany should approach France with a view to some compromise respecting Alsace Lorraine If that is the spirit of all Germans, war with France, was, I admit, inevitable I do not believe, however, that all Germans would have excluded from discussion the French part of Lothringen

LECTURE V

Many of them desired a compromise Bnt so long as Treitschke swayed the convictions, and the Kaiser cruted the emotions, of the German nation, a friendly settlement, even as regards Metz, was out of the question. For the spirit in which a nation approaches a political

112

problem is more important even than the problem itself. Who would have said early in 1904 that the many causes of dispute between Great Britain and France would be amicably settled in that year? During two centuries and more the two peoples had been quarrelling about the fish off Newfoundland For a couple of decades they had been snarling about Egypt, Madagascar, the Niger, and Siam And then, thanks to the tact of King Edward VII and Lord Lansdowne they speedly discovered

Siamese, were not worth a war But that discovery came about because on both adds of the Channel there canned about because on both adds of the Channel there canned also have been accepted a latent longing for peace, which with fostering care, could become vocal and speedily drown or resolve the carlier discords

But how did Germany regard the Anglo French entente? As a lesson in the methods by which disputes may be solved peaceably? She might have viewed it in that light and there are good grounds for believing that we should have gone far to meet her. Lord Rosebory

that cod fish and fellaheen, Malagasy, Haussas, and

But how did Germany regard the Anglo French entente? As a lesson in the methods by which disputes may be solved peaceably? She might have viewed it in that light and there are good grounds for believing that we should have gone far to meet her. Lord Rosebery in his speech of October 25, 1905 stated emphatically that our understanding with France ought not to be regarded as a threat to Germany hat on the contrary, that we desired friendher relations with her Still more important is Lord Lansdowne a letter of May 8,

1901, to Sir Thomas Barelay He expressed his desire "to see all matters which might give rise to controversy

"between ourselves and other countries happily settled!"
If that was the spirit ammating our Foreign Minister,
we may be sure that he endeavoured to include Germany
within the scope of the recent cordial understanding
with France Further, it is contrary to all that is known
of the convictions of his snecessor, Sir Edward Grey,
to suppose that he too would not have welcomed such
an arrangement
But was Germany disposed to meet in half way?

The Pan German writer, Count Reventlow, supplies the answer Discussing the proposals that passed between London and Berlin on that question he declares that they were not feasible, for a British alliance would in the future have tied Germany's bands The ally would mevitably ask Germany to consent to a proportional diminution of the British and German naval programmes as a sign of trust and goodwill Germany, however, could not lessen her naval preparations She must keep a free hand to build warships as she saw fit, otherwise she would be in a worse position relatively to Great Britain Equally must she be free to pursue her World Policy2 These admissions are illuminating They show the reason why the proposal of an Anglo German Japanese entente in 1901 came to nonght, also the causes of the failure of King Edward and his statesmen to include Germany in the entente cordiale with France The latter failure is easily intelligible, despite the efforts of Frenchmen (e g M Jules Lemastre) It is summed up in the words. Alsace Lorraine3

¹ Sir T Barclay, p. 312

² Reventlow, pp. 178-9

³ M. Leroy che tr, v, V M Laurent etc Le Paix armée et le Problème d'Alacce (1914)

interpreted that entente as a threat to them, but rather as a sign of affability to France But they could not, or would not, see They interpreted every act of Great Britain in the most unfavourable sensel. An English princess could not marry a Continental prince without cries being raised all over the Fatherland that we were hemming it in by alliances, though, surely, we were not to blame if neither the supply was so bounteous nor the demand so keen in regard to German princesses. These acrid complaints were signs of a mental disease which it is difficult to diagnove apart from the teachings of Trestschke and Bernhards. Its most prominent symptom was an unreasoning Chanvinism, which, after the military

collapse of Russia in Manchuna, took the form of intoler able arrogance both towards France in Moroccan affairs and towards Eussia in those of the Balkan Peninsula

4 e.g., Reventley tourns.

LECTURE VI

THE EASTERN QUESTION

When the Balkan States form a compact body opponing firm testance to every elternyt upon their union all toxicouriness will cestance, and the East will so longer be a menace to the peace of Europe. Signor Tittorii, Speech in the Chamber at Pome, Dec 3 1008

The Serbs of Dosna Herzgovina have twee set Europe in a blaze—in 1815 when their revolt against Tarkish misrule reopened the Eastern Question, and again in June, 1914, when two of their fanatics murdered the Austrian Archduke, Francis Ferdinand These two cents remind us of the diverse issues that confronted the Christian peoples of the Balkans in the past generation and in our own day. In 1875 the Turk was the one and only enemy. In 1914 the enemy is Austria. This, there has come ahout an almost bewildering change over the problems known as the Eastern Question. But, before we seek to gauge the importance of that change and of its present issues, let us try to understand the essentials of that Orestion.

It is a profoundly national problem, the most complex which has distracted the world since the break np of the Roman Empire The fends of bostile races and creeds in the Balkan Pennsuls have been Leener than in any other part of Europe, and this is due, firstly, to geographi cal causes Peninsulas are like pockets hanging from the mainland They hold up the flotsam and jetsam of humanity Wales Britany, the Iberian Peninsula, Italy, all are examples of the working of this ethnic law But the Balkan Peninsula, gaping widely towards the North, has collected far more peoples than any other peninsula except India It has gathered in the races wandering from East to West, who were deflected southwards by the great barrier of the Carpathians It also beld up the reflux from the North West and wedged it against the far greater drift from the North East But the Balkan Peninsula is not only a great wallet, it is also (if I may violently change the metaphor) a bridge, the easiest way from Asia into Europe As such it brought the Turks into Europe Nearly a century before their capture of Constantinople (1453) they barned the Balkan lands In 1389 they utterly crushed the Serbs in the Battle of Kossovo, which that brave little people yearly laments Their gnef is natural, for that disaster ended their days of splendour It is Kossovo, not the capture of Constantinople, which marks the beginning of the Eastern Question Thereafter the Turks overcame the Bulgars, a warlike race of Tartar origin who became Slavised and Christianised after their settlement in the Balkan Peninsula The crescent also prevailed over the Greeks and Roumans . Thus there hegan that long agony, the auhiection of brave and civilized Christian peoples to a Tartar horde which could neither under-

stand, assimilate, nor even govern them During ages the Osmanlı Turks, tha bravest but most ignorant and

fanatical of the Moslem peoples, atndied practically

nothing but the Koran a bewildering jumble of precepts calculated to muddle the clearest of brains Napoleon greatly admired the Koran because it made men good fighters. Les but if its votaries were wolves in war ther were sheep in time of peace especially before the head chearer the Sultan Valiant in fight but helple-s in the art of government they lowly yielded ground before their Christian subjects until in our own day the strife in the Balkans became balanced. It was reserved for the little peoples of the Balgans in the epopee of 1912 (surely worthy of a second Tcharkovsky) to defr with success the western Moslems who in the middle area had beaten back the forces of the whole of Christendom.

In those long structles for liberation, ranging over

nearly 200 years two external States have played a beligful part Russia and Austra But here we must duringuish between the motive that prompted intervention by those Powers The Russian people has always taken keen interest in the structures of Serb and Bulgar against the Sublime Porte Kinship in race and community of religion (that of the Green Church) unrelled them to intervene The generous feelings that led manhind to undertake the Crusades have perced the Muscovite, in their wars against the Turks True ambi ion has often prompted the policy of their Government from the times of Peter the Great and Catherine II onwards but the rank and file have been actuated by a noble impulse, the desire to free the oppressed and to plant the en source more on the dome of St Sophis at Contartmorle. This is the feeling which nerved the solders of Suverer and Debitsch to their deeds of heroism. It is the same feeling largely. which inspires them now to overthrow the last but deadliest enemy of the Balkan Slavs, Austria During more than a century the Honse of Hapsburg

has had no similar motive for intervention in Balkan affairs But, as the Ottoman power decayed, the States men of Vienna discerned in the south east the line of least resistance for their imperial projects Italian patriots, notably Count Balbo, urged the Hapsburgs to turn towards the Balkans the energies which were vainly employed beyond the Alps to hold down Italians1 His prophecy in 1843 was fulfilled in 1866, when Austria was expelled both from Italy and from the Germanic confeder ation After the formation of the German Empire under the headship of Prussia, the polyglot Hapshurg dominions could expand only towards the Balkans Hence the principle of growth which pushes the Germans towards the North Sea and into new lands, also urges Austria towards the Ægean We must recognise that in both cases an impulse natural to a vigorous people is driving on these movements. In the interests of the little peoples who are threatened on the lower Rhine and Meuse, as well as on the lower Danube, we must oppose such forcible expansion, but it has in it something of the elemental, which, in the wiser future that is aurely ahead, will demand satisfaction by methods less brutal than war

In this hnef study of the Eastern Question we must limit ourselves mainly to the ever increasing nivalry hetween Austria and the Balkan Slavaand their champion, Russas In the years 1875-7 that rively was restrained by the counsels of prudcace which then prevailed in

¹ C. Balbo, Le Speranze d' Italia (Turin, 1843)

presence of the mang power of democracy. The three Emptres, still lossely connected by the Three Emperors'. League, sought to locakre the Herzegovinnan Rusing and to induce Turkey to grant the needed reforms. We now see that pacific coercion of the Suhlime Porte was the sole method for ending the troubles in the North West of its Empire and it is generally agreed that the support offered by the British Government to the Turks was a political hlunder of the first magnitude At once they stiffened their necks, and the new Sultan Abdul Hamid II, prepared to defy Russia if she took up the cause of the row despanting Christians of the Ballans

Mark what ensued Britain's policy having broken up the Concert of the Powers which had sought to end the crisis peaceably, the former nvals, Russia and Austria, came to a secret agreement Regarding war hetween Russia and Turkey as inevitable, they agreed to the following compromise Austria would remain neutral, provided that Russia respected the integrity of Ronmania, and did not annex land south of the Danuhe It was also understood that she should confine her mulitary operations to the eastern half of the Peninsula. Austria. however, exacted a high price for her neutrality, viz the occupation of Bosma at the end of the war But this hy no means satisfied the statesmen of Vienna. The severe defeats sustained by Russia before Plevna whetted their appetite for Balkan lands, and in the spring of 1878, before the Berlin Congress which was to settle the Eastern Question, they demanded that Austria should occupy the whole of Albama and Macedoma, including Salonica Bosnia was also to become a principality dependent on the Hapsburgs, and Austria was to acquire 120

the right to make special treaties with Serhia and Monte negro, on terms which would have made them virtually dependent on her1

She did not gain these concessions But ahe procured the insertion in the Treaty of Berlin of Articles 25 and 29, which empowered her provisionally to occupy the Sanjak of Novi Bazar and also to extend her influence heoving Mitrovitza its southernmost limit This was equivalent to handing her the key to Macedonia and hidding her advance to Salonica when she saw fit, and on several occasions ahe assemed about to begin the march to Salonica, to which the chauvinists of Vienna constantly impelled hera

The Balkan peoples lived in perpetual dread of such an event Mr Minchin found during his sojourn in Boania and Serhis that the Montenegrins dreaded Austria far more than their ancient fees, the Turka So did the Greeks The Turk was in his dotage, but his place would at once he taken by the active and intriguing Austrian, and then farewell to all hopes of a Greek Salonica Most of all, the Serbs dreaded Hapshurg aggression True, Anstria coquetted with King Milan, hut only on condition that he worked in her interests In 1885 she also saved the Serbs from the advance of the victorious Bulgars, but she could do no less, for she had musted them to attack the Bulgars, and when her protégés were hadly beaten she of course intervened, but thereafter she was described as the shadow hanging over

Débidour, Hist diplomatique de l'Europe, II 515
 Tittoni, Italy's Foreign and Colonial Policy (Eng edit.) pp 139-143

³ J. Minchin, Growth of Freedom on the Balkan Pensanula, pp. 19, 32, 221, Cassavetti, Hellas and the Balkan Ware, p. 226

the whole of Serhin Indeed it would seem that uothing hit the dread of increasing the Slav population of the Dual Monarchy prevented its statesime from annesing Serhia outright. There were credible reports that both King Milan and afterwards King Alexander were about to place Serhia under vassalage to Austria.

The Albanians were equally appreheusive Both

Austria and Italy coveted their land especially its coast line which commands the entrunce to the Adratic Those nominal Allies could scarcely forhest laving violent hands on that important coast a question which in the winter of 1912-3 uearly brought about a European War we must now trace the growing rivalry between Austria and Russia in Balkan affairs Early in the present

century Austria hegan to gain ground far more quickly than Russa in Balkan Questions This may he explained by her advantages of position her shill in the management of half civilized races and the firm backing of Germany The support of Berlin is intelligible in the light of events described in Lecture IV So as soon the Bardad Railway scheme took definite form in the year 1902 Germany had every reason for desining Austria to control the Balkan lands and therefore the through railway lines from Central Europe to Constantinople These schemes linked as they were with the Bardad and Hedjaz Railways were so vast that the Sultan ought to have perceived their menacing character But Germany convinced him of her goodwill-Fugland had stolen Egypt and Cyprus, France had annexed Tunes Italy coveted Tripoli, Russia threatened Armenia The Austrians might he dangerous in Macedoma but Germany would see that they did the Turk no harm and hy her railways she 122

aonght to do Turkey nothing but good The Germans, in fact, were the only sincere friends that Turkey had in the world Moreover, the Kaiser encouraged the Sultan to persevere in the Pan Islam movement In fact, Pan Germanism and Pan Islamism acting together would stalemate Pan Slavism The crafty Sultan was completely cajoled and during many years Berlin virtually awayed the counsels of the Suhlime Porte giving it carte blanche in regard to the Christians of Macedonia and Armenia The more the British Government and Press protested against his policy of terrorism and massacre, the more he leant on the Kaiser, and a large share of the responsi hility for those horrors must fall to the imperial moralist and preacher of Potsdam For the time his pro-Turkish policy succeeded. The influence of Berlin superseded

aupport Turkey even against the dreaded Muscovite
Thus, the Teutonie programme was as follows Germany would partly support, partly control Turkey (meanwhile exploiting Asia Minor) while Austria was to become supreme in Serhia, Bulgaria, and finally in Macedonia That accomplished, the Germanic Empires might hope for the Empire of the Orient

that of Great Britain and France, and it promised to

How came Russia to permit these achemes! We must here remember that Russia in 1900 auccessfully opposed the northern route of the Bagdad Railway, and, having diverted the line far from her Caucasian borders, ahe now viewed the scheme with less reluctance, especially as it promised to hak up her Persian lines with the Bagdad system Russia, moreover, at that time was chiefly intent on her Trans Siberian railway achemes and the construction of great naval and commercial hases on the Pacific at Port Arthur and Dalny. The Far East diverted her from the Near East. The statesmen of Petrograd and of Tokio are said to be convinced that Germany lirred Russia on to the dangerous schemes in Korea which embrailed her with Ispan, an explanation which seems reasonable in view of the reconciliation between the two Powers which came about speedily after the end of the war.

Certain it is that, some ten to fifteen years ago, Russia took far less interest in Balkan affairs than formerly In 1903, when on the hrink of the Japanese War, she came to terms with Austria in what was known as the Murzsteg programme of reforms Ostensibly it simed at the im provement of the lot of the oppressed peoples of Macedonia under the joint supervision of Austria and Russia That the two nvals should join hands in promoting philanthropic schemes caused cynice to sneer, and unfortu-nately the cynics were right. The scheme was supposed virtually to supplant the obligations laid npon all the Great Powers by the Tresty of Berlin England, enfeebled hy the Boer War, was glad to hand over her responsibilities as regards the Christians of Turkey France and Italy took much the same view, while Germany was hand and glove with the Sultan, the sworn for of all reforms Russia was defeated in the Far East, Austria virtually let the Murzsteg programme lapse! But in the meantime she had secured the first place in Balkan affairs

Signs of her activity have been portrayed in the sprightly pages of Miss Edith Durham. She describes the splendour of the Austrian consulates then heing huilt

Perentlow, p. 316.

124

in Albania and Macedonia She savs, "The consul lives "in a palace, and has a whole staff of lively youths, "whose principal business in life appears to be taking "holidays for shooting expeditions, and whose knowledge "of the land is minute and exhaustive They will even "take you out for a walk and tell you the improvements

"which their Government means to introduce in a few "years' time" She once asked one of them whether a new consulate was not large enough for a Governor's palace He at once rephed "Then it will be very useful "to us in a few years' time1 "

The first great coup came in 1908 Austria then

annexed Bosnia Herzegovina outright. The Powers proannexed Bosnia Herregovina outlight. The rowers privated regorously all the more so because the Young Turks had just gained power at Constantinopla smidst the plaudits of an astonished world. But while viaions of a political millennium seemed to he taking shape on tha Bosphorus there fell this heavy blow from Austria. Was it her way of discrediting the new system, detested by Germany, acclaimed by Great Britain. Or was it merely a coincidence that the annexation came at the time of the Diamond Jubilee of Francis Joseph, providing him with a present of imperial splendour! Or, again, was it that Russia was still weak and could not resent Austria's expansion in the Balkans? It is certain that the Austrian statesman who carried

through this stroke, had adopted a very different policy from that usually associated with Vienna During many years Viennese policy had been conservative and cantious, so that Austria had been called the Honse of Lords of Europe Up to the autumn of 1906 she was so passive E. Durham, Burden of the Ballone, ch. 3.

in foreign affairs that Kaiser William took occasion to describe her Foreign Minister, Connt Goluehowski as "a 'hrilliant second'' during the Algeerias Conference of the spring of that year Damned with faint praise, that Minister retired from office. His successor, Baron von Achrenthal soon proved to he a man after Kaiser William's own heart. He was enterprising and thoroughly German Above all he helieved that the best means of stopping the eternal feuds in the Parliaments of the Dual Monarchy was to embatk on a spirited foreign policy!

Be it thy course to busy guldy minds With foreign quarrels that action hence borne out, May weste the memory of the former days

Those words, which Shakespeare puts in the mouth of Henry IV, as parting advice to his son may stand as the motto of Austria's policy since 1906 Aehrenthal was only too ready to obey the impulses emanating from Berlin He checked the pro Slav tendencies in the Dual Monarchy and prepared to subject the Slavs on its southern borders Russia, weakened by her disasters in Manchuna, was not likely to oppose him As for Great Britain be openly flouted her, and he declined to take us senously even after the conclusion of our entente with Russia in 1907 In fact in the autumn of that year he pushed on a railway scheme into Macedonia by way of Novi Bazar, and in order to procure the consent of the Porte he offered that Austria should renounce her partici pation in the Mürzsteg scheme of Macedonian reforms His bargain with Turkey may be thus described "You "Turks may do what you like in Macedonia if you will let "us huild our railway" At home Achrenthal defended his

W Steed The Hapsburg Monarch; pp. 224-230

scheme on the ground that it would be an important link between Europe, Egypt and India1 The mention of Egypt and India was, of course, meant as a threat to us.

These were the events preceding the annexation, and they explain the indignation which that event occasioned at Petrograd Pans, and Loudon Austria, backed up hy Germany, was clearly working to precipitate the ruin of the Turks by ahandoning the reform programme

which alone could save Macedonia from anarchy, but which alone could save Maccdonia from anarchy, but she was also pushing on a radiway that would enable ber to profit to the full by that anarchy So soon as Turkey went to pieces, the white coasts of Austria could be at the gates of Salonica. That was the way in which Vienna then regarded the Eastern Question, and it must be remembered that Germany, for all her boltsteingup of the old Sultan's tyranny, was ready with railway schemes in Ana Minor eo as to profit by the brealdown in

Turkey which clear sighted observers confidently predicted She was prepared for either alternative the continuance of Turkish tyranny or the fall of the Sultan Why, then did she not push on her schemes when the Sultan's authority collapsed at the time of the Young Turks' triumph at Constantinople? Doubtless, because that event overthrew German influence at the Sublime Porte. It has even been asserted by German writers that the Young Turks dealt their stroke because just previously King Edward VII and the Tsar had met at Reval on the Gulf of Finland Post hoc ergo propter hoc Evidently (so argue these logicians) those potentates met for something The Young Turk Revolution was some-¹ W Steed, The Hapsburg Mountaby p 235, Sir C. Fliot, Turkey in Europe, ad fin. (new edit. 1908). Revention, p. 316. thing Therefore the royal meeting met for the Young Turk Revolution. In the eyes of these writers King Edward was the Mephistopheles of the age, ever plotting the isolation of Germany—His summer visits to Carlsbad or Isehl where he often met Kaiser Franz Joseph, were intended to withdraw him from the German alliance, or tempt him to permade Kaiser Wilhelm not to build ships so fast. And now at Reval King Edward and the Tsar launched the Young Turks against Abdul Hamul¹—It seems to us incredibly superficial. But very many Germans judging other sovereigns by the phenomenal activity of their own could not believe that anything great could happen unless some monarch or statesman contrived it

Alas! The prospects of the reformers at Constantinople were speedily blighted by their follies and factions, and in April, 1909, there came to power a party favourable to Germany,—a result due largely to the skill of the German ambassador, Baron Marschall von Bieberstein. Since then she has resumed her former away at Constantinople?

Meanwhile the two Germanic Empires had also won a diplomatic triumph. They made good their contention that Austria should annex Bosma. The Tinple Entente opposed them in vain. Russia was still weak, France knew that she would get no help from Petrograd, and took little interest in Balkan affairs. Great Britain took more interest, but, alone, she was helpless against the

⁹ Sir W Ramsay, The Resolution on Constantinople and Turkey (1999), pp. 15-17

..., ...

¹ Reventlow, p. 322 the King and Tsar probably d.d not discuss politics (see W Steed p. 237).

Triple Alliance For at that time Italy held by her Government was sharply enterred in the Chambers at Rome for its pro Austrian policy But, by coming to an understanding with Russia on Balkan affaur, the Cabinet of Rome scored one success At the Congress of the Great Powers which dehletrated on the Bosman Question, Austria had to consent to withdraw from the Sanjak of Novi Bazar She did so very reluctantly, and misnly, it said, owing to the insistence of Italy and Russia.

But mark the result of this withdrawal It left only one line of advance southwards to a Power which was readwed to extend not only its railways but its political power in the Balkans. This line was through Serbia, which provided both the shortest and the easiest route to Salonica. Indeed, a railway already rain right through to the coveted port. Therefore the Austrian multiary men and engineers consoled themselves with the thought that thenceforth the route through Serbia must be the object of Austria's efforts.

Serbia was exasperated by these events! The annexa ton of Bosnia, and the handing hack of the Sanjak to the Porte shut her out from all hopes of reaching the sea, which she had so long chembed. Through the 600 dark years which have rolled over her aince the downfall of her glonous kingdom, she had dreamed of once more reaching the Adriatte. Now that dream was dispelled. On all sides she felt herself threatened, for that most

¹ Tittoni, p. 142 For the Austro Turkish bargain of February, 1809, which ended the annexation crisis (see W Steed, p. 255) Bullow Imperial Germany, pp 50-61
² Reventiow, p. 238.

crafty of European rulers, Prince Ferdinand of Bulgaria, had recently taken a threatening step Just before Austria's annexation of Bosnia he had visited Vienna, and on his return proclaimed himself Tear of the Bulgarrans, a claim which implied sway over the million or more of Bulgars in Central and West Macedonia This again seemed to blight Serbia's hopes of expanding southwards Nav! She was threatened at her very heart hy a war of tanffs with Austria Her chief product was pigs, and now the Dual Monarchy refused to take them The Turks refuse all pigs Therefore the sole exit for Serh pigs was Bulgana, but as the Bulgars had enough of their own, the future for the Serh animals hecame gloomy in the extreme For a time King Peter and some of his connsellors are said to have thought of entering into some form of dependence on Austria at

But, fortunately for the cause of the little States, they deeded to fight, not each other, but rather the common enemy, either Turkey or Austra. One of their delegates to London in 1912, when questioned as to the date of their preparations for war, said that they were hegun immediately after Austra's annexation of Bosma, for all those peoples then felt their doom approaching!

which that Empire had been aiming

The assertion may be commended to the German writers who have seen in the Balkan League merely the outcome of Russian intigues. All who are acquainted with Balkan affairs know that it originated in a sense of despair of any reforms from the Young Triks or of effective help from the Great Powers Germany and

¹ Letter of Mr Frederick S* John in Times Augus* 14, 1914.
R. L. 0

Austria blocked the way to intervention by the Great Powers and by the years 1911-12 the incredible folly of the Young Turks led to hardships worse even than in the days of Abdul Hamid Only occasionally did he order massacres But the Young Turks persistently

pressed hard upon all the Christians of the Empire Trained at Pans or Berlin they had imbibed the doctrine that public affairs would go well if organized by a scientific administration To them nationality and religion were absurd aurvivals, to be awept ande as soon as possible Turkey would prosper when her government resembled that of Pans or Berlin A sort of Pan Turk propaganda was set on foot to assimilate all the diverse peoples of the empire A Young Turk said to Miss Durham 'All is "now sumplified The Greek the Bulgar, the Serb, the

"Albanian Questions no longer exist We have passed a "law, and now all are Osmanle ' To which Miss Durham replied "You can pass a law, if you like, that all cata "are dogs, but they will remain cats" In 1912 the opportunity for the little peoples had come In the previous autumn Turkey was attacked by Italy, an event which disordered all the calculations of Berlin

and Vienna It had long been known vaguely that Italy desired Tripoli So far back as 1878 Bismarck had pointed her to that land, if France took Tunis

But very few persons expected the blow to fall in 1911 Her part in the Triple Alliance was to act as a passive third hehind Austria as a "brilliant second" On one occasion Kaiser William and as much to an Italian diplomat who complained of the lenten fare provided for Italy hy the Triple Alhance He said to him "Wait "patiently Let the occasion but present itself, and you

"shall have whatever you wish." But Italy waited in vain. Her impatience became extreme in 1911, for by then France had cut a great shee out of Morocco, and Germany out of the French Congo. The Cabinet of Rome therefore resolved to strike at Tripoli and those who watch the inner ironies of history will note with satisfaction that the Kaiser was hoist by Bismarck's petard, and that too, at a time extremely inconvenient for the onential designs of Germany. The railways were progressing favourably. The Turkish atmuy and navy were said to be gathering strength. Even Turkish finances were said to to be hopeless. But now Italy spoilt the game.

As if this were not enough the Turks chose this time of criss for dragooming the Albanians and massacring Bulgars at Kochani in Macedomia The Christian States therefore came to terms, framed their lesgue, struck homs, and within a month the Turkish Colossus lay prone

But then came a termble event The victors fell out among themselves as to the ahare of Macedona The cause of these dasputes is still obscure, but I have been informed by a diplomat of a Balkan State that it resulted largely from the vagueness of the original compact, which at first did not include Greece Serbia and Bulgaria had arranged a general scheme for dividing Macedoma, but this proceeded on the assumption that Serbia would acquire Albania She did acquire it by the prodigious exertions of her troops in the rush through snow and slush to Vallona But it soon appeared that Austria and Italy would forcibly oppose her at that coast. Those two States very rarely pull together, but on this occasion they did, because each hoped to get Albania Thus it came

¹ Crisps Mems III. 326 (Eng edit.)

about that in the Congress of the Powers held in London in 1912-13, Serbia had finally to give up North Albania It was a bitter blow to her people, but now they demanded a larger share of Macedonia To this the Bulgars demur red, and it is almost certain that their opposition in its final stages was instigated by Austria. It is an open secret that she encouraged her protège, King Ferdinand, to expect Austrian help if he rejected the demands of Serbia Several of the botheads of Sofia hearkened to this insidious advice The Daneff Ministry at Sofia was less to blame than has been generally believed. It was pushed on to the brink of the precipice by the chaurinists Indeed the final order to the Bulgarian troops to attack the Serbs never had the signature of the responsible Ministers Insidious influences were certainly at work to set the Christians of the Balkans by the ears, and those influences emanated from Austria. She had resolved to smash the Balkan League whose victory over the Turks had been a most unwelcome surprise Both at Vienna and Berlin it was believed that the Turks, drilled by Germans, provided with Krupp's stillery, and rendered doubly mobile by the new German railways in Asia Minor, must prevail over allies who until lately had hated each other more bitterly than the Turks What wonder that the Germanic Empires loathed the thought of a Turkey in Europe controlled by four Christian States whose progressive culture marked ont the future as theirs The German plans proceeded on the assumption that Turkey would survive, at least long enough for the Teuton to step in as residuary legatee. And now the Christian States were about to share the hest part of the inheritance Their triumph would imply the throwing in of four solid

blocks into the path which the Germanic Empires were resolved to control, the path leading from Berlin and Vienna to Coustantinople and thence to the Persian

Gulf

In these considerations we may find the explanation

of the muserable events of the summer of 1913, which exhausted the Balkan States and led to the conclusion of the unsatisfactory Peace of Bukharest, mainly at the

dictation of the Germanic Powers Here again they prevailed. They threw back the Slav cause in a way which caused keen satisfaction at Berlin and Vienna, but still keener resentment at Sofia, Belgrade, and Athensabove all at Petrograd. The Slavs had not sought this conflict, though this is constantly asserted at Berlin It was forced on them by the aggressive designs of the two

Germanic Empires, and, later, by the insane misgovern ment of the Young Turks Twice the Slav cause was set back by the action of Austria and Germany, viz in the winters of 1908-9 and of 1912-13, on both of which occasions Europe narrowly escaped a general war But the experience of those crises led to a firm resolve not to accept further humiliations from the Houses of Hapsburg and Hohenzollern

LECTURE VII

THE CRISIS OF 1914

By whaterer means we must be strong so that by a powerful effort we may destroy our enemies in the east and in the west.

(German Secret Report, March 19, 1913-)

THE events in the Ballans doing the year 1913 nahered in a time of severe tension. It was evident to all observers that the two Central Powers were bent on

breaking up the Balkan League and securing their supremacy in that peninsula. The participation of beaten Turkey in that second war could scarcely have occurred without encouragement given from Berlin and Vienna. The intention evidently was to re-establish the Ottoman power as far as possible and deal a blow to the Slav cause both by lessening its gains of the year 1912

and by sowing discords among its champions. The plan met with startling success, and Austria might well hope finally to secure her supremacy in Turkey in Europe. The secrets of those months are half revealed by some

agmiftent signs. Evidently the Sublime Porte must have considered itself very closely bound to the Central Powers, otherwise it would not now have intervened in this war. The Turkish troops are fighting with extreme reluctance, and it is well known that the Meelems of India and Erypt recard Turkey's action as Ifady to lead to utter ruin. How close, then, must have been the gupwhich the German Powers fastened on Turkey in 1913? As to the Balkan States, though ther nume butter hatred agains' each other yet it is repressed by their overmastering dread of Austria. Early in the present war it was expected that Bulgaria would strack Serbia in order to recain Central Microdiums. Why did she not do so? Because to do so would be to play the game for Austria, and her expenence of the insideus policy of Venna in 1913 has now heet her out:

Turn to Roumania That State used to be on friendly terms with the Triple Alliance owing to resentment at the shabby conduct of Russia in 1878 in annexing Western Besarabia. But her anger has abated. She no longer fears Russia, but she does fear Austria On November 20, 1914, a leading Roumanian statesman, M. Joneson, telegraphed to a Russian paper the following - "All Roumania's interests "and her future are inseparably bound up with the "victory of the Triple Entente, to which Rouman a must "contribute by participating in the war Roumania "should stave to premote a Serbo-Bulgarian agreement "and do everything possible to come to terms with "Bulgaria, thus enabling all the Balkan States to side "with the nations of the Entente. A German victory "would mean the bunal of all the hopes of the Balkan . "States and of the independence of the neutral countries"." Roumans has her own special reasons for wishing the overthrow of Amins, from whom she hopes to recover the Roumans hving north of the Carpathians. But she

² Times, Nov 22, 1914.

also knows that Austrian supremacy in the Balkans would sound the death knell of every free State in the Peninsula Thus, the Aehrenthal policy has had the effect of uniting practically all the Balkan peoples against the menace from the north Hungary has behaved worse to the Slavs than Austria

has done In the Western half of the Monarchy a feeling not long ago prevailed in favour of encouraging the Slavs as a make-weight against the Magyars In its extreme form this policy was known as Trialismus, i.e. a tripla division of the Empire, the Slav provinces becoming a third division with Agram as capital To the Magyars this notion spelt ruin, and they opposed it furiously Thus, savere friction resulted, especially on the Serb border There the Magyars sought to crush their Serb subjects, while these retaliated by a nationalist propa ganda which sometimes led to fights and outrages In the main however, the Magyars carried things with a high hand, as was seen in that disgraceful episode, the Friedjung trial For details I must refer you to the works of Messrs Seton-Watson and Wickham Steed Nowhere in Europe except in Ireland, was there friction so acute as in the Slav provinces of Hungary, and it was there that friction first produced flame

On June 28, 1914, two Bosman Serbs murdered the heir to the throne of the Dual Monarchy, Archduke Franz Ferdinand This dastardly crime aroused intense indignation against the Serbs Their cowardly assassination of King Alexander and Queen Draga in 1903 was remembered, and all through Europe there rang denunciations of that "nation of assassins" There were suspicious features about the crime The Archduke had

favoured Triglismus and the Archduchess was of Slav race Therefore the murdered pair were more Slavonic in their sympathies than mine-tenths of those who now denounced the berts But there can to no doubt as to the intense indignation which the crime at Scrajevo aroused throughout the Instrian dominions and it excited what has been so rare in the recent history of that Empire a passionate and general longing for war A hackneyed saying of Napoleon awigns to moral power three-fourths of the might of an army That moral power was now on the side of the white-coats about to wield the sword of justice against cowardly murderers The Slav cause being di graced that of the Teuton bade fair to prevail German and Magy ar in the Dual Monarchy classed hands enthusiastically and even their Slav subjects seemed likely to fight for good old Kareer Franz against a nation that had put itself under the ban of Furope The opportunity was all the more favourable because Austria generally viewed with suspicion and alarm the forward moves of Germany As you Bernhards said in the Preface to his book How Germany makes Har, neither Austria nor Italy took any interest in Germany s World Policy They were therefore certain to desert her if the began hostilities on her own account But in July 1914 Austria the backward partner, was eager for war What a chance! It might never again recur Finally, there was this consideration that the Tar would probably he reluctant to draw the sword on tehalf of a nation of assassins. In the next lecture ne shall see the u e to which the Kaiser put this murdermotive

Meanwhile notice that the war-parts at Vienna began

Serbian race2

forthwith to exploit the crime for their own ends, and to plan forcible intervention in Serhis The French am hassador in Vienna on July 2 reported as follows "The "inquiry into the origin of the outrage, which is to he "demanded on conditious intolerable to the dignity of the "Belgrade Government, would, in case of refusal, provide 'the excuse for proceeding to military execution" The scheme was seen through at Petrograd There the Anstrian amhassador stated that Austria might be forced to search in Serbia for the accomplices of the crime Thereupon Sazonoff, Minister for Foreign Affairs, uttered these warming words "No country has suffered more "than Russia from ontrages planned upon foreign 'territory Have we ever elaimed to adopt against any "country whatever the measures with which your news-"papers threaten Serbia? Do not enter upon that path1" Up to July 23 Austra delayed action But the Milttarische Rundschau clamoured for war -"The moment is "still favourable for us If we do not dende upon war, "the war we shall have to wage in two or three years at "the latest will be begun in circumstances much less "propitions Now the initiative belongs to us Russia "is not ready, the moral factors are for us, might as well "as right Since some day we shall have to accept the "struggle, let us provoke it at once" The Neue Freie Presse demanded the extermination of the accursed

Let us now take a hnef survey of the general situation in Europe in the first seven months of 1914 In Russia there was a very senous strike, which promised to paralyse

¹ French Yellow Book (1914) pp. 20 21
² Ibd v 22.

not only the tram service but also the transport service of the Empire Consequently that vast organism seemed likely to move with far more than the traditional amount of circumspection Difficulties of mobilization have always been great in Russia owing to the sparseness of the population and the primitive nature of the means of communication Her railways are not all of the same gauge, and the locomotives on different lines are constructed some to hurn wood, others coal or oil But strategic railways to her western frontier were either planned or were in course of construction, an additional motive why the Germans should act soon Further, in her three last wars, the Crimean, the Turkish, and the Japanese, her organization had proved to be very defective Consequently, it was a proverh in historical circles that Russia, however strong for defence (as against Charles XII and Napoleon) was weak for offence, and in June, 1914, her offensive power seemed at the lowest point Russian finances were also judged to be weak In 1912 Dr Rohr bach stated that they would not bear the strain of a single had harvest As for her army organization, it had been improved somewhat since the Japanese War; but up to 1912 no real improvement had taken place In an earlier work he pronounced Russia's power to he overrated, and he now repeated his verdict Such, too, was the report of the French diplomatic and consular agents in Germany "In political and mulitary circles it is not "helieved that her assistance will he sufficiently rapid

"and energetic to be effective!"

Let us turn to France In the spring and summer of 1914 the French Republic was not in good odour

French Yellow Book (1914) p. 18.

The miserable Caillaux affair, with the resulting recriminations between Uninsters of State, awakened a general sense of distrust and alarm Parlamentary Government had long been on its trial and now it seemed condemned Groups of men atruggling for power, displaced others so soon as they were hopelessly discredited. Above them there stood a manly figure, M Poincaré, who typified France, but he seemed powerless before the atrife of the factions. Worst of all, some Uninsters stood accused of selling State secrets to Germany. Then again, the army was far from strong True, the Chambers had in the summer of 1913 passed a law reinforcing three years' multary service, a measure which promised to restore the military efficiency listerly open to question. But

early in 1914 the supporters of the new Ministry threatened to get that decree repealed Everything therefore became uncertain Later on on July 13, there took place in the Chambers a debate, in which the army was

alleged to be ill equipped for war, boots and other necessaries being deficient both in quality and quantity. The disclosures sent thills of alarm through France, of exultation through Germany.

At that time, too, no small part of the French effectives was still locked up in Morocco, and some weeks must ensue before those war hardened troops could form front in Lorraine. Accordingly, Morocco was a drain on the French army almost as senious us Mexico was to Napoleon III in the crisis of 1855. German generals are known to have reposeed at the ending of the Againt affair, which gave France carte blanche in Morocco, because "it put an elephant on the back of France". There was another reason why they should act soon against France. When

she had thoroughly conquered Morocco, she could marshal an army corps of Moors, some of the hravest fighters in the world. For the present, Morocco held some 80,000 of her hest troops. As for the French navy, once the second in the world it had now sunk to fifth place

The most serious feature in the life of France remains to he noted, the declining hirth rate. If that decline continued France would abviously become a Power of the second rank A German official puts it thus "The "French may arm as much as they like They cannot "from one day to another incresse their population" Count Reventlow urges that fact as a reason why King Edward chose to ally himself with France She was a decadent nation, and therefore it was better policy to act along with her rather than with ever increasing Germany2 The argument is true if we assume that Great Britain desires to maintain the Balanca of Power But the argument is fatal to the Count's favounte thesis, the ceaseless greed of the islanders If they were ever eager to clutch at a World Empire, why did they not unite with powerful Germany to partition nch but decadent France and her extensive colonial empire? That we clasped the hand of the weaker State is a convincing refutation of the charges of selfish conning so often flung at us

What of the British Empire? In the year 1914 how did it stand in the eyes of the militant parts of Berlin* Certainly there was much to exite their hopes. The Pan Germans had long filled their books and journals with disquisitions on the inherent weakness of the British

French Yellow Book (1914), p. 9
 Reventlow, p. 233.

dominions The arguments were curiously like those used by the French Republicans in 1793, adopted by Bonaparte, and then pressed home in his Continental System An essay might be written on the theme Delenda

est Carthago, as applied to England The idea has captivated many a thinker, from the time of Quesnay and the French Economistes down to the German Agranans of to-day The fundamental notion is the same Land is the bassa of a State and agriculture is the true source of

wealth Manufactures and commerce are later and artificial developments. The British, while relying on them, have neglected the source of real wealth agnculture Therefore England resembles a ship, light in ballast and with a fine show of top hamper, destined to founder in the first tempest The France of Napoleon I and the Germany of William II are well trimmed craft

and will ride out the storm Such is the theory It is highly attractive, especially to the German Agramans, as it enables them to tax foreign corn and thereby steady

the ship of State and fill their own pockets One must admit that in the light of the teachings of history-Tvre Carthage, Venice, Portugal, Hollandthe persistent survival of Great Britain is the most

exasperating of facts to theory ridden professors, and this it is which, in part at least, accounts for our extreme unpopularity in German academic circles That all the learning and ingenuity of the Fatherland should hitherto have atumbled over our rock of offence is an unpardonable crime Treitschke, Rohrbach Reventlow, Frobenius and others have proved to demonstration the fragility of the

British Empire It was won by guile We set all the Continental States fighting and then stole the best lands across the seas The moral was obvious Let all the aggreeved States combine and compel the footpad to disgorge If the Pan Germans had been wise they would have himited themselves to that programme, at once moral and lucrative For the British nation (they said) was weak and degenerate utterly given over to sport neglecting the first duty of citizenship by hiring "mercenanes 'to fight detested by the Irish and loathed both by the Boers and the peoples of India. The landing of a European force in South Africa (so said Rohrbach in 1912) would lead to a rising of the Dutch population, and that wealthy land would soon be lost to the Union Jack In that year Germany made formidable military preparations in South West Africa As will be seen in the Appendix, ammunition and stores sufficient to equip a force of 10,000 men for any years were in that colony in the autumn of 1912, and about that number of men were ready to take the field German officials, when questioned, said that these preparations were against the Ovambos in the north, but that native tribe was absolutely quiet, and the chief preparations were in the south, not far from the border of Cape Colony Finally it became known through an intercepted letter to the German cruiser Eber, at Cape Town, that orders were issued at Berlin, on June 14, 1914, whereby that ship and others would be supplied with coal by means therein described if war enqued!

Reverting to Rohrbach, we note his estimate of the defensive power of Australia. He declared that she could not resist if her four chief towns, all of them near the coast, were occupied by an invader. As for Canada,

¹ Times Oct. 6, 1914

she was sparsely peopled and had no military force worthy of mention India was discontented, the handful of white administrators did not understand the people. who were always on the brink of revolt The appearance of a single Russian army corps on the Indus would lead to the collapse of British rule Egypt, the keystone of the imperial arch, could easily be dislodged by the Moslems in a Holy War Above all the heart of the Empire was weak, for the British people were too enervated by luxury and selfishness to cope with the difficulties presented hy their overgrown Empire1 The hopes which Germany placed in a general rising of Moslems against Great Britain, Russia and France, are nirikingly shown in a German secret report, dated Berlin, March 19, 1913. which indvocated extensive preparations for war. It proceeded thus "Disturbances must be stirred up in

"vitally necessary that through well chosen agents we "should get into contact with influential people in Egypt, "Tunis, Algiers and Morocco, in order to prepare the "necessary measures in case of a European war. These "secret allies would, of course, be recognized openly in "time of war. They should have a guiding head, who

"Northern Africa and in Russia This is a means of "ah-orbing the forces of the adversary It is, therefore,

"might be found among influential religious or political "chiefs The Egyptian school is specially suited for "this More and more it gathers together the intellectuals "of the Moslem world?"

'of the Moslem world'"

Even those who did not depreciate Great Britain to

Poer those who did not depreciate Great Britain to

Robrbach, Deutschland unter den Welt-Velkern (1908), pp. 67-164;
Der deutsche Gefanke in der Welt [1912], pp. 163-176.

French Yellow Book (1914), pp. 9, 10

this extent, proclaimed the need of beating ber down General von Bernhardt in his second book, Unsere Zukunft (Berlin, 1912), declared that a naval war with her might be successful she found great difficulty in manning her fleet by the voluntary system, and (said he), "she seems ' to be approaching the hmits of her naval capacity In ' the second place the Baltic and North Sea Canal will "soon be finished, and its completion will yield consider "able military advantages to Germany Lastly, the "German navy grows from year to year so that the "conclusion hes near that the comparative strength of "the two navies will gradually be altered to England's "disadvantage In the Mediterranean the Austrian and "Italian navies are about to be strengthened" He then says it is clearly to the interest of Great Britain to provoke a war with Germany as soon as possible. This advice to us (we may notice) was a counterpart to that which in 1911 he had given to Germany in his work, now translated,-Germany and the next War At the end of that book he spoke thus "Even English attempts at a "rapprochement [to Germany] must not blind us to the "real situation We may at most use them to delay the "necessary and inevitable war until we may fairly imagine "we bave some prospect of success"

Those prospects of success mounted high in the summer of 1914 Firstly, because Germany at Midsummer opened the enlarged Kiel Canal In consequence of the general adoption of the Dreadmought type of battleship she had been forced in 1905 to set about the widening and deepening of that canal, so as to admit the passage of her new warships, the first of which was launched in 1908 and completed (I believe) by 1911. Other shires of

the Dreadnought type soon followed Bnt none of them could pass quickly from the Baltie to the North Ses or note cterid until that canal was widened and deepened, as it was at an estimated cost of £12,000,000. The completion was fixed for 1915, a time when Germany expected to have 18 Dreadnoughts or Super Dreadnoughts ready, or nearly ready, for sea By great exertious and additional expenses she completed the canal at Midsummer, 1914.

nearly ready, for sea By great exertious and additional expense she completed the canal at Midsummer, 1914. She had every reason for haste In 1910 she transferred her large hattleships from Kiel to Wilhelmshafen and, until the canal was completed, they would be unable quickly to reach the Baltic and confront the Russian fleet. After 1914 Germany could expect to overpower in auccession both the Russian and French navies if they came out of port. She held the interior position between them, an immense advantage at all times, and that advantage was now enhanced by the means of swift entry either into the Baltic or North Sea.

These considerations are all important for a due under

standing of the course of German policy. It is a policy based on military and naval considerations. In 1865 she forced on a war with the Hapshurg Power because she had the needle-gun, while other circumstances also promised success to their arms. The same holds good of the war of 1870. Indeed, writers who neglect the military and naval situation leave out of count the determining factor of the policy of Berlin. Germany has enjoyed an astonishing series of triumplis because she does not go to war for an idea or a principle, but hecause she awaits a time favourable for dealing a sudden hlow. That is the essence of Realpolitik. Even when she does not deal the blow, her diplomacy is coloured by the military and blow, her diplomacy is coloured by the military and

naval situation. Note the following facts. Her tone became far more aggressive in the year 1895 the year in which the Kiel Canal was first opened She then adopted a high tone towards us in the Congo and South African Questions, the latter of which nearly led to war The spurt thereby given to British naval construction served to impose respect upon her during the Boer War, but she then hegan to huld very fast. The Ententes with France and Russia and increased naval construction were our methods of retort She, too, pushed on her navy as fast as possible, but the adoption of the Dreadnought placed her for a time at a great disadvantage, hecause, after the completion of her first Dreadnoughts in 1911-12, ehe could not eeud them through her ship-causl, and in view of the persistence of the Anglo Russo French entente, which ehe found to be colid at the time of the Bosnian crisis of 1908-9, ehe had to prepare to face a naval war with all three Powers She then made greater efforts than ever, and so did her Allies, Austria and Italy By the Naval Act of 1912 ehe provided that about four fifths of her manne should always be kept on a war footing, and so threatening was the situation which thus came about that the British Admiralty for a time decided to leave the Mediterranean, a resolve which emphasized our reliance on France in that quarter It was clear, then, that Germany was beginning to run us close Still, ehe could not well face a war until the great strategic advantages of the Kiel Canal were again at her disposal Therefore, on naval grounds it was desirable for her to postpone a war until after the completion of that great work. This fact was well understood in naval circles In 1913 Commandant Davin of the French navy wrote an article reviewing the naval resources of Germany and pointing out that the Canal changed a weak naval base into a very strong one. He therefore concluded that she would await the completion of that work before declaring war¹

But why did she hurry on the Canal so as to he ready hy Midsummer, 1914? Here the state of the French and Belgian armies must be considered. The efficiency of the French army was certain soon to increase owing to the operation of the law of 1913, reinforcing three years' military service The Belgian army also was becoming stronger every year In 1910 that Government carned a law imposing compulsory service for one son at least in every family But in 1912, owing to alarming advice respecting German plans, the Chambers at Brussels extended the principle of compulsory service with few exceptions to males physically fit, above the age of nineteen. This would bring to the colours as many as 56,000 men in 1914-15, instead of 35,000, the contingent for 1912-13 Inclusive of the militia reserve, the grand total would amount to 200,000 men at the end of 1913 Finally it would rise to 310,000 It is certain that Germany took into consideration this increase

The new Army and Taxation Eills introduced into the Reichstag on April 7, 1913, led to an interesting discussion, the Imperial Chancellor stating that it was the duty of the Government to train 60,000 men more every year, in order to meet the proposed increases of the French and Russian arms: He also pointed out the difficulty of according to Mr Churchill's proposal of a

La Perue des Questions diplomatiques (1913), pp. 417, 418

Naval Holiday The Minister for War then stated that the object of the Bills was to render possible an offensive strategy if war came, for 'the hest parry is the lunge "the hest covering force is the offensive". The new texture remained and extensive requested Property

taxation comprised a drastically graduated Property Tax as well as Death Duties and Increment Duties, against which the Couservatives protested. The Imperial

Budget subsequently empowered a special vote for expenditure of £21,000,000, but that sum has been largely exceeded. It is known that the purchase of petrol in 1914 was double and of corn nearly double, of that in average years. The opinion became prevalent that this drastic taxation could not last, and a feeling of the traileasness increased. German newspapers stated that £40,000 000 would be apent on war material by July 1

A rupture of the peace of Entope appeared so imminent on the Albanian Montenegrin disputes as to justify the Powers in taking financial precautions. Those of Germany were especially thorough, probably hecause her credit suffered severely at the time of the Agadir criss in 1911. The wholesale collapse which was then harely averted led her to take measures to avert a crash in the event of war. The full details of her action with the Banks are not known. But the German Secret Report of March 19, 1913, laid down these guiding principles—There must

he a great increase in armaments and consequently in taxation, so that "an outhreak for war] shall be considered "as a deliverance, hecause after it would come decades "of peace and prospently, such as those which followed "1870 The war must be prepared for from a financial "point of view There is much to be done in this direction "The distrust of our financiers must not be aroused, "hut, nevertheless, there are many things which it will "he impossible to hide"

Accordingly, on July 3, 1913, amidst a time of great prosperity a law was passed authorizing the addition of gold and alver equal in value to £12,000,0002 This sum was to be added to the imperial reserve of £6,000,000 deposited in 1871 in the fortress of Spandau. In addition, there was in the Banks of Issue hullion of the value of £86 960,000 Thus, the total value of gold and alver reserve was £104,960,000 But the Government was also ready with measures calculated to meet a sudden

demand for money On August 1 1914, it auspended cash payments at the Banks and issued a large amount of paper notes and alver coms The imperial reserve was also made available, and the Government immediately established hanks for the issue of loans even for very small amounts on the security of goods and securities of all kinds, thereby hecoming a paternal pawnhroker. There was therefore no need of a moratorinm, and Germany prided herself on the ease with which she adapted herself to a state of war

All hed heen thought out beforehand, and there was little confusion, certainly far less than was the case here The British Government had no plans ready for meeting the financial strain and at the close of July we were face to face with a very serious situation. The Joint Stock Banke have been blamed for increasing the general distrust by alarmist measures, but it is only fair to remember that the estuation was so alarming because the Government had no plans ready for meeting it If

¹ French Yellow Book (1914) p 9
² An authority has informed me that by July 31, 1914 only 24 250 000 had been acquired in gold

three more days, an unparalleled pame might bave been the result Fortunately the advice of financial experts led to the adoption of remedial measures such as the moratorium The mere fact that so desperate a measure bad to be adopted showed that the Government bad prepared no plan for reassuring the Joint Stock Banks in case of a crisis It is also noteworthy that the reserve

of gold in the Bank of England had not been increased, as would certainly have been the case if a crisis had been

expected No scheme for paper notes was ready, and some little time elapsed before the issue of Treasury Notes which an amateur forger could not easily counterfeit At Berlin everything had been thought out and provided At London the City was caught in a state of trustful innocence Far worse, bowever, was the general political situation of the United Kingdom The Germans seem to have been

singularly impressed with the mahihty of our Government to deal with "the wild women" Much space was given in their papers to the ontrages of the militants, and many were the comments on the softness and besitancy of British procedure. The Germans, who never have any difficulty with their women, seem to have concluded that a Government which allowed itself to be ben pecked, must be in its dotage That was the general view in Germany, and it must be reckoned among the infinences which produced a feeling of pride in the Fatherland and contempt for the decadent islanders

The Irish Question produced an even deeper impression That the British Government should be unable to prevent two sets of Insh Volunteers procuring arms and drilling Empires chose the time with extreme skill for launching their bolt. Their method of chinching its effects will concern us in the next lecture Here I wish to point out that the leaders of Germany both in the spheres of thought and action have always advocated an energetic initiative whenever a fit opportunity occurred Treitschke represents the union of historical learning with the victorious militarism of 1870 He uses history as a text for glorifying Prussian procedure and stimulating its progress towards wider triumphs. He rejoices over the treatment of Saxony by Frederick the Great in 1756

"Should Frederick (he asks) have had respect for the "official regulations of Saxony?" Treaties? What are treaties? The State is superior to all treaties. Treatschke says 'The State cannot recognize an arhiter shove "itself, and consequently legal obligations must in the "last resort he subject to its own judgment1" Which means that Prussia cannot be bound by international law if it thwarts her interests, also, that the rules of the Hague Conference are null and void so eoon as the Prussian State feels the purch of circumstances That has been not merely the dictum of a deaf professor it is the maxim which has guided Prussia at most of the great crises since her first successful crime the seizure of Silesia Under good men like Frederick William III and IV and William I, she swerved nervously towards the Ten Commandments. hut she afterwards recurred to the more gainful creed of Frederick the Great

Let us look more closely at his procedure and that of Bismarck, for they are the chief exponents of Prussian State policy Frederick made no attempt to justify his

¹ Trestschke Die Pohitik Bk z \$ 2

The young king struck quickly in 1740, and he left it to

his later apologists, including Carlyle, to discover justs fications Frederick in his Histoire de mon Temps uses no whitewash He merely says that Maris Theresa was weak, her army had of late been hadly heaten by the Turks, Russia for the time favoured him, and, as France and England were always at feud, he would be sure of the help of one of them Therefore he struck at Silesia1 His action at the beginning of the Seven Years' War is equally noteworthy. Here he had more reason for striking His enemies were preparing to move against him, and he anticipated them But he did so hy over whelming an unoffending neutral that lay in his way, Saxony True, by that elaborate piece of mystification, his Memoire raisonne, he tried to show, later on, that Saxony was conspiring against him but the excuse rings hollow, as hollow as those which William II sought to foist on the world respecting Belgium Frederick in

at Saxony "Saxony not having finished her [military] "arrangements these conjunctures seemed favourable to "gain advantages over the enemies, by forestalling them 'from the beginning of the campaign?" The British Government, which did not want war in Enrope, sought to dissuade him from this precipitate action against a neutral but Frederick persisted "Let us conquer" (he said) "the politicians will then find plenty of justification "for us" That phrase summed up his motives, and they have largely governed Prussian policy ever since It has 1 Frederic Hat de mon Temps IL 51-8 2 Ibid. III. 37

his Histoire supplies the real reason for the blow dealt

become a maxim at Berlin to make rapid use of the advantage which a central position gives to well armed forces In a strictly political sense the central position of Germany causes her anyety But every student of war knows that it confers great advantages if it be used with rapidity and decision. Therefore her policy at a crisis tends to be governed by military rather than diplomatic considerations Prussian statesmen always remem ber those significant words in the will of Frederick the Great "May this State always be governed with justice wisdom and forcel

Unch the same view was presented by the Prussian military writer Clausewitz For him the life of States was a constant struggle. When war broke out, it was only a change of method the struggle for self preservation then went on openly and by force His notion of strategy is this 'The best strategy is always to be very strong ' firstly in general, and secondly at the critical point.' Thus Prussia is always struggling. If hen she goes to war she merely intensifies and specializes her efforts with a view to the exhaustion of her enemy by the exercise of the utmost possible ngour. He thoroughly appreced of Frederick's merciless use of Saxony in 1756-1762 All this was written in 1836-7 a time of profound peace?

The next great exponent of Prussian police, Bismarck, modelled his policy on that of Frederick It was strictly objective He hated idealists Of one of them he wrote thus in 1891 'Professor Gladstone perpetrates' one piece of stupidity after another. He has alienated

Frédéric Hut de mon Temps vi 219.
 Clausewitz Fom Kriege und Kriegführung Eks i Chs. 1.2 viii Chs. 6. 7

"the Cape [the Majuba affair], and he does not know how
"to manage Ireland There is nothing to be done with
him!" The part of Bismarck's career in which he himself took most pride was the Schleswig Holstein Question in which he got the hetter of many opponents, brushed aside in succession all solutions but his own, and had the satisfaction of seeing his handiwork completed by an opportune attack npon Austria His conduct of Franco Prussian negotiations in July 1870 was almost equally skilful for it led up to a rupture at a time ex-ceedingly favourable to Prussia Napoleon III was known to be contemplating a league with Austria and Italy with a view to an attack upon North Germany in Italy with a view to an attack upon North Germany in 1871 Bismarck anticipated that attack, and, on the plane of expediency, on which statesmen must ack in such a cruss he was justified Germany waged the war in a straightforward way and she deserved her tnumph. The wars of 1866-1870 are good examples of Prussian policy. They were undertaken after a careful cal

culation of chances and by a swift offensive Whenever Prussia wavered and acted weakly, as under Frederick William II and III (at least in 1805-12) she came near to run The fate of Frederick William IV was even more pitiable for his plans were as diffuse as his decisions were halting Concentration of purpose on one practicable aim and swiftness of action at the favourable time, these have been the guiding principles of Prussia at her most successful times. It is necessary to recall these facts for many persons who do not know them, have formed curiously wrong judgments on Prussian

Bumarck, Same Secret Pages 11, 456

gibe Treitschke and Bernhardi are excused as freaks, ahen to the German gensus True, they are to the German genius in its best form, as typified by Goethe, Kant, Schiller But Impenal Germany is not now the land of Goethe, Kant, Schiller She is the creation of William I and II, of Roon Moltke, Bismarck and Krupp, and she takes after her creators A central State must. of course, be cautious Its policy cannot be swayed by sentumental considerations. But since 1870 the German frontier has been strong. It is extremely strong on the side of France and equally so on that of Austria There fore in the new order of things there is less excuss for a Machiavellian policy than there was in the days of Fraderick the Great Fortified, too, by the Triple Alliance with which Bismarck had buttressed her, she might readily have relaxed her military rigour But the restless activity of William II has impelled her on dangerous quests, which, as we have seen, involved acute friction with Russia, Great Britain and Japan, while alarming the United States and Portugal At the same time, too, he did nothing to relax the tension between Germany and France On the contrary, his rigorous policy in Alsace Lorraine made the friction worse

That was seen at the tune of the Zabern outrage, when, after trilling provocation, a neurotic young lieutenant drew his sword on a lame shoemaker. The Chancellor and Minister of War refused to censure him, and the protest of the Reichstag which at first passed a vote of censure. was entirely ignored The Military Court at Strasshurg quashed all legal proceedings, and it was seen that civil law and a formal protest of the Reichstag counted as nothing The army ruled the State That was clear in the early days of 1914

The excuse for all these proceedings was that Germany must be armed to the teeth in order to confront Russia and France, and that her policy may be explained as prompted by fear Let us examine this theory, not from the utterances of private individuals (for they count as nothing in Germany), but from the conduct of the Government, which slone is important in this connection

There are two infallible tests by which you can tell a fearful policy It seeks to propinate the most dan gerous of its enemies and it seeks to gain every possible ally Now, has Germany of late aought to propitiate Russia? No sign can he found of any such intention, since the Potsdam interview of November 1910. Then it seemed for a time that Tsar and Kaiser had come to a temporary accord But, so soon as the Eastern Question again hecama acute, Germany acted in direct opposition to Russia'a declared interests She auccessfully opposed Serbia and Montenegro in the Albanian dispute, and finally she helped Austria in those insidious efforts which wrecked the Balkan League, patched up an unsatisfactory peace, and set the Turk on his feet once more. In all this there was a direct defiance of Russia, and, what is more, the two Germanic Empires succeeded The years 1908, 1911 and 1913 are marked by three German successes, Bosnia, the Morocco-Congo exchange, and the Treaty of Bukharest1 Central Europe then gave the law to the

¹ Pan Germans pronounced the acquisition of the large and fertile dutriet from the French Cougo a defeat but this only shows the extent of their Moroccan designs.

159

Tuple Entente, which bowed before the dictates of Berlin In all this there is no sign of fear, rather of bound less confidence. This was seen by M. Jules Cambon, French ambassador at Berlin who reported to his Government on May 6, 1913. "These people do not fear war "they fully accept its possibility and they have taken their steps in consequence."

Equally significant was the treatment of Italy by the Germanic Empires It was notonous both in 1908 and in 1912-13 that Italy dishked their Balkan policy Yet, save in the matter of the Sanial, of Novi Bazar (1903), Italy had scant consideration at their hands. In truth, their policy seems to lay more stress on the friendship of the Sublime Porte than of the Cabinet of Rome Certain it is that neither Berlin nor Vienna swerved from their designs in order to retain the alliance of Italy That alliance was of a defensive nature, and was therefore forfested if war resulted from their aggressive designs, yet they persisted in those designs, with the result that they must have forescen, the loss of Italy's help All this, I repeat, savours not of fear, but of hlind confidence in their ability to carry out at all costs a preconceived policy, the hour for the execution of which had now sounded forth

Finally, take the supreme test for Prusnan policy, the disposition of her troops at the heginning of the war Did that imply dread of Russiar 7 on the contrary, Bernhardi, Frobenius and other officers have for some time past heen declaring that Germany is perfectly well able to wage war on both fronts at once. They had hult strategic railways, often four lines ahreast, which

¹ French Yellow Book (1914), p. 12.

whereby their rolling stock could quickly be adjusted to the different gange of some of the Russian lines. Of course, they would not repeat Napoleon's blunder of advancing far into Russia. but, if Austria offered vigorous help as she was certain to do after the murder of her Archduke, the German Powers might hope to converge on

Warsaw and capture it before the unwieldy Eastern Colossus had fully bestirred himself. The special circumstances of 1914, viz the strike in Russia, afforded special ground for hope that the Germans and Austrians might not only capture Warsaw, but push on finally to what is a good military position—the line of the Rivers Niemen and Bng There they might pause for the winter, baving weakened Russia by the occupation of Poland

and perhaps part of her Baltic provinces. But, far from throwing their chief weight on the side of Russia, as they would have done if they feared her, they sent their great masses westwards to Belgium and France. The supreme proof that they did not fear Russia is to he found in this fact. Austria, which has more reason than Germany to be apprehensive of Russia, sent a considerable force, along with heavy siege guns into

Belgium and Northern France. True, the Central Powers found out, when too late, that they had made a blunder -that Belgium was not to be walked over in a week,

and Paris entered within three weeks. The resistance in the west was more obstinate, the advance of the Russians quicker, than the German Staff had expected. But their miscalculation is a tribute to their excess of confidence and it suffices to explode the theory of fear which has been so confidently set forth. The German Staff summarced its programme thus. We shall smash France in three weeks then wheel about and deliver a knock-down blow to Rus.is before she has had time to complete her mobilization. Belgium will ofter only the resistance of sullenness. England will not come in at 'all.' That was the prospect held forth to encourage the leaders of German industry and it only shiphily exangerates what we can now see to have been the plan of campain. That plan was based not on fear not even on principles of ordinary prudence but rather on the feeling of supreme confidence expressed in the favourite national song.

Deutschland, Deutschland über alles, über alles in der Welt.

LECTURE VIII

THE RUPTURE

"Thre he so record wound her often extry Indonousque, wounds he extra service of the extra se

THUCKDIDES BK II ch &.

As we have seen Austra despatched to Serbia as sense of exacting demands on the very day on which it became known that the Buckingham Palace Conference on Irish Affairs was certain to fail. So soon as that news reached Berlin the chances became in the highest degree favourable to the Central Powers. The finances of France showed a defact of £32 000 000 and the Chambers had reluctantly assented to the loan of £52 000 000 deemed necessary for carrying through the Three 1 cars. Service The Russian railways were likely to be paralyzed by a wide spread strike and the United Kingdom was on the verge of a civil war. Thus by July 23 a state of things had come to pass far more favourable even than that which Bernbard, had thus described.

When a State is confronted by the material impossibility of aupporting any longer the warlike preparations which the power of its enemies has forced upon it when it is clear that the rival States must gradually acquire, from natural reasons, a lead that cannot be won back when there are indications of an offensive alliance of stronger enemies who only swart the favourable moment to strike—then the moral duty of the State towards its citizens is to begin the struggle while the prospects of success and the political circumstances are tolerably favourable.

Further Germany could not wait much longer The Junker party was resolved to get nd of the drastic succession duties recently outlined by the German Govern ment They were inevitable if the armed peace lasted, and the German governing class judged war to be pre ferable to ench a peace The Junkers were funous at the heavy financial burdens, with no territorial sequisations to show for them The French Minister at Munich in July 1913 declared that public opinion would welcome war—"as the colution of political and economic difficulties "which will only become worse!"

Moreover, Austria was eager to attack the Serbs Her Note of July 23 contained two demands which no independent State could second, wit to admit Austrian officials to take part in the trial of the Serbs accused of complicity in the murder at Serajevo, while other officials were to collaborate in the suppression of the anti-Austrian propaganda. The former of these demands Serbia rejected, the latter she promised to comply with so far as it agreed with the principles of international law, criminal procedure and neighbourly relations. To all the other demands she assented. To the two just named she could not assent without becoming a vassal State. In view of the exceptionally short interval of

¹ Bernhards, The Next War, p. 52. 2 French Yellow Book (1914) p. 13

48 hours allowed for a reply to far reaching and complex demands, Austria must have sought to provoke a war Such was the opinion of our ambassador at Vienna, Sir Maurice de Bunsen, who stated that "this country "has gone wild with joy at the prospect of war with "Serbia and its postponement or prevention would "undoubtedly be a great disappointment1" Whether Austria would have welcomed a general war is a wider question but Russia had repeatedly warned the Court of Vienna that any attack on Serbia must involve war with Russia2 Therefore, that Government precipitated the ensis with a full knowledge of the temble consequences that must ensue and the question now arises-Would it have acted thus if it had not received promises of powerful support? What was the influence of Germany in the develop-

ments of Hapsburg policy? Her Government has dis claimed all knowledge of the Anstrian demands on Serbia But the following facts seem to imply adequate if not exact knowledge on the part of some at least of her responsible Ministers (I) A German official Note approving Austria's demands was handed in at London by the German Ambassador on July 21 a fact scarcely possible unless the Cabinet of Berlin had previously known their tenour (2) The Italian Government, always on cool terms with Austria, had cognizance of them on July 23 If so, why had not the Government of Berlin, always closely associated with that of Vienna? (3) On July 23, the Bayanan Prime Minister stated that he knew the terms

Brush White Paper, Nos. 5, 39 41

^{*} Ibid., No. 139, Postian Orange Book, Nos. 4, 5 10 13-16 23

of that Note1 (4) Von Tschirsky, German Ambassador at Vienna, stated on July 26 that Germany "knew "very well what she was about in hacking up Austria "Hungary in this matter" (5) Sir Maurice de Bunsen had good reason for heheving that Tachirsky knew the terms of the Austrian Note and telegraphed them to Kaiser William2 These facts taken together, constitute a proof as complete as historical evidence generally admits There is also the curious fact, just revealed in the French Official Correspondence (Yellow Book), that von Jagow, German Secretary for Foreign Affairs, did not think it worth while to read the Serbian reply to Austria's demands, though on that reply depended peace or war in the South East3 Equally significant is it that, on the Kaiser's hurned return from his Baltic cruise to Berlin, Germany and Austria acted in unison On the 28th Germany rejected the British proposal for a Conference, and on that day Austria declared war on Serbia As to the Powers forming the Tuple Entente, they

were undoubtedly surprised by Austria's sudden action On July 23 the French President and the chief Ministers of the Republic were at Cronstands and entertained the Tsar and his suite on board their warship La France President Poincaré and the Tsar both made inendly speeches containing not a phrase that differed from the ordinary The Tsar referred to the France Russian alliance as a guarantee for peace which both nations desired to perpetuate At Paris a European war was far from the thoughts of the public The Calilaux Trial still reigned supreme, witness the fact that the issue of the

French Yellow Book (1914) p 28
 British White Paper, Nos 9, 32, 38, 95

French Yellow Book, p 69

Russia was intimidated by Austria's energy Across the English Channel public attention was

Figure of July 24 allotted two columns to the Cronstadt fête, thirty six columns to the Caillanx Trial, and two only to the Austrian Note to Serbia. The editorial comment ended with the declaration that the Great Powers would abstain from conflict, and it seemed that

concentrated almost entirely on the preparations for civil war in Ireland. But on July 20 S.r Edward Grev asked the German ambassador, Prince Lichnowsky, what step Austria was about to take regarding Serbia, and advised Germany to mree moderation on the Court of Vienna. The prance gave a dubious reply On July 22 von Jacow, Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs at Berlin, admitted to our ambassador, Sir Edward Goschen, that Austra was about to take action, and he claimed that it concerned no other Power whatever, and this,

too, in spite of the repeated warnings of Russia to the Hapsburg Court that its attack on Serbia must involve war with Russia. In the face of these repeated warnings Germany held to her original contention, that the quarrel concerned Austria and Serbia alone. By this course of action the Berlin Government practically gave Austria

carte blanche. From this rigid attitude little hope of success could be augured for S.r Edward Grev's proposals (July 24-26) of a Conference in which Great Britain and France, after deliberating with Germany and Italy, should endeavour to moderate the real of their respective Allies-Russia and Austria. Seeing that the war fever at Vienna was arousing angry feelings at Petrograd, such a solution of the difficulty was perhaps the only one practicable. France and Italy accepted it, while Russia expressed her approval Germany declined, for reasons which must be pronounced frivolous, in view of the extreme gravity of the situation. The coincidence of her refusal with the aggravation of the crisis by a declaration of war against Serbia has already been noticed.

An alternative to Sir Edward Grey's proposal of a

Conference was suggested concurrently at Petrograd on July 24, that is, three days before Austria declared war It was as follows. Sazonoff, Russian Minister for Foreign Affairs, and the French ambassador at Petrograd, suggested that Great Britain ought at once to join France and Russia, the three Powers taking np "a firm and "united attitude," as the only means of averting war2, The question has by this time often been discussed whether that was the only means of averting war. That explanation is plausible. But such a course of action was open to grave objections. Firstly, our amhassador, Sir George Buchanan, to whom this difficult question was put, had no anthorization to assent to it The Triple Entente did not bind us to joint action-so much is clear, for otherwise the question would not have been put. But, apart from that, Great Britain could not consistently adopt a threatening tone towards the Central Powers when on

British White Paper, Nos. 10 11, 36, 42, 43, 49, 71

³ Ibid No. 6, Russian Orange Book, No. 17 No. 23 shows that Russia sought to persuade Italy to mediate at Vienna in favour of peace. All documents yet published show Russia's desire for peace. No. 77 exis forth her case accumst Germany

With the facts stated above, compare the assertion of the German Chancellur, on December 2, 1914, that our Covernment could have averted war "if it had without ambiguity declared at Petrograd that "Great Britain would not allow a continental war to develop from the "Austro-Serb conflict"! that very day Sir Edward Grey had enggested a Conference with a view to a friendly solution of the difficulty. You may either conciliate or threaten, you cannot do hoth at once, and Sir Edward Grey, when the question was referred to him from Petrograd commended our amhassador's caution and continued to advocate the Conference. He knew, far better than his critics can know, that both Austria and Germany were in so irritable a mood as to he likely to take extreme measures if anything reaembling a menace were used. He therefore adhered to the conciliatory proposal, which Germany was to reject on the 28th. By so doing she put hereif in the wrong, while unprejudiced observers noted that Entish policy was not only pacific, but also calculated to allay the rising storm of passion.

Most important of all considerations was the influence which a menacing attitude would exert upon the Cabinet of Rome There was to be found the key of the diplomatic situation Relatively to the Central Powers, Italy held a position not unlike that of Great Britain with regard to the Triple Entente True, she was more closely attached, hnt her obligations were of a defensive nature If, however, we joined Russia and France and issued a threatening declaration to the Central Powers, the immediate result must have been to tighten the honds of the Triple Alliance Therefore conciliation was not merely the only consistent and morally justifiable course, it was also the prudent course. In truth those who now say that a sterner attitude should have been taken towards the Germanic Powers advocate what was, in the cir cumstances, a weaker course of action. They confuse diplomacy with war, where the offensive is generally the stronger alternative, whereas in diplomacy it is generally the weaker alternative. It was so in this case. Italy, noting that her Allies persistently adopted an aggressive tone, was perfectly justified in parting company with them On August 3 the Italian Government stated that, the action of the Central Powers baving been aggressive, the Triple Alliance lapsed and Italy would remain neutral1 That decision, I repeat, could not have been formed if we had joined France and Russia in a declaration to the Central Powers which could have been represented as a menace The nation therefore owes a deep debt of gratitude to Sir George Buchanan and Sir Edward Grey for their self restraint in declining a course of action which on the surface seemed attractive. If they had followed it, war would not have been averted, and we should now be fighting Italy A study of this question must yield cause for thankfulness that our foreign policy has not been directed by brilliant and self confident amateurs, who claim to possess an exceptional fund of common sense

Meanwhile, as we have seen, Kaiser William had returned in haste from his Baltie cruise, greatly to the regret of the German Foreign Office, which affected solicitude for the excitement likely to be produced by that step? The fact of its regret may be noted, the excuse may be disregarded. Late in July 28 (the day of Austria's declaration of war) Kaiser William telegraphed to the Tsar. After referring to the murders of King

British White Paper Nos. 49 64 92 152

³ The arguments arged in Germany as to the Kaiser knowing nothing of diplomatic developments during his cruise are clearly inapplicable to the age of wireless telegraphy

Alexander and his Queen in 1903, and to that of the Archduke Francis Ferdinand, he continued "donbtedly you will agree with me, that we two, you and

"I as well as all sovereigns, have a common interest in "insisting that all those morally responsible for this "terrible murder shall suffer deserved punishment" He therefore expressed the hope that the Tsar would not

he overhorne by the excitement on behalf of Serhia which was increasing in Russia The Tsar replied on the following day ' In this serious moment I ask you "urgently to help me A disgraceful war has been de-"clared on a weak nation. The indignation at this,

"which I fully share, is immense in Russia I foresec "that soon I can no longer withstand the pressure that is ' heing brought to hear upon me, and that I shall be

'forced to adopt measures which will lead to war In "order to prevent such a calamity as a European War, I "ask you in the name of our old friendship, to do all "that is possible for you to prevent your ally from going too far The Kaiser returned to the charge with two telegrams In the former he repeated his former argument and added 'it is quite possible for Russia to remain in "her rôle of a spectator towards the Austro-Serhian War,

"without dragging Europe into the most terrible war "that it has ever seen!" The ground on which the Kaiser based this charge was that on July 29 Russia had mobilized part of her army (viz in the military districts of Odessa, Kieff Moscow, and Kazan) as a sharp warning to Austria The Kaiser deprecated this mobilization

¹ German White Book, Annexes 29-23 a. For Serbia's appeal to Pussia for help see Russian Orange Book, No. 6. No. 56 shows that the Tair on July 23 telegraphed a reply in the affirmative.

(albeit only partial) obviously because it would interfere with the pre arranged plan of an Austrian incursion into Serbia, with which no outsider had any concern. To this scheme he adhered with the rigidity which forms a prominent feature of his character. As his study of Napoleonic pertinacity, we may pause to notice its manifestation in a physical sense. On the occasion of a religious service before the troops on parade, it was noticed that, while everyone else occasionally shifted the weight of the body from one leg to the other, the Kaiser remained absolutely inflexible during the whole of the hour. It was his manner of doing honour to the Hohenzollern Deity. Now, the will power which so prolonged a strain implies has heen exerted increasingly on foreign policy, all the more so hecause the present Chancellor is inexperienced in diplomatic affairs.

In its psychological aspect, then, the crisis may be stated this the fate of Europe depended on the ability of the Kaiser to realize the extreme peril of the course which he was following, that is, if he was, as he claimed, the friend of peace. If so, he completely misjudged the situation, mainly (it would seem) because he staked all on being able to convince the Tsar that all sovereigns had a common interest in assuring the chastisement of a nation of assassins. But here again he displayed another defect, excess of energy. He urged this plea with so much insistence that the Tsar must have discerned in it an appeal to his fears. Certainly, he rejected it most decisively, and he took his stand on what may be termed the national ground. As the father of his people he

¹ Lamprecht pp. 82 110

could not see a small Slav State dragooned by Austria Knowing the history of her efforts, from 1878 onwards, to secure hold of Serhia, he saw in the present appeals merely a repetition in acuter form, of the Germanic policy which had inflicted defeats on the Slav cause in 1908 and 1912 13 Twice he had howed before the Kaiser's "shining armour" He was resolved not to endure humiliation a third time and see Austria overrun the Balkans That she was aiming at the longed for goal, Salonica, was reported both at Rome and Constantinople At the latter place the Austrian ambassador bemoaned "the deplorable situation of Salonica under "Greek administration," and then epoke of the "assistance "on which an Austrian army could count from Mussulman "population discontented with Serbian rule1" While the Tsar was being amused by professional disquisitions on the duties of crowned heads, the Austrian eagle was

about to wing its flight to Salonica If there was any denger of the Tsar succumbing to the appeals from Potsdam, it vanished on receipt of the news as to secret and ewift preparations for war in Germany, which were proceeding on hoth fronts This was the more threatening, as the French President and Ministers did not reach France, after their voyage from Cronstadt, until July 27-28, up to which time no Minister was able to give definite orders. The absence of the Government and the general confusion in the adminis tration, presented an opportunity such as had never occur red since the year 1875 Then, as we saw in Lecture I, Russia and Great Britain declared that France must not he taken at a disadvantage, and, now again, as the

¹ British White Paper, Nos. 19 82.

situation developed, Russia saw the danger to her ally On July 31 she ordered a general mobilization. This led to instant ultimatiums from Berlin to Petrograd and Pans, requesting demobilization under pain of the commencement of hostilities.

The circumstances anidst which these imperious demands were sent deserve notice On July 31 Russia signified both to the Anstran and British Governments her desire to frame an amicable arrangement with the Court of Vienna, in accordance with the plan suggested by Sir Edward Grey That Court forthwith assented. and consequently there appeared a prospect of a peaceable settlement The attitude of Russia had throughout been conciliatory, and Austria now seemed about to respond in the same spint. Then it was that Germany intervened, allowing Russia only twelve bours in which to agree to a complete demobilization. In the words of Jules Cambon, French ambassador at Berlin,-"The "ultimatum of Germany, intervening just at the exact "time at which agreement appeared on the point of "being established between Vienna and Petrograd, is "significant of ber bellicose policy " Further, the incident, distinctly aggressive on her part, could be represented by her as implying general disarmament (though her own preparations were far advanced}-a plea which would for the time cajole her Social Democrats1 Germany, however, claims that Russia was arming fast before July 31, and without the Tsar's knowledge On this question it is impossible at present to acquire exact information

¹ French Tellow Book, pp. 5 13 15-17 41, 66-9 109 110, Russian Orange Book, pp. 48-57

Russia refused to accede to the German demand: France temporated, in the hope of gaining a day or two of respite But the rupture came about on August 3, with Russia on August 1¹

The storm centre now moved suddenly to Belgnum. Already, on July 29, the German Chancellor had made to Sir Edward Goschen his "infamous proposals," to the effect that, in the event of war, and provided that Great Britain maintained neutrality, Germany would take no mainland territory from France but limit her demands to French colonies. He further promised to respect the neutrality of Holland. As regards Belgium he said. "It "depended upon the action of France what operations "Germany might he forced to enter upon in Belgium, but, "when the war was over, Belgian integrity would he "respected if she had not sided against Germany's "Do last clause is to be noted, because by the custom of nations, Belgium is bound to upbold her neutrality if it is impugned.

This stipulation is, indeed, an essential condition of neutrality, for otherwise a neutral State hecomes a means whereby one State may attack another at a comparatively unguarded part of its frontier. The neglect to maintain neutrality had been the run of Poland Moreover, at the end of August, 1870, when threatened by the powerful armse of Germany and France, Belgum had maintained her neutrality, and Marshal McMahon's forces, hecause they respected that nentrality, hecame wedged into a false position at Sedan. Further, in 1812 and 1875 (as

British White Paper, Nos 99, 105, 134 See M. P. Price, Diplo matic History of the War (1914), pp 99—114 for military moves, etc. British White Paper, No. 85

wo have seen) statesmen who discussed the question of Belgum's neutrality agreed that sho would fulfil the dates which it imposed Early in 1852, Queen Victoria wrote to the King of the Belgians assuring him against the alleged designs of Napoleon III and stating—"Any attempt on Belgium would be course bells for us." In 1875 Bismarck admitted that Great Britain as one of the signatory Powers of the treaty of 1839 (constituting Belgium a neutral State under international guarantees) must defend Belgium if she were attacked. That was consonant with the declaration of Mr Gladstone in 1870, though he phrased it with less clearness than could be desired.

It is also well known that the German Staff discussed questions arising from the possible forcing of the Scheldt setuary (in Dutch waters) by a British expedition, which might seek to succour the Belgian army if driven into its great camp of refuge at Antwerp Those discussions presupposed that Great Britain would make the attempt. Further, the Dutch Government had mounted heavy guns at Thishing to command that estuary as if it feared some such action by the British Its action was deemed unfinendly both to Great British and to Belgium, especially as it neglected to fortify the Dutch German fronter

Consequently the multiary and naval situation, no less than the diplomatic engagements proclaimed the fact that Great Battain was bound in honour to protect Belmum if she were attacked and that both at Berlin and The Hague it was expected that she would in that case defend her by force of arms The Belgian Government also, on July 24, expressed the confident belief that Great

Letters of Queen Victoria, 11 p. 438.

Britain and the other signatory Powers would act in that manner For its part, it intended to uphold the neutrality of Belgium, "whatever the consequences" Preparatory measures of defence were also adopted to give effect to this appeal for the support of the Powers Belgium had every right to expect that her angeal would be respected because, of the four States which have been permanently neutralized by international law, viz Switzerland (1815), Belgium (1831, 1839), Luxemburg (1867), the [Belgian] Congo (1885), not one bas been attacked On the contrary, in the case of Belgium on every occasion on which ahe appealed to the treaty constituting her a neutral State, that treaty was respected even in less important matters! History will therefore record the verdict that. during 99 years, there has occurred no violation of the territory of an internationally neutralized State, and that Germany has been the first nation since Waterloo to commit such a violation To find a parallel, we must go back to the ages of barbansm

As regards the conduct of Great Britain at the ensis, Germans, from the Kaiser downwards, have affected so much aurpnse that a few words seem called for as to our action in times past when the independence of the Low Connties was threatened by a Great Power

I must almost apologize for the hackneyed nature of the facts I am about to name Since the reign of Edward I

¹ E. Desamps, Lo Antenials de la Belopee (1902), pp. 335, 532. Professor Westlake (Bernes of International Lous, 1901), states that neither the neutral State nor say of the signationes can amoul the obligations which the original compact imposes. See too, Descamps, PLEss neutral Tutre permonent (Paras and Branch, 1912), ch. 7, 6. On April 29 1914, von Jagow assured the Reichitag Committee that Belgian neutrity would be respected.

no English ruler, endowed with energy and patriotism, has allowed a Great Power to conquer or annex the Flemish and Dutch provinces Our first important naval hattle, that off Sluys (1337), was fought to keep the French out of Flanders. The names of Sir Philip Sidney, Cromwell, Matthorough, and Wellington further recall to us the numerous campaigns whereby Britons assured either the numerous campaigns whereby Britons assured either the nundependence of those provinces or at least, their govern ance by Austria on terms not unfavourable to them and productive of security to England. On the other hand, bostile Powers have from early times sought to possess those coasts whence an invasion of our shores can most readily be attempted.

To resume the following facts are clear and indis

putable (1) Belgian neutrality had never yet been violated, (2) apart from sinister plans in 1866 and 1875, the signatories to the fundamental pact of 1839 had always been prepared to fulfil their obligations to Belgium, (3) the defence of the Low Countries against aggression by any Great Power is the most prominent and persistent feature of British foreign pohey from the time of Edward I to that of George V The events leading to the many battles fought in the Netherlands, from Sluys to Waterloo, were manifestations of the same motive, which led us to protest against the construction of Dutch forts dominating the Scheldt estuary, while Holland did not defend her eastern frontier against Germany This guiding principle of British policy is, I repeat, so obvious so well known to every his torical student, that it cannot be unknown to statesmen and publicists in Germany Accordingly, we are justified in hranding as hypocritical the clamour which has there been raised against us for taking a step which honour and sound policy alike prescribed1 That German professors should take the lead in these outbursts of malice is not the least extraordinary incident anudst all the mad events of this annus mirabiles Further, that the German Chancellor, Bethmann-Hollweg, should ever have made to a British ambassador the cynical proposals of July 29 is

to be explained by his total inexperience in diplomstic affairs, for which Professor Lamprecht vouches2, As to the stones of the violation of Belman neutrality by British or French troops, or aviators, whereby German officials and journalists sought to excuse Germany's proceedings towards Belgium, they are sufficiently refuted, firstly, by the bewildering inconsistencies of the stones themselves3, and secondly, by the action of the Chancellor,

who, when those inconsistencies were patent even at Berlin. took refuge in the statement that necessity knows no law. and that it was absolutely essential for Germany to "hack "her way through," se to Pans Hers at least there was no pretence Bethmann Hollweg may at least claim the ment of having stated the usual Prussian procedure with the usual Prussian frankness. But the measure of his political intelligence may be grasped by the incoherent fury which he displayed towards Sir Edward Goschen at their final interview. A statesman who had the faintest

¹ The Chancellor s speech to the Reschetag on December 2 is a tassue of falsehoods as will be seen by the British, French, and Russian State Papers. How can be maintain that the British, unprovoked, suddenly attacked unsuspecting Germany, when on July 26, she suddenly re called her fleet from Norway, a step which fed to our countermanding, on July 27 the demobilization of the British fleet? The Königen Lune began mine laying off Felizatowe within fourteen hours of the declaration of war

Lamprecht, p 110

a e g Belgian Grey Book, Nos. 21, 22

consciousness of the hlunders which had brought Great Britain into the field, would have sought to retrieve those blunders and render an accommodation possible at an early date

Perhaps the explanation of this inconceivable folly may he found in the priority accorded to military considerations at that time It is probable that during the Kaiser's cruise, those considerations triumphed over the dictates of complaisance towards on ally (Italy) and neutrals, which diplomacy enjoins The international situation was sufficiently complex to call for prudence and selfrestraint But e decision in favour of rapid and aggressive action at all costs was evidently formed by the close of July The Kaiser on his return threw in his lot with the forward party end used his influence to cajole Russia while his western army dealt e smashing blow at Peris The Meuse Valley via Namur had long been approved by soldiers as the quickest and easiest line of advance to the French capital. The 16 inch howitzers which Krupp hed kept secret were with reason expected to demolish all fortifications except the very few of the most modern type If, therefore, Belgium resisted, she would easily he trampled dnwn, and the estimate of three weeks for the victory over France was not extravagant in view of the complete equipment and vast numbers of the German forces of the west Everything had been provided-maps of Belginm for the soldiery, concrete foundations outside the Belgian fortresses, while Krupp had withheld from Antwerp some of the heavy guns long before ordered for the completion of its defences On the River Scheldt shove Antwerp had heen erected a large and solidly huilt German factory, which proved at

the crisis to be furnished with abundance of heavy timber and other appliances that enabled the invaders rapidly to cross the never and thus harass the retreating Belgian and British forces. Other proofs might be cited as to the careful preparations for invading the whole of Belgium, not merely the Meuse Valley as was at first supposed. The project was, not merely to atrike at Pans, but to acquire Antwerp, Ostend, and the northern ports of France.

This fact, which is now obvious enough, is referred to here hecause it throws light on the procedure of Bethmann-Hollweg on July 29 in the interview stready described. He then offered to Sir Edward Goschen that Belgium should recover her independence if she had not opposed the Germans during their march. That a brave people should not at some points oppose the invaders, if the due amount of rigour he adopted, is inconceivable, but, even supposing that the Belgians had not resisted, their doom was sealed, for the custom of nations does not recognize a neutrality which its possessor does not uphold Therefore the German Chancellor's proposals could have but one end in view, ameriation.

Ilis proposals were assessed by the British Government at their due value, and on July 30 were decisively rejected So, too, was his proposal of a general neutrality agreement between the two Powers, and the revelations made by Mr Asquith on October 2 as to the manner in which Germany had previously used that expedient so as to tie our hands in face of all eventualities, sufficiently explain the motive underlying the not dissimilar proposal of

¹ Fagaro Nov 7 1914.

July 29 It was evidently a bast whorewith to hook us while Germany worked her will on Belgium1

Sir Edward Grey now requested both the I rench and German Governments to give assurances of their respect for Belgian neutrality The reply of Franco was so frank and complete as to refute the stones of I rench aggression That of Germany to both the Belgian and British ambassadors was unsatisfactory Gn July 31 von lagow the Poreign Secretary declared to the former that Germany had no intention whatever of violating Belgian territory, but be could not make a declaration to that effect without prejudicing the chances of Germany in the event of war ensuings (It was the day of her ultimatum to France) To Sir I'duard Goschen he replied thus He thought that any reply the German Ministers might give "could not but disclose a certain amount ni "their plan of campaign, in the event of war ensuing, "and he was therefore very doubtful whether they would "return any answer at all" His surmise was correct. True, on August 1, Prince Lichnowsky made to Sir Ldward Grey certain offers, to which some importance has been attached in certain quarters, but, as they contradicted the declarations of his chiefs at Berlin, they must be dismissed as possessing no official character. The divergence between his statements and that of his Government had previously been noticeable. Gn August 1 it was most marl ed

During that interview with Prince Lichnowsky, Sir I'dward Grey stated that the British Government

British White Paper Nos. 85, 101
 Belgian Grey Pook Nos. 9 11-13
 British White Paper, Nos. 43 46 122, 123

consonant with his previous declarations, that the Austro-Serh dispute in no way concerned us1 It is also worthy of notice that, on August 2, Sir Edward Grey, in giving to the French envoy, M Cambon, the promise of our naval support in case the French fleet were attacked by that

of Germany, was careful to add that that offer was subject to the assent of the British Parliament The fact proves that the Entente with France, which is helieved to refer almost entirely to naval affairs, does not and cannot overnde the authority of Parhaments Matters now came awiftly to the chmax. On August 2

Germany sent her troops into Luxemburg, but, as sha

represented that act as prompted solely by administrative reasons so as to prevent the French making use of the railway through the Grand Duchy, Great Britsin did not treat that infraction of neutrality as constituting a casus bells Further, at did not vitally affect the safety of France, as was the case when Germany proceeded to violate Belgian neutrality? On Angust 3 she demanded permission from Belginm to despatch troops into that land Her pretext now was that this proceeding would help Belgium to prevent the violation of her territory But, as hy this time France had given an explicit promise to respect Belgian neutrality (a fact which was already perfectly well known at Berhn4), the Government of Brussels at once detected the hollowness of the pretext:

British White Paper, Nos. 87, 116, 119 4 Ibid No 143

³ As Prussa in 1867 withdrew her troops from Luxemburg (where she had them succe 1818) she had some slight claim to reoccupy it in time of crisis. (See Descamps, p. 73)

British White Paper, No. 122

and it is in the light of this monstrous demand on Belgium that we must view the eager appeal of the German Chan cellor to Great Britain on August 1th to remain neutral while German troops overran Belguin 1 His despatch was preceded by one from King Albert containing a manly appeal for the support which Great Britain had always accorded especially in 1870 during the I ranco Prussian War British support was of course forthcoming but Sir I dward Grey made one more effort to consince the German Government of the senousness of the step which it was then contemplating. On hearing that German troops had entered Belgium he despatched an ultimatum, demanding that Germany should respect the neutrality of Belgium, on pain of encountering the heatility of the United Kingdom As the Court at Berlin refused to draw back, war ensued at the end of August 4

It has been suggested that he should have declared more emphatically at an earlier stage what our conduct would be in such a cross. To this it will suffice to reply that any declaration on his part which assumed that Germany was about to violate Belgian soil, while she was hotly disclaiming any such intention would have aggravated the crisis, instead of averting it. He made it as clear as diplomatic procedure admits that Great Britain tegarded the Belgian Question as one of extreme gravity, on which we must at the worst, take decisive action Moreover, the fact that the British fleet was kept to gother, instead of dispersing for the maneousers, was a circumstance calculated to make more impression on the statesmen at Berlin than any number of diplomatic representations. They therefore have no ground for

British White I aper No 15"

whole British diplomacy may be pronounced to have steered steadily a middle course such as ought to have averted a collision If it failed it was because the men at Berhn were resolved at all costs to carry out their plans as regards Belgium Again the final verdict on British versus German diplomacy came from Rome The Italian statesmen were far better undges of the ments of the dispute than any outsiders can be and their action tells decisively in favour of the conduct of the United Kingdom1 In view of the facts set forth in this and former lectures

and still more in those of the French Belman and Russian despatches which I have been unable to compress into these lectures no reasonable person can entertain any doubt as to the aggressive designs of Germany She intended first to crush France then to repel the Russian forces and wage defensive campaigns in East Poland which would wear out Russia. The Kauser a telegrams to the Tsar may bave been designed to postpone the Russian mobilization which he expected in any case to he slow owing to the strike That he desired to avert war with Russia is inconcertable in view of his action in sending the imperious ultimatum of July 31 Russia was bound by honour to succour France who was known to be in deadly danger2 She was equally bound to try to save Serbia from the Austrian forces then at her gates Therefore the Kaiser must have counted either on disgracing Russia in the eyes of the world or on compelling her to

¹ See speech of Italian Premier in Times of Dec. 5 1914 Brit sh White Paper Nos. 22 10 French Lellow Book, Nos. 106 114 118 127

fight at a time equally favourable to himself and unfavourable to the Tsar

His conduct towards Great Britain was somewhat of the same nature If his Chancellor a proposals of July 29 had been accepted, Great Britain would forthwith have felt the paralysing sense of shame which is more deadly than fifty defeats Disgraced in the eyes of the world, stricken in all probability with civil war, she would easily have succumbed in the final round of the world conflict. For it is inconceivable, having regard to the Kaiser's lengthy and lahonous intrigues in Turkey1 and South Africa that he was not seeking for an opportunity to overthrow his chief antagonist The British Empire met him everywhere, and his restless spirit, like that of his far greater exemplar, could not brook a state of things in which the British race occupied the best lands of the world From the standpoint of a German Chauvinist the conflict hetween the two Empires was inevitable, hut the eager precipitation of Germany in clutching at Antwerp and Ostend, disclosed her ulterior designs and brought into the field the Island Power which, up to the end of July, steadily refused to believe in the imminence of war

If all Germans are Chauvinists then the war was unavoidable, and it is now known from the Secret Report of the German Government that in the spring and summer of 1914 official influence was used in order to excite public opinion to the state of exalitation in which war was acclaimed as ushering in the hour of Germany's greatness

If, I say, this is the permanent conviction of the German

Note the naive admission of the German Chancellor in his speech of December 2 that the Turks were obliged to join in the war.

186

people, then war will possibly he the occupation of the human race during as long a period as occurred under the haneful sway of Napoleon I But surely defeat must hring calmer thoughts. The

Germans must cease to plan a Weltpoluik that endangers the existence of Great Britain, France, Belgium, Holland, Russia, the Balkan States, and Japan, prohably, also, of

the United States In Talleyrand's famous phrase they must cease to he world conquerors and hecome "good

"Europeans" They will, before long, realize that the regume of force, which three triumphant wars have taught them to acclaim as the chief factor in German progress, must lead to disaster. In the nature of things, force hegets force, and the vaster and more aggressive the schemes championed by their War Lord, the more curtain is it that other nations will unite to resist them to the very death That is the outstanding lesson of the events of a century ago in which Prussia bore her part nobly against

achemes of universal demination. The songs of Arndt and the exploits of Blucher, to which she now appeals on hehalf of her war of conquest, ought to recall her to the ideals of national independence and of resistance to an aggressive imperialism, for which a century ago she strove shoulder to shoulder with the British and Russian soldiery Of late she has been maddened by the lust of conquest which

brought rum to Napoleonic France Let her hark back from Treitschke to Niebuhr, from Nietzsche to Fichte, from

"Deutschland, Deutschland, über alles, über alles in der Welt,"

to Die Wacht am Rhein

In that happier day, which is surely ahead after these horrors are past, Germany will, it is to he hoped, discover that international law, on which she has insanely trampled may prove to be her safest support For when the din of war dies down we shall realize that behind the lust of conquest there was an elemental force impelling the German people forward. Their population is ever increasing and they must have more elbow room in some of the sparsely inhabited lands. On this occasion they have sought the disastrously wrong method of war Just as Napoleon the Great mercilessly exploited the nascent strength of French democracy so, too his imi tator has now made use of the natural desire of his people for expansion to hing about conflicts of even wider

extent and greater fury. In both cases the methods employed were disastrous hut we must recognize the naturalness of the impelling force hehind both Emperors A century ago there was no Supreme Court of Appeal as to the vital interests of nations To-day there is such a Court, the Hague Tribunal The wiver and hetter course for Germany would have been to seek to enlarge its powers so as to include the consideration of her important vital problem and the adoption of some scheme which promised a peaceful solution In the course of the reaction in favour of inter national law, to which its insane violation must lead the Hague Tribunal will surely acquire an added dignity, a wider scope, and surer guarantees, in the discharge of its beneficent functions The task will, doubtless, prove to be difficult, and cynics will point to the Holy Alliance

of the monarchs sa a warning example But though three or four monarchs failed ninety years ago, may not the collective wisdom of all the nations now succeed? For my part I cannot believe that the ingenuity of the

human race, which has lately gone so largely towards perfecting the means of slaughter, must always fail in providing a remedy for slaughter The enlarged and strengthened Areopagus of the nations must and will discuss such questions as the excessive pressure of population in one State, and it will seek to direct the surplus to waste or ill cultivated lands In that more intelligent and peaceful future Germans will not need to "hack their way through" The fiat of mankind will, I hope, go forth that they shall acquire, if need be, parts of Asia Minor, Mesopotamia, and South Brazil America will realize that the world cannot for ever bow down to the Monroe Doctrine, especially as the United States have become a colonising Power, but that parts of South America may safely be thrown open to systematic colonisation by a nation like Germany Above all, the Council of the Nations will decide that an effete rule like that of the Turks must give way before that of more progressive peoples If this is the outcome of the present swful

Norz On December 5, 1914 the Italian Premer, Signor Gioliti, declared that Austria, on August 9, 1913, announced privately to Germany and Italy her intentions of proceeding against Serbia Italy refused to co-operate It refear, then, that Austria's coup of July 23, 1914 had long been planned, and that the murder of the Architics afforded the wettert

conflict, it will not have been waged wholly in vain

									_
Į	e1	e	e			•	0	-	~
AND FRANCE of the World)	1911-13	다 다 나	+		i	69	2	Ī	-
		ゴ	15		İ	۰ ا	្ត		-
	1010-11		e4			•	æ	e1	-
		3	-	_		C1	23	•	-
		4	-			1 10	12	0	i ~
75 24		Edreckrus	61			0	-		0
Sh	2	3	*		1	e1	23	į	-
ng ng	ž	æ	- m		İ	-	12	i	į m
B		-4	•		1	۰	-	i	100
Suippulling Progranders of England, Generary, and France mission of Mr Prederick Jane, from his Fighing Ships of the World)	1908-9 1909-10	0		i —		61	2	i	
		ᡤ	61			-	18 13	i	i
	1907 8	24	•	7	i	•	10		i
				•		e -	<u> </u>	•	İ
		ช_ ผ่	ຕ —	0 0		-	1 20	일	i
	1906-7	F4	•	es .	-	j o	∞	•	i
		E. O. F	23	•	i -	61	12	•	i
		ដ	89	•	۰ ا	•	••	1 23	i
red		1	•	i	i 	i •		•	i
T 24	1005-0	0	03	i	i -	i m		•	İ
M.	1 4	F E G	4		io	j •	۰	23	İ
APPENDIX I SHPETILENG PROGRASHES OF ENGLASD, GERMANY, AND FRANCI (compiled by permission of Mr Frederick Jane, from his Fighing Ships of the World)	1004-5	<u> 54</u>	۰ ا	۰	•	İ	İ	i	İ
		0	۰	-	i -	ĺ	Ì	i	i
		g 0	Ot .	i -	ļ m	i	i	i	İ
	1003	24		-	i	1	I	İ	i
		8		l	-	i	İ	i	
		ద	I	1 40	į 🕶	i	i	į i	İ
	Year	Country	Dreadhoughts and Super Dreadhoughts	Fre-Dread noughts	Armoured	Protected Crusers and Scouts	Destroyers	Torpedo Boats	

APPENDIX II

GERMAN PLANS IN SOUTH-WEST AFRICA

(Extracts reprinted by permission from the London Weekly Journal,
"South Africa")

(By the Special Commissioner of the Transport Chronicle, in the autumn of 1912)

No 6

It is common knowledge amongst all Germans on the spot that Bimarck's aim and desire was to effect a footing in South Africa—e the Transval, even if at the rule of insult to the Boer Government in the days long gone by Baulked, however, by the fact of the Bechuanaland annexation, the scheme to construct a strategical rallway from the Swkop wid Vindhock to Johanneshing failed. So did a further scheme by which "a few regiments of Prussian soliders could be lauded at Delagoa Bay to force a passage into the Transvall' (vide a Transvall Secret Service document). The amount of ammuntion near Angra Pequena in 1853 gave rise to grave suspicion at the Foreign Office in Downing Steets, for the country had at the Foreign Office in Downing Steets, for the country had witched by Britath officers after the quisting of Palgravier witched by Britath officers after the quisting of Palgravier.

No 7

There are ten thousand trained German soldiers in German South West Afrea Arms, ammunition, military supplies, and stores to last an army of 10,000 men, fully equipped, for aix years, are now being rushed into the country Frest thousand trained soldiers, with military equipment and stores for two years, are now concentrated within 150 miles of the Union border German official statistics show that there are

ouly 8000 native males above the age of 15 in the whole southern portion of the country, and nearly all north of the area where the troops are concentrated These natives possess area where the troops are concentrated. These natives possess no rifles and two-thirds are in military camps under constant police supervision. There are about 20,000 adult native males in the northern portion of the country. The Germans seert that they are afraid of ontheraks among these natives. of the north It would take two days at the most to bring a strong German force to the Union frontier It would take 14 days to bring a similar force from where they are concentrated to this "dangerons" area. The force concentrated near the Union border is therefore not intended for such native disturbances What is it there for?

Recently several inspired German papers have demanded an increase in the South West African naval squadron and garrison. At present the number of men serving with the regular forces in German South West Africa is 2300. But we must not forget that nearly 2000 German men enter the country annually, of whom a large number are officials. Every one of these is a trained soldier Recently there has been a particularly keen official search through the country for all German subjects fit for instant military service. In fact, unusual activity prevails Many young fellows are trying to get out of hability for service by escaping to the Cape

The white population of German South West Africa in

January, 1910, according to official statistics, was 11,791, of these 8960 are males, an increase of males of 2996 as compared with the year previous. There has been an equally great increase since. The numbers given include the military. About 10 000 men can now take the field, and provision is made for 10,000 in guns, ammunition, supplies, and provisions

now being stored in the country A glance at the bills of lading for 1910 shows that to every white man, woman, and child provisions equal to five and three-quarter tons are unported into the country. These hills of lading are guarded almost sacredly, and access to them is only possible by scheming and bribing the officials in charge of them Why Because the military supplies are not being imported by the civil population. This is significant, and must be borne in mind when speaking of military supplies

firearms but nothing is ever found

At the present moment a six years' supply of provisions and other stores is stored at a point north of Aus, 160 miles from the coast, 400 miles travelling from Raman's Dnit, on the Union frontier. The idea is that should a fee land at Ludentz Bay the population could be brought up within a day, some 120 miles of railway blown up, the condensers destroyed, thus leaving the enemy a long time without water in the

desert sands around Angra Pequena Now German officers and civilians, when questioned, tell one with an ominons smile, that the concentrating of troops, etc., enormous snuplies in arms and ammunition are directed against the Ovambos If that is so then why are they distant over 1000 English miles from Os amboland proper, as the crow flies! Placed, in fact, at the extreme opposite corner to the scene of the alleged narest As a matter of fact, on visiting the farthest point in southern Ovamboland where the anthon ties would allow me to go, I found that the Ovamhos are by no means a warlike people All this talk of trouble with the Ovamhos is the merest moonshine Again, not a single black man is allowed the retention of firearms of any kind All these natives are absolutely unarmed Police activity is by no means slack every effort being made to locate any hidden

A N CO I spoke to declared that a portion of the Ovambos at the extreme northerly part of Amboland hitherto a mere protectorate whose boundary to this day is undefined, was inhabited by a chief who took a large number of rifles from the Portuguese during the skirmishes in Southern Angola, prior to the Herero trouble with the Germans But on making official inquiries upon my return to Windhoek later, no one could verify the report If the Orambos were really the cause of all this arming to the teeth on the part of the Germans, how is it that the Portuguese trading stations south of the Kunene River are not molested? To-day Portuguese traders may be seen peacefully at work, single handed, in what is called German territory, and conquering the country by peaceable means I have had several conversations with both Ovambo leaders and police patrols whilst at Grootfontein North during September of last year. There was nothing which led me to believe that trouble of any sort was hrewing

No 8

Windheel is the capital of German South West Africa, and one would have thought that there—and not right away down south near the British border—the military centre with amplies would be infinitely greater and on a larger scale Especially should this he the case when one remembers that it less some 400 English miles near the 'dreaded' Oramboland Frincipally from my own observations conducted on the spot and from information supplied from a trustworthy official source and the ready assistance afforded me by my friend, I found that at the time of my visit a few months since, smiths, fairrers, panietrs, carpenters, and saddlers had more than their hands full in coping with the amount of work thrust on them, saddlers and harnessmakers were, in fact, working overtime at night to satisfy the officers from the various depois mentioned in the last article, and to supply their wastic, and

When completed a great network of railways for strategoral purposes leading out to the Unnon border will be available To-day mails, say from Lüdentz Bay to Windhoel, are curried by steamer only, a most irregular sternie. Telegraphic com mumeation, of course, is long established, and many more new hranch lines are under construction, under this head The railway is constructed throughout on the Union pattern, or what is still called the Cape gauge, except the Otavi line, which is narrow gauge, and a small section between Swalopmund and Karabib, half way to Windhoel, all of which is about to he altered to Cape gauge. Work aiready has been commenced from the Windhoel, and of the Germans hope one day to link up with the South African railways from Kali fonten South raw Wamibad, to a point at the border presum ably Thus their troops could be hurned, on the completion of the railways now building, a thousand miles by rail from the north through to the south to the Union border in the space of a few days.

No 9

Let me quote a passage which appeared in the columns of the London Magaine of March, 1910, signed by "Anglo-German" The writer says, inter alia "During a recent stay in Germany, I was introduced, by a man whom I knew to be

R. T..

194

one of the chief functionanes of the institute known as the 'Commerce Defence League,' to a friend of his who had just returned from German South-West Africa On a subsequent meeting I entered into conversation with this gentleman, and made some inquiries concerning the country He said little headway was made, and little was looked for Men and money were being freely expended, without present return. The only good harbour was in the hands of the British (Walfisch Bayl as were all the islands on the coast

"Why, then," I asked, "do the Germans persist in their

occupation of the country?" He answered trankly, smiling craftly "We Germans look far ahead, my friends. We foresee another debácle in Sonth Africa, and we are on the spot Thanks to the pioneers of our League, our plans are all matured The League finance the acheme, and the Government supplies the military forces Walfisch Bay will before long be German territory, by cession -or otherwise (1), but in the meantime British free trade opposes no obstacles to us, and we can pursue our purpose namolested "

"What is that purpose!"

"Surely you are not so blind as to need enlightenment?" was his reply "Germany has long since regarded South Africa as a future possession of her own When the inevitable happens and Great Britain finds her hands full elsewhere, we are ready to atrike the moment the signal is given, and the Cape, Bechuansland, Rhodesia-all the frontier States-will fall like ripe apples into our grasp "

I might here state that the Germans are ant to count the unhatched chickens flushed with the success of their intrigues Frequently I have heard it stated, whilst in the country, even from Mannes, that one day the German ensure would "fly on the Lion's Head," and that in the event of trouble between England and Germany the Boers would side with the invading forces into the Umon of South Africa

IXDEX

125.

Virgina Challante 21	100
Albania 119, 124, 131, 132	8
Albert, King 183	Balt
Alexander of Battenberg 20	Pal
Alexander, King 121, 136, 169	Rall
Alexander II 6	1
Alexander 111 104	Bare
Alexandretta 58	1
Algeria 69	Rass
Algreers 77, 75, 125	Pen
Algiers 144	Beb
Alexe Lorraine 5, 47, Lecture V.	Beel
92, 157	Bell
America 41, 188	Bek
Ampthill (Lord), see Eussell, Odo	Bek
Anatolia 88	•
Angra Pequeña 13, 14	i
Antwerp 49, 64, 84, 175, 179, 180,	·
183	Del
Arabi Pasha 11	Beo
Argentina 55	Ber
Argyll (Duke of) 12	Deal
Armenia 121, 122	
Arndt 186	
Attitute 150	
Arthur (Port) 52, 123	
Aug Minor 82, 84, 88, 122, 126,	
132, 188	
Asquith (Mr) 190	Ber
Athens 101, 133	_
Australia 143	Bess

Abdul Hamed 11, 83, 86, 99, 119,

Achrenthal (Baron von)

Afrikanistan 12, 77, 80, 156 Agedir 69, 73, 80, 81, 140, 149

127, 130 Adnatio 121 Æctan 118

138

```
Austra., er also Cratral Powers

10, 11, 74, 75, 85, 97, 105, 115,

119, 119, 120, 121, 123, 124,

125, 127, 129, 129, 130, 131,

132, 133, 134, 133, 130, 137,

147, 152, 160, 162, 153, 164,

165, 164, 163, 172, 173, 177

Pachid Lecture U, E.S., 83, 84,

85, 87, 88, 80, 121, 122

Balto (Count) 118
```

Ragdod Lecture IV, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 121, 122 Balto (Count) 114 Baltour (Arthur) 85 Balkan Peninsula 85, 114, 118, 121, 130

Barelay (Str T.) 100 s, 111, 112, 113 s Bases 84 Bescensfeld (Lord) 78 Bebb (Herr) 73 Bechoandand 16 Bellost 93, 94, 95

Belgnan Congo 176 Belgnam 5, 8, 23, 24, 91, 134, 161, 166, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 186 Belgrado 133

Beowalf 21 Berlin 4 a. 5, 8, 13, 13, 26, 57, 58, 73, 76, 77, 78, 80, 88, 87, 90, 98, 99, 102, 111, 113, 114, 119, 121, 123, 130, 132, 133, 134, 141, 143, 144, 151, 153, 157, 159, 162, 164, 163, 163, 173, 173, 173, 181, 183, 184

Bernhardt (F. von) 39, 63, 114, 137, 145, 152, 157, 159, 162 Bessarsbia 133 196 Betham Edwards 100 m Bethmann Hollweg (Chancellor) 178 180 B eberstein (Baron Marschall von)

85 127 B smarck 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 20 21 25 26 27 40 44 50 66 87 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 101 102 103 m 104 106 110 130 131 153

155 156 157 175 Bloemfontem 50 Blowstz (H. S de) 8 98 m Blucker 3 21 186 Blumenthal (Count von) 93 m Boer Republ 12 13 15 16 17 71 Bonn University 33

Bordeaux 94 Bosma-Herzegovina 85 115 119 124 129 158 Bosphorns 84 Boths (General) 60

Boulanger (General) 100 Bourgeo s (M) 58 Brazil (South) 54 55 75 188 Bremen 12 13 Brittany 93 116 Broglie 4 s Brussels 148 182

Buchanan (Sr George) 167 169 Bucher 11 12 17 Bucheron (Dr) 37 Bug 166 Bukharest 133 158 Bulgaria 116 120 12° 120 131 132 135 Bulow (Prince) 59 72 128 n Bundy (Mr) 59 Bunsen (Sir M. de) 164 165

Burgers (President) 12 Busch 11 26 50 93 103 Calllaux (Mme) 140 165 166 Calmet (Dom) 110 n

Cambon (Jules) 159 173 187 Cameroons 17 75 Canada 143 Cape Colony 14 68 Cape Town 17 143 Carlsbad 127

Carlyle (Thomas) 3 154

Charlemagne 103 Charles XII (of Sweden) 44 139 China 52 54 100 Churchill (Winston) 148 Class (He r) 80 n Clausewitz Clémenceau 100 Colmar 108

Carthage 142

Catherine II 117

167 168 169

Chamberlain (J) 19 58

Cansel 33

Сансака 82

Ceuts 60

Cassavetti (Signor) 120 m

Central Powers, see also Germany

and Austria 3 50 134, 160 162

Cologue 77 Congo Free State 52, 147 Constantinople 85 89 90 98 116 117 121 128 127 133 172 Crisp 59 98 n 131 n Cromwell (Ohver) 177 Cronstadt 185 166 172 Crown Prace 6 39 Cube 51 Cyprus 121 Dalny 123 Damasens 88

Daneff 132 Dan ell (Herr) 64 Danube 118 119 Dauph né 93 Davin (Commandant) 147 Déb dour (Mons) 120 n Delagoa Bay 13 15 57 Delarey (General) 60 Delcassé (Mons.) 60 70 71 77 Demokus (Mons.) 108

Denmark 3 Derby (Lord) 7 Descamps (F) 176 n Deschanel (Mons.) 71 Dicey (Edward) 20 Dieb tech 117 Darrach 66 Böbentz 3° Drs s, Queen 136 170

Durham (M m Edith) 123 130

East Africa 17 Edward 1 176, 177 Edward VII 23, 61, 62, 70, 80, 86, 112, 113, 120, 141 Egypt 11, 14, 33, 41, 70, 82, 88, 90, 99, 112, 121, 126, 134, 144

Elot (Sir C) 126 m Elkind (L) 30, 83 m, 104 m Ems (River) 65 England are Great Entain

England, see Great Britain Esthonia 64 Fashoda 69, 71

Ferbinand of Bulgaria 129, 132 Ferry (Mona) 99 Fez 70, 80 Fez 70, 80 Fisher 186 Fitzpatrick 56 Fitzpatrick 57 Flunders 177 Flunding 175 France 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 23, 43, 80, 60, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 80, 80, 81, 81, 83, 67, 58, 69, 101, 163,

91, 93, 96, 97, 93, 99, 101, 103, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 121, 123, 127, 130, 131, 139, 140, 141, 144, 147, 157, 158, 169, 172, 174, 179, 181, 182, 184, 187, 188, 169, 172, 174, 179, 181, 182, 184

Francis Joseph 124, 127, 137
Frankfurt 12, 40
Frankfurt on the Oder 104

Frederick the Great 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 96, 104, 153, 155, 157
Frederick William II 102
Frederick William II 22, 153,

156 Frederick William III 29, 153, 156

Frederick William IV 21, 23 Frederick Charles (Prince) 104 Frederick (Empress) 25 French Congo 131 Frere (Sir Bartle) 12 n, 13 Freyemet (Mons. de) 93, 99 Frobenius 142, 159

Gambetta 69 Gambia 68 Gardiner (Dr S R.) 2 Gavard (C.) 7 Geffeken (Prof.) 5 George V 177

German East Africa 17, 51 German New Gounea 17 German South West Africa 15, 16,

German South West Africk 15, 10, 51 Appendix II
Germany, etc also Central Powers
Lecture III, 6, 10, 11, 13, 75, 77, 78, 80, 81, 83, 86, 85, 80, 92, 96, 97, 100, 103, 106, 107, 100, 111, 112, 113, 123, 126, 127, 129, 121, 122, 140, 141

ac, vo, vi, 100, 103, 100, 107, 100, 101, 100, 111, 112, 113, 123, 126, 127, 120, 131, 133, 140, 141, 144, 145, 146, 147, 143, 150, 153, 155, 156, 157, 159, 163, 165, 166, 167, 168, 173, 174, 176, 177, 178, 181, 184, 187

Gladstone (W E) 12, 15, 19, 185, 175
Gneisenau 21
Goethe 187
Coltz (General von dez) 83

Goltz (General von der) 83 Goltz (General von der) 125 Goltzchowch (Count) 125 Gontant Buron (de) 4 n Gortschahoff (Prince) 6 Goeschen (Sir Edward) 8, 166 174, 178, 180, 181

Grantille (Lord) 14, 18, 19, 51 Great Britain 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 50, 59, 68, 69, 72, 74, 75, 76, 79, 81, 82, 83, 88, 100, 112, 113, 114, 121, 123, 125, 127, 142, 144, 145, 137, 161, 162, 165, 167, 168, 172,

Great Elector, The 21 Grey (Sir Edward) 79, 86, 113, 166, 167, 168, 173, 181, 182, 183

Gumea Coast 17, 68

Hague Conference 153, 175, 187 Hamburg 12, 13 Happburgs 118, 120, 146, 164,

Harden (ML) 105 s Hardenge (Sir Charles) 79

Haytı 51 13—3

Headlam (J W) 98 m Lamprocht (Prof.) 32, 33, 36, 38, Hedjaz 121 171, 178 Lansdowne (Lord) 70, 86, 112 Hegel 46

INDEX

Laurent (Mona.) 113 n

Lemastre (Jules) 113 Leroy (Mons.) 103 m, 108 m,

Leudet (Mons.) 33 n. 34, 37 n

Lachnowsky (Prince) 106, 181

Louis XIV and XV 38, 47, 79, 92 Lowe (Mr) 92 n

Macedonia 119, 120, 121, 122, 123,

124, 125, 126, 131, 132, 135

Lüdentz (Herr) 13, 14, 15 Luxemburg 65, 176, 182

Maas (Herr) 108 Macaulay (T. B.) 22

McMahon (Mambal) 174

Madagascar 70, 100, 112 Madrid 70

Manchara 77, 114, 125

Marlborough (Duke of) 177

Marschall von Bieberstein (Baron)

Machinvelle 98

Magyars 136 Majuba 12, 156

85, 127

Maria Theresa 154

Leapzig 108

113 m

Levant 54

Lusbon 57 Lloyd George (Mr) 81 London 14, 18, 44, 65, 86, 87, 06, 113, 126, 132, 151, 164

Lorraine 140

Lothrmeen 111

Leyds (Dr) 57

Laso-tung 52

Heligoland 18, 19, 51 Henckel von Donnersmarck [Prince] Hmzelm (E.) 101 m. 165 m. 108 n

Hinzpeter (G) 33 n, 34 Hobenlohe (Prince) 19 n, 53, 103, 110 Holland 5, 142, 174, 177, 186 Holy Land 82

198

Hungary 136 Ibenan Peninsula 116 India 41, 88, 126, 134, 143 Indus 144

Ischl 127 Italy 11, 70, 74, 78, 98, 99, 116, 123, 128, 130, 131, 137, 147,

152, 159, 166, 167, 168, 169,

Jagow (von) 165 166, 181 Jameson (Dr) 57 Japan 52, 54, 66, 123, 157, 186 Jerusalem 83

Johannesburg 59 Jonescu (Mons.) 135 Jonbert (Piet) 15

Kaiser, see William II Aunt 157

Kazan 170

Kellermann 93 Krao-Chao 36, 53, 54 Kiderlen Wächter (Herr) 80 Kieff 170 Kiel 18, 19, 52, 82, 105 106, 145, 146, 147

Kirke 17 Kléber 93 Klein (Herr) 101, 102

Rochani 131 Königgratz 3 Korea 123 Козмото 116 Kowert 84, 86

Krüger (Paul) 13, 16, 56, 57, 59

Krupp 132, 157, 159, 179

Maximilian I 32 Mecca 88

Mery 12 Mesopotamia 82, 84, 85, 88, 188 Metz 92, 93, 101, 110, 111, 112 Messo 118 179, 180 Mexico 140

Milan, King 120 Minchin (J) 120 Mitrovitza 120 Mogador 69, 75 Moltke 21, 93, 94, 95, 157

Mommsen (Prof.) 61 Montenegro 120, 158 Morel (E. D) 71 n, 74 n, 82 n Morier (Sir R) 5, 6, 92 m, 101,

102 n Morocco Lecture 1V, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73 74, 75 76 79 80, 131, 140, 141, 144, 146, 153 Moscow 44, 170

Moselle 65 Mühlhausen 103 Mukden 73

Munich 5 6, 111 163 Münster (Count) 18, 51 Mürzsteg 123, 125

Namur 179

Nachtigal (Dr) 17 Napoleon I 7, 22, 32, 41, 42, 43, 47, 68, 79, 89, 106, 117, 137, 139, 140, 142, 160, 171, 186, 187 Napoleon III 3, 156, 175

Names Appendix I Newfoundland 70, 112 New Hebrides 70 New Republic 15 Nev 93 Niebnhr 186 Niemen 160 Mietzsche 186

Niger 68, 112 North Africa 11 North Sea 118 Norway 178 a

Novi Bazar 120, 125, 128, 159 Avassaland 51 Odessa 170

Oppert (Mr) 99 Orange Free State 56 Ostend 49, 84 180, 185 Ovambos 143

Paris 44, 58, 66, 75, 76, 77, 86, 67, 98, 100, 120, 130, 160, 165, 178, 179, 180 Paulsen (Prof.) 47 Pechmann (Baron von) 111 Persia 79, 85, 87, 88, 133 Peter, Kang 129 Peter the Great 116

Peters (Dr) 17 Petrograd 8, 44, 72, 79, 100, 105, 123, 126, 127, 133, 138, 166, 167, 168, 173 Philip II 78

Pigeon (Amédée) 33 Pinon (R.) 69 n, 71 n Pitt (W.) Junr 2

Plevna 119 Pomcaré (President) 140, 165, 172

Poland 174, 184 Portugal 15, 142, 157 Posen 103

Pot-dam 27, 36, 86, 87, 122, 158, 172

Pretona 16, 56, 58 Prusua 63 102, 101, 153, 155, 156,

Queenay 142

Rachfel (F) 74 n, 80 n Rames (Su W.) 127 m Rapp 93 Roch (E) 101, 103

Reval 126, 127 Reventlow (Count) 33 n. 68, 59, 69 m, 72 m, 80 m, 100 m, 113,

114 m, 123 m, 127 m, 128 m, 141 142 Rhine 77, 118 Roblis (Herr) 17 Rohrbach (Dr P) 54, 84, 85, 88, 139, 142, 143, 144 s Rome 70, 99, 128, 131, 159, 168,

172, 184 Roon 21, 157 Roosevelt (Theodore) 78 Rosebery (Lord) 112 Rouen 96 Roumania 119, 135 Rouvier (Mone) 06 Rumbold (Sir Horace) 4, 83 n Rassell (Arthur) 6

Russell (Odo) 5, 7, 10
Russell (Odo) 6, 7, 10
Russell 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 20, 58, 66, 73, 77, 78, 79, 82, 84, 86, 67, 89, 91, 97, 98, 105, 106, 114, 117, 118, 119, 121, 122, 123,

124, 125, 128, 135, 138, 139,

INDEX

Switzerland \$65 176

Talleyrand 43 78 186

Tardieu 71 n 73 n, 79 n

Turp tz (Admiral von) 59

Transveal Republic 12 13 14 15 16 56 57

Tre techke 45 49 109 112 114

142 152 153 157 186 Tripoh 70 09 121 130 131 Tear 96 88 165 170 171

Tittoni 120 n, 128 n Togoland 75

Tokso 44 66 123 Tongaland 15

Tongun 100

Tanguers 68 69 73

Tchaikowsky 117

Thionville 110

Tetuan 69 Thibet 79 Thiers (Vons.) 94 96

Syrna 88 90 98

Tac tos 23 47

161 164 167 167 163 169 170 179 173 174 179 184 186 St John (Frederick) 199 m St Lucia Bay 15 St Petersburg see Petrograd Salisbury (Lord) 10 19 20 51 Salonica 49 119 120 126 128 Samos 17 Sanjak of Novi Bazar 120 125 128 159 Saxony 154 155 183 Saxonoff 138 167

144 147 154, 157 158 159

200

Scheldt 175 177 179 Sch orbrand (W von) 37 m 53 n Schiller 15" Schleswig Holstein 156 Schnabele (Herr) 104 Sedan 3 65 174

Seme 3 96 Serajevo 137 163 Serbia 115 116 1°0 121 12° 128 120 131 139 135 136 138 152 153 169 163 164 166 167 170 171 172 184 Seton Watson (Mr) 136 Shantung Penunsula 53 Sam 112 S berra 48 S dney (Sir Philip) 177

Silena 154 Smate Peninsula 90 Skertchley (Mr) 53 Skiernewice 11 Sluys 176 177 Sofia 13° 133 Somaliland 51 Soudan "0 South Africa 16 56 147 App. II Spain 18 68 69 72 79 80 Spec Islands 51

Steed (W) 1°5 n 123 n 128 n Stockmar (Baron) 23 24 Strassburg 9° 101 111 157 Sublime Porte see Turkey

Surz Canal 88

Suvaroff 117

184 185 Techuraky (von) 165 Tune 11 98 09 130 144 Turkey 83 84 88 89 90 98 119 122 125 126 129 130 139 134 185 Tyre 142 Unsted States 78 157 196

Vallona 131 Venice 149 Victoria Queen 6 26 27 175 Sectoria (sister to William I) 26 27 Victima 40 90 105 118 120 124 126 130 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 159 164 166 173 Wales 116

Herber wer 53

Wang (Herr) 108 Walfisch Bay 13 Warren (Sr Charles) 16 Warsaw 160 Washington 66 Waterloo 3 176 177

Wellington (Duke of) 177 Westlake (Prof.) 176 n Wet (General de) 60 Wilcocks (Sir W) 85 Wilde (Oscar) 78 Wilhelmshafen 146 William 1 6, 8, 19, 21, 23, 25 35,

95, 97 105, 153 William II 3, 19, Lecture II, 25,

West Africa 70

26, 27, 29, 32, 35 40, 43, 49, 60, 56, 57, 60, 65, 73, 79 83,

Ypres 67 Zabern 157

Zambest 16

184, 185

Zanzibar 17 Zululand 15

86, 89, 90, 104, 105, 106, 107, 109, 110, 112, 122, 125, 130, 131, 137, 142, 154, 157, 165, 169, 170, 171, 172, 176, 179,

Cambridge
PRINTED BY JOHN CLAY HA
AT THE UNIVERSITE PRESS

BY THE SAME AUTHOR

The Révolutionary and Napoleonic Era 1789-1815

Sixth edition zevised Crown 8vo With 6 maps and plans Price 3s 6d net Cambridge Historical Series

It is not too much to say that Mr Rose's treatment of this difficult and complicated subject shows a grasp of essentials and a soundness of judgment that are decadedly succommon. Nor is it easy to overpraise the meety of judgment in the selection of authorities which is a remarkable feature of Mr Rose's book. "Saturday Review."

In dealing with this text onlyset Mr Rose has read widely and in the state of the s

UNIFORM WITH THE ABOVE

UNIFORM WITH THE ABOVE

- The Expansion of Russia, 1815—1900 By F H SKRINE, FSS Second edition Crown 8vo With 3 maps 43 6d set Slavonic Europe A Political History of Poland and Russia
- from 1447 to 1796 By R Nisser Bain Crown 8vo 5560 net
 Scandinavia A Political History of Denmark Norway and
 - Sweden from 1913 to 1900 By R NISBET BAIN Crown 6vo With 5 maps 53 6d net
- The Ottoman Empire, 1801—1913 By W MILLER MA (Oxon) Hon LLD Crown 8vo With 4 maps 7s 6d net
- Europe and the Far East, 1506—1912 By Sir R K
 DOUCLES Revised and corrected with an additional chapter
 (1904-1912) by Professor J H Lovaford Crown 8vo With
 5 maps 6s 6d net
- A History of the Colonization of Africa by Alien Races By Sir Harry H Johnston & CB New edition revised throughout and considerably enlarged Crown 8vo With 8 maps 8s net
- A History of the Australasian Colonies, from their foundation to the year 1911 By EDWARD JEVES W.A. Third edition Crown 8vo With z maps. 6s net

Cambridge University Press

C. F CLAY Manager Fetter Lane London

OF BOOKS PUBLISHED BY THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

- A Journal of the First Two Campaigns of the Seven Years'
 Was Written in French by Hosate Sr Pauz, Anded-Gamp and Colonel of Cavalry in the Imperial Austrian Army Count of the Holy Roman Empre Edited by Conservation of the Gampaign of the Cavalra of the Cavalra of the Middle of the Burnal of the Middle of the Middle of the
- A History of Modern Europe from the Middle of the Sixteeven Century By J E Forest D Latt Crown 8vo With 7 maps 3s 6d net
- Naval Warfare By JAMES R THURSEFIELD, MA Royal-16mo Cloth 18 net leather 28 6d net Cambridge Manuals Series
- The Royal Navy By John Levland Royal Iomo Cloth
 12 net leather 23 6d net Cambridge Manuals Series
 The Modern Warship By E L ATTWOOD M Inst N A
- Royal 16mo With 18 illustrations Cloth is net leather 2s 6d net Cambridge Manuals Series
 Naval Courts Martial By David Hannay Demy 8vo
- With frontspiece and 6 plates 85 net

 Ocean Trade and Shipping By Douglas Owen Chairman
- of the Advisory Committee State War Rusks Insurance Office Demy 8vo With illustrations 10s 6d net Cambridge Naval and Military Series
 Naval and Military Ferance. Being papers and at the
- Naval and Military Essays Being papers read at the International Congress of Historical Studies 1913 Demy 810 75 cd net Cambridge Naval and Whitary Series
- Military History By the Hon J W FORTESCUE Royal
 16mo Cloth 1s net leather 2s 6d net Cambridge Manuals
 Series

International Law Part II, War By J WESTLAKE KC LLD Demy 8vo Second edition 93 net.

Cambridge University Press

A, Manager Fetter Lane London